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Abstract.

The Keck Interferometer utilizes the two 10-meter Keck telescopes as a direct detection interferometer in the infrared. The 85-meter baseline produces a fringe spacing of 5 milliarcseconds at 2 microns, resulting in spatial resolution of 0.7 AU for sources in the Taurus star forming region. The first observations with the Keck Interferometer of T Tauri and Herbig Ae/Be sources are presented and the implications for circumstellar disk models are discussed. The Keck Interferometer is a joint effort of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, the W.M. Keck Observatory and the Michelson Science Center, California Institute of Technology and is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

1. Introduction

The sensitivity provided by using large aperture telescopes in optical/infrared interferometers allows observations of the milliarcsec scale structure in young stellar objects. Due to the high resolution required (1 AU subtends 7 mas at the distance to the Taurus Molecular Cloud), direct observations of the central region have been limited to date. Given the spatial resolution of current facilities, long-baseline infrared interferometry provides an ideal method for observing these inner regions, which are traced by material thermally emitting in the near-IR.

Previous interferometric observations have resolved several types of young stellar objects (YSO): FU Ori, T Tauri and Herbig AeBe sources (see e.g., Malbet et al, 1998; Akeson et al, 2000; Millan-Gabet et al, 2001; Eisner et al, 2003). For the T Tauri and Herbig objects, the measured visibilities are generally not consistent with a geometrically flat disk with an inner radius less than a few stellar radii as predicted by spectral energy distribution fitting. Tuthill et al (2001) and Natta et al (2001) proposed that the inner radius is located at the destruction radius for directly heated dust, which can resolve this discrepancy. However, due to the sensitivity limits of the facilities used, the YSOs observed to date, particularly the T Tauris, are the brightest infrared examples and may not be representative.
2. Observing and data reduction

The Keck Interferometer\(^5\) is a NASA-funded joint development among the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, the California Association for Research in Astronomy, and the California Institute of Technology, to interferometrically combine the two 10-m Keck telescopes for high sensitivity near-infrared visibility measurements (\(V^2\)), mid-infrared nulling interferometry at 10 – 12 \(\mu\)m, and differential-phase interferometry at 1.6 – 5 \(\mu\)m. A full description of the instrument is given by Colavita & Wizinowich (2001).

The data presented here were taken in observations from June, 2002 to May, 2003. Data from the white-light channel (\(\lambda_{\text{center}}=2.18\ \mu\)m and \(\Delta \lambda \sim 0.3\mu\)m) are used. Observations consisted of a series of interleaved integrations on the source and several calibrators. The measured quantity is the visibility amplitude squared, which is an unbiased quantity, normalized such that an unresolved object has \(V^2 = 1.0\). The system visibility, the instrumental response to a point source, is measured with respect to calibrator stars, chosen to be close to the target source both in magnitude and on the sky. Further details on KI data reduction are available in Colavita et al (2003).

The field of view for the fringe tracker is 50 mas at K. Within this field of view, several components may be contributing to the measured visibilities of YSO's: the stellar photosphere (unresolved in our observations), partially resolved emission from compact material (size less than the 5 mas fringe spacing) and extended emission which is completely resolved and contributes incoherently. In order to correctly interpret the partially resolved emission, presumably from the circumstellar disk, it is important to have accurate estimates for the stellar and extended components. The extended component may come from scattered light or other diffuse emission.

3. Results

3.1. Observing program

The Keck Interferometer is currently in a stage of engineering work and shared-risk science observing. Four teams were competively selected to work with the KI team for this period. Observations of YSO's were proposed by the team led by John Monnier and a few high mass objects were proposed by the team led by Bill Danchi. Along with scientists on the KI team, a program of observing and modeling T Tauri and Herbig sources has been pursued.

3.2. Herbig Ae/Be stars

A substantial number of Herbig Ae/Be sources have been observed at the IOTA (Millan-Gabet et al 2001) and PTI (Eisner et al 2003) interferometers.

One of the goals of the KI Herbig program is to cover the complete range of spectral types for Herbig objects. Table 1 lists the Herbigs observed to date, along with one FU Ori source. Reduction and modeling of this data is currently underway.

\(^5\)Additional information at http://planetquest.jpl.nasa.gov/
Table 1. Herbig sources observed to date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Spectral type</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V1685 Cyg</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1977 Cyg</td>
<td>B8</td>
<td>resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 141569</td>
<td>B9</td>
<td>unresolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 477</td>
<td>A0</td>
<td>resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWC 758</td>
<td>A7</td>
<td>very resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 144432</td>
<td>A9</td>
<td>very resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1057 Cyg</td>
<td>FU Ori</td>
<td>slightly resolved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. T Tauri stars

KI has observed two T Tauri stars to date: DG Tau and RW Aur. Both objects are resolved by the interferometer. The observations of DG Tau are extensively discussed in Colavita et al. (2003), including the stellar and incoherent contributions to the measured visibility. Figure 1 shows the calibrated and system visibility points for DG Tau as observed on two separate nights at KI. For brevity, I use the stellar parameters from Johns-Krull et al. (?), which corresponds to a fractional contribution of 0.41 from the stellar photosphere at K and an incoherent contribution of 0.23 from the scattered light component measured in the lunar occultation observations of Leinert et al. (?). For RW Aur, the stellar fraction of 0.34 was computed using an effective temperature of 4590 K and a luminosity of 2.3 $L_\odot$ (Hartigan et al. ?).

For both sources, simple geometric models were used to estimate the size scale of the partially resolved component, believed to arise from the circumstellar disk. Table 2 lists the sizes for a uniform disk and ring model. The width of the ring is set by matching the excess K flux using a blackbody with a temperature of 1500 K.

Table 2. T Tauri sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mag)</th>
<th>Uniform disk radius (AU)</th>
<th>Inner ring radius (AU)</th>
<th>Inner ring radius (R$_*$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DG Tau</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.03</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW Aur</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

We have estimated the size of the compact emission region of DG Tau and RW Aur to be a few tenths of given our estimates for the relative flux of the stellar and extended components. Here we discuss the hypothesis that this resolved emission arises from the inner regions of the circumstellar disk. The radii in Table 2 are similar to the sizes derived for the T Tauri stars T Tau N.
Figure 1. The calibrated visibility (bottom points) and measured system visibility (top points) for DG Tau. Data from the two nights of observations are plotted with different symbols.

and SU Aur by Akeson et al (2000), and all are larger than predicted by models based on spectral energy distribution fitting. We use the ring morphology as a simple representation of models in which the inner ring radius corresponds to the dust destruction radius (Dullemond et al, 2001; Monnier & Millan-Gabet, 2002), where $R_{\text{dust}} = 1/2(L_*/4\pi\sigma T_{\text{dust}}^4)^{1/2}$. Figure 2 shows the radius corresponding to a dust destruction temperature ($T_{\text{dust}}$) of 1500 K plotted against the fit ring radius for DG Tau and RW Aur. In addition, four T Tauri sources observed at the Palomar Testbed Interferometer are also plotted (Akeson et al 2002).

In Figure 2, DG Tau is the only source for which the size implied by the measured visibility is significantly different from the predicted dust destruction radius. The caveats and possible sources of error in the measured size are discussed in detail by Colavita et al (2003). Recent modeling work by D'Alessio (this volume) involves including both the stellar and the accretion luminosity in the calculation of the dust destruction radius. For DG Tau, this results in a dust destruction radius of $\sim$0.2 AU, very similar to that measured with KI.
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Figure 2. The ring radius calculated from stellar properties for a dust destruction temperature of 1500 K compared to the fit ring radius for the two KI sources (stars) and four T Tauri stars observed at the Palomar Testbed Interferometer (circles). The straight line shows where these radii are equal.
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