Event-based systems and Software Architectures: Out of the Shadows and into the Mainstream

- Panelist: Nicolas Rouquette, NASA JPL
- Context: The Mission Data System project (MDS)
- Relation:
  - MDS uses two architecture styles
    - State Analysis (invented at JPL)
    - Component/Connector style based on xADL2.0
Timing @ JPL

- Internal factors
  - State analysis fundamentally involves events (e.g., state change notification)
  - In our xADL runtime, function calls can be reified into objects that can be operated on (I.e., enabling factor)

- External factors
  - JPL-Sun collaboration on Real-Time Java
  - RTSJ specification involves several events
Applicability @ JPL

- Thread scheduling (a la RTSJ)
  - Scheduler posts "miss" and "overrun" events (RTSJ)
  - Thread state changes are event sources (MDS)

- Mission Planning & Scheduling (MDS)
  - How should the system react to events when it is involved in other competing activities?
  - Low-level controllers & estimators must be instrumented to send events

- Verification & Validation (w/ NASA Ames)
  - Decouple verification & checking using instrumentation
  - Livelock, deadlock are two sample problems solvable with logs of lock/unlock events.
Scalability: Performance matters but architecture knowledge is key

- The performance syndrome
  - Events everywhere...
  - ...progress nowhere!

- Strategy:
  - Optimize event communication
    - Requires knowledge of the architecture
      - Global vs. local knowledge => closed vs. open world
    - At runtime
      - E.g., during architecture prescription
      - E.g., during software reconfiguration
    - At design time
      - E.g., state machine code generation
      - E.g., model-based software transformation
Training

- Traditional “flight software” at JPL
  - A bit of magic, a lot of wisdom
  - A lot of experience & attention to detail
  - A lot of confidence, creativity and testing
  - => Very difficult to teach how to do it

- MDS approach: Architecture hoisting
  - Focus on the two architectures
    - State analysis (states, controllers, estimators, sensors, ...)
    - Software architecture (components, connectors, ...)
  - Code is synthesized from the architecture
    - With the right QoS properties built-in
  - Need: architecture transformation culture
    - Traditional code generators make homomorphic transformations
Technology: Transforming Architectures into Code

- Taxonomy of connectors
  - Many dimensions & attributes => many implementations
- Architecture-based transformation
  - Quality of Service properties may be:
    - Enforced by design (no runtime overhead)
    - Actively monitored (needs reification)
  - Transform the architecture into the software that is engineered to make the selected trades
- Paradigm shift from
  - software-centric
    - people writing lots & lots of code
  - architecture-centric engineering
    - people writing architectures & transforming them into code