

The rotation rates of very small asteroids: Evidence for "rubble pile" structure. A. W. Harris, Jet Propulsion laboratory. Caltech.

A rotating sphere will be in a state of compression if the rotation frequency does not exceed the surface orbit frequency. This is equivalent to saying that the surface gravity is greater than the centrifugal acceleration at the equator. This concept can be generalized for a prolate spheroidal body spinning about a short axis by considering the centrifugal force *vs.* gravitation] acceleration at the tip of the long axis. Thus reduced to practical units, we can write an approximate relation between the rotation period, P , and the critical density, ρ_c , below which the body would "fly apart":

$$\rho_c \approx \left(\frac{3.3^h}{P} \right)^2 \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) \approx \left(\frac{3.3^h}{P} \right)^2 (1 + \Delta m),$$

where a/b is the axis ratio of the prolate spheroid and Δm is the amplitude of lightcurve variation of the body, which is very roughly related to the axis ratios as indicated. In a recent analysis of an expanded data set of the rotation rates and amplitudes of variation of 107 asteroids smaller than 10 km in diameter, I note a distribution of rotation rates which appears truncated, rather than smoothly dropping to zero, at a rotation period below about 2.5 hours. In a plot of all 688 known asteroid rotation periods *vs.* amplitude of lightcurve variation, one can draw lines of constant ρ_c , to observe that there appears to be a barrier, somewhat parallel to the curves at a value of $\rho_c \approx 2.5 \text{ gm/cm}^3$. That is, the very fastest spinning asteroids are actually rather spherical, since more elongated bodies spinning that fast would fly apart. I conclude from these lines of evidence that most, if not all, asteroids, even down to the very smallest ones sampled (<1 km in diameter), are not monolithic. Indeed the apparent density threshold suggested of $\sim 2.5 \text{ gm/cm}^3$, indicates that even small asteroids have a substantial bulk porosity, thus they may be "rubble piles" rather than fairly solid bodies.