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Abstract

Based on a recent model of evolving viruses competing with an adapting immune system [1], we study the conditions under which a viral quasispecies can maximize its growth rate. The range of mutation rates that allows viruses to thrive is limited from above due to genomic information deterioration, and from below by insufficient sequence diversity, which leads to a quick eradication of the virus by the immune system. The mutation rate that optimally balances these two requirements depends to first order on the ratio of the inverse of the virus' growth rate and the time the immune system needs to develop a specific answer to an antigen. We find that a virus is most viable if it generates exactly one mutation within the time it takes for the immune system to adapt to a new viral epitope. Experimental viral mutation rates, in particular for HIV (human immunodeficiency virus), seem to suggest that many viruses have achieved their optimal mutation rate.
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1 Introduction

Since Eigen and Schuster introduced the concept of a quasispecies [2, 3], it has become a standard model to describe molecular and viral evolution. If a simple, single-peaked fitness landscape is assumed, quasispecies theory predicts that error-prone replication leads to the formation of a central "master sequence", surrounded by a cloud of mutant sequences. For viral evolution, this implies that any "wild-type" sequence is accompanied by a cloud of related mutants that, as a whole, represent a target for the immune system. The quasispecies approach to molecular evolution has been the object of detailed investigations, often supported by techniques of statistical physics [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] revealing the characteristic features of such systems, including the occurrence of an error catastrophe. The latter characterizes a system in which a critical mutation rate exists beyond which the genomic information is irretrievably lost to mutations, i.e., beyond which selection ceases to operate [11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19] (for an in-depth discussion of error catastrophes and related phenomena see also [20]). The destabilizing effect of increased mutation rates has been observed for various viruses, including HIV [21] and Poliovirus [22]. Recently, various extensions of the Eigen-Schuster model have been considered, in particular involving the shape of the fitness peaks and the landscapes' time-dependence. While the shape of the fitness function influences the robustness of a species to mutations [23, 24, 25], a behavior qualitatively different from the standard results can be observed for non-stationary fitness landscapes [26, 27]. In rapidly changing environments, a second catastrophe emerges besides the well-known error catastrophe, termed "adaptation catastrophe". In a changing environment, sequence replication must occur with a non-vanishing error rate to enable the species to keep up with the environmental changes. (In static landscapes, a zero mutation rate is ultimately optimal because it maximizes the average global fitness of the population.) Indeed, a selective advantage for so called "mutator mutants" (or "general mutators" [28]) has been observed for Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica under challenging living conditions [29, 30, 31].

For viruses in the environment of an adaptive immune system, the fitness landscapes for both the virus and the immune system are dynamically generated by a co-evolutionary process. This dynamics can be studied within the quasispecies' framework if the quasispecies character of both the viral population and the motifs of immune receptors is acknowledged. In an immune
response, the presence of an antigenic epitope induces the proliferation of the corresponding immune receptor sequence. This "master" sequence is associated with a cloud of closely related receptor sequences that emerge from somatic hypermutation of B-cells in the germinal centers [32]. Competition between a viral population and an adaptive immune system takes place via an asymmetric coupling: while the immune quasispecies is strongly attracted by the virus, the viral quasispecies is driven away from its current master sequence by the immune system. This predator-prey-dynamics results in a migration through sequence space as observed in many infectious diseases, such as HIV [33, 34].

The co-evolutionary dynamics within an infected host was recently formalized within a model relying only on a few dynamical rules [1], recapitulated in the following section. Here, we focus on the implications of an optimal immune response within this framework, and consider the conditions that correspond to maximal visual fitness. Finally, we compare known viral mutation rates to those expected if a viral population has achieved a near-optimal optimal mutation rate.

2 Virus–immune system co-evolution

Let us assume that the viral and the immunological quasispecies alike experience a single-peaked fitness function (Figure 1), albeit one that can change in time. Let us assume further that at any particular time, the (viral) master sequence of length \( n \) grows at a rate \( \sigma_v \) (much larger than the "off-peak" or background-fitness \( \eta_v \)), and similarly for the immune system: \( \sigma_{is} \gg \eta_{is} \). Such a simple immunological fitness function results from a reduction of the viral impact to induce proliferation of immune cells to its master sequence. Analogously, only the dominant immune sequence imposes a decay rate \( \delta \) on its complementary viral sequence. Both species replicate imperfectly, with copy fidelities \( q_v < 1 \) and \( q_{is} < 1 \) (denoting the probability for correct duplication of a monomer drawn from an alphabet of size \( \lambda \)). The virus–immune system interaction is implemented by the following dynamic rules that are cyclicly iterated, leading to the quasispecies' migration through sequence space:

1. Once the immune system imposes a decay rate \( \delta > 0 \) on the viral master sequence (centered at the viral fitness peak), the narrow niche of the virus is assumed to move to an arbitrary sequence of the first error class.
2. The viral quasispecies adapts to this new fitness peak on a time scale $\tau_v$.

3. The fitness peak of the immune quasispecies is adjusted, and moves to the new maximum of the viral distribution.

4. The immune system adapts to the new fitness peak on the time scale $\tau_{is}$.

As discussed previously [1], the dynamically generated time scale $\tau = \tau_v + \tau_{is}$ can be approximated with the two expressions

$$\tau_v \approx - \frac{\ln \left( \frac{1 - \eta_v}{\lambda - 1} \right)}{q_v^\eta (\sigma_v - \eta_v) + \delta}$$

and

$$\tau_{is} \approx - \frac{\ln \left( \frac{1 - \eta_{is}}{\lambda - 1} \right)}{q_{is}^\eta (\sigma_{is} - \eta_{is})}.$$  \hspace{1cm}(2)

The relative growth of the (moving) viral and immunological master sequences in comparison to the environmental (background) sequences' growth can be determined as [1, 26]:

$$\kappa_i = \frac{e^{(q_i^\eta - \eta_i)\tau} - \left(1 - q_i\right)\sigma_i}{(\lambda - 1)(\sigma_i - \eta_i)q_i}, \quad i \in \{v, is\},$$

leading to the conditions

$$\kappa_v > 1, \quad \kappa_{is} > 1$$  \hspace{1cm}(4)

for viability of the viral and immunological species, respectively. The regimes of (co-)existence of the two quasispecies can be determined by analyzing $\kappa_v$ and $\kappa_{is}$. In particular, the viral quasispecies is subject to both a classical error catastrophe at high mutation rates, and an adaptation catastrophe for small mutation rates. In contrast, the immune system (as the driving force) is not subject to a limiting migration velocity, and accordingly only displays the classical error catastrophe [1].

4
3 Optimal viral mutation rate

Having derived the relations quantifying viral as well as immunological viability, we can now deduce optimal strategies for both the virus and the immune system. The immune system attempts to minimize viral growth \( \frac{\partial \kappa_v}{\partial q_v} = 0 \) which implies the relation

\[
\mu_{is} - 1 - n_{is} \mu_{is} \ln \left( \frac{\mu_{is}}{\lambda - 1} \right) = 0 ; \quad \mu_{is} = 1 - q_{is}.
\]  

(5)

between the optimal immune receptor size \( n_{is} \) and the per-site mutation probability \( \mu_{is} \). This prediction and how it fares against the background of experimental data has been discussed in [1]. Below, we extend this approach to derive the conditions for optimal viral escape from an immune response.

Let us first approximate \( \kappa_v \) in Eq. (3) by

\[
\kappa_v \approx \frac{1 - q_v}{\lambda - 1} \exp[(q_v^o \sigma_v - \eta_v) \tau],
\]  

(6)

using \( \sigma_v \gg \eta_v, q_v \approx 1 \). Optimizing viral viability conditions is akin to maximizing the viral species' relative growth rate \( \kappa_v \) such that

\[
\frac{\partial \kappa_v}{\partial q_v} = 0.
\]  

(7)

Inserting \( \tau = \tau_{is} + \tau_{is} \) into (6) leads the equivalent condition

\[
0 = \left( q_v^o (\sigma_v - \eta_v) + \delta \right) \left( n(q_v - 1)q_v^n \sigma_v^o \tau_{is} + \delta[q_v + (q_v - 1)n q_v^n \sigma_v \tau_{is}] \right.

+ \eta_v[q_v - q_v^{n+1} - (q_v - 1)n q_v^n \sigma_v \tau_{is}] - \left.

+ n q_v^n (q_v - 1)(\eta_v^2 - \delta \sigma_v - \eta_v \sigma_v) \ln \left( \frac{1 - q_v}{\lambda - 1} \right) \right). \]  

(8)

We can simplify this expression in the following manner. Writing (8) in terms of the mutation probability \( \mu_v = 1 - q_v \) rather than the copy-fidelity \( q_v \) allows us to expand (8) in terms of \( \mu_v \) (while leaving the term in \( \ln \mu_v \) untouched). Assuming furthermore that \( \delta \gg \sigma_v \gg \eta_v \) and \( n \gg 1 \), we find

\[
\frac{\partial \kappa_v}{\partial q_v} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta^2 + n \delta \sigma_v (\ln \mu_v - \delta \tau_{is}) \mu_v \approx 0.
\]  

(9)

We now proceed to determining the root of this expression. While this can be done numerically (see below), we first attempt to obtain an analytical
approximation that permits an intuitive interpretation. For this purpose, it is allowable to assume \( \ln \mu_v \approx \text{const.} \) as \( \ln \mu_v \) is a slowly varying function of \( \mu_v \). The optimal per-site mutation probability \( \mu_v^* \) then follows as

\[
\mu_v^* = \frac{1}{n\sigma_v (\tau_{ls} - \text{const.}/\delta)} \approx \frac{1}{n\sigma_v \tau_{ls}}. \tag{10}
\]

Figure 2 shows a comparison between the optimal mutation rate \( \mu_v^* \) as given by the approximation (10), and the exact solution \( \mu_v^* \) obtained numerically from (8). Despite the many approximations that have entered the derivation of (10), the analytic approximation is in good agreement with the numerical results. Improvements to the analytic approximation are possible if we neglect fewer of the higher order terms.

Let us now rewrite (10) in terms of the optimal genomic mutation rate

\[
\mu_v^{G*} := n\mu_v^* = \frac{1}{\sigma_v \tau_{ls}}. \tag{11}
\]

This form suggests the following intuitive interpretation. The immune system adapts to a new virus strain within a time-span \( \tau_{ls} \), while the virus replicates in a time-span \( 1/\sigma_v \). The ratio between these two time scales measures the duration of one generation of the virus in units of the response time of the immune system. Hence, Equation (11) implies that the virus can optimally evade the immune system if the virus suffers on average one mutation per genome within the time the immune system needs to adapt to a new strain (Fig. 3). This condition guarantees that a maximal number of virions have mutated away from the epitope to populate its first error class, precisely at that point in time when the immune system has adapted to attack the new viral quasispecies.

If a viral quasispecies optimizes its mutation rate according to Eq. (11), we expect to see this reflected in a relation between the mutation rate and genome size, such that their product is constant (given a particular generation time \( 1/\sigma_v \)). Optimization of genomic mutation rate can take place via an optimization of sequence length, given any particular per-site mutation rate. Table 1 shows that the genomic mutation rate \( \mu_v^{G} \) only slightly varies within the class of RNA viruses, which presumably have a similar generation time. This is well in agreement with the prediction (11).

\*A significant improvement for small \( \delta \) can be obtained if instead of completely neglecting the logarithmic term, we replace it with a constant (e.g., \( \ln \mu_v \approx -7 \) for \( \mu_v \) between about \( 10^{-4} \) and \( 10^{-2} \).
Table I: Genomic length \( n \) and spontaneous mutation rates per base pair and replication \( \mu_v \) for RNA-based viruses that compete with advanced immune systems, as well as genomic mutation rate \( \mu^G = n\mu_v \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \mu_v )</th>
<th>( \mu^G = n\mu_v )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lytic RNA-based viruses [36]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poliovirus</td>
<td>( 7.4 \cdot 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 1.1 \cdot 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza A Virus</td>
<td>( 13.6 \cdot 10^3 )</td>
<td>( &gt; 7.3 \cdot 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA-based Retroviruses [35, 36]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen Necrosis Virus</td>
<td>( 7.8 \cdot 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 2.0 \cdot 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molony Murine Leukemia Virus</td>
<td>( 8.4 \cdot 10^3 )</td>
<td>( &gt; 3.5 \cdot 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rous Sarcoma Virus</td>
<td>( 9.3 \cdot 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 4.6 \cdot 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV-1</td>
<td>( 9.2 \cdot 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 2.4 \cdot 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Genomic length \( n \) and spontaneous mutation rates per base pair and replication \( \mu_v \) for RNA-based viruses that compete with advanced immune systems, as well as genomic mutation rate \( \mu^G = n\mu_v \). Note that this product is an approximation for \( \mu^G = 1 - (1 - \mu_v)^n \) for \( n\mu_v < 1 \). Data are reproduced from [35, 36, 37].

Given the adaptation time of the immune system \( \tau_{is} \) and the generation time \( 1/\sigma_v \), we can test the prediction Eq. (11) more specifically. The adaptation time \( \tau_{is} \) is the time necessary for the immune system to develop a specific answer to an antigen. For most systems, this can be estimated to take between 7 to 14 days [38]. The generation times of viral species of course vary, but data from HIV-1 is available. Table 2 shows that the optimal genomic mutation rate as predicted by formula (11) is well within the range of the experimentally determined rate. This suggests that HIV-1 has adapted its mutation rate to optimally escape the immune system as well as the error catastrophe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \sigma_v ) [d(^{-1})]</th>
<th>( \tau_{is} ) [d]</th>
<th>( (\sigma_v \tau_{is})^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \mu^G )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV-1</td>
<td>0.4...3.5</td>
<td>7...14</td>
<td>0.02...0.36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of the genomic mutation rate \( \mu^G \) of HIV-1 with the theoretical estimate \( (\sigma_v \tau_{is})^{-1} \) from formula (11). Data are reproduced from [39, 40]
4 Summary

The dynamics of co-evolution between virus and immune system can be studied within the framework of molecular evolution in time-dependent fitness landscapes, in which a constantly changing, polymorphic, viral population competes with an immune system adapting to keep track of the viral changes. Such an analysis [1] reveals an optimal mutation rate for the immune system (so as to constrain the range of mutation rates within which the virus is stable) that appears to be compatible with available data. The same formalism can be used to determine the optimal viral mutation rate, by maximizing the speed of adaptation while minimizing information loss due to mutations. It follows that the optimal viral mutation rate is reached if a sequence undergoes on average one mutation within the time it takes for the immune system to adapt to the viral genomic signature, thus barely staying ahead of the immune system. Such optimal mutation rates are compatible with experimentally determined ones, and suggest that the constancy of genomic mutation rates within viral classes (while sequence length and per-site mutation rates vary over many orders of magnitude) can be explained by selection favoring viral strains at or near the optimal rate.
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Figure 1: Co-evolution of viral and immune quasispecies.
Figure 2: Optimal per-site mutation rate $\mu^*_v$, comparison between the analytic approximation as given by equation (10) (dashed lines) and the numerical solution to equation (7) (solid lines). Parameters are $\sigma_v = 10$, $\eta_v = 1$, $\sigma_{v0} = 10$, $\eta_{v0} = 1$, $q_{v0} = 0.99$, $n = 100$, $\delta = 200$, $\lambda = 4$, unless specified otherwise in the plot.
Figure 3: Regrowth from a single virus particle to a population size of eight, within the time-span $\tau_{is}$ (dots indicate mutations). The virus can best evade the immune system if almost every virion in the population at $t = \tau_{is}$ differs from the initial virion by exactly one mutation.