A detailed illustration of the solar system is the background. The Sun is a large, bright orange and red sphere on the left. Planets are shown in their relative positions: Mercury, Venus, Earth, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, and Neptune, each on its own elliptical orbit. The orbits are represented by thin white lines. The background is a dark blue space with stars and a comet streaking across the bottom left.

Assessment of Space Solar Power Technologies for Next Decadal Planetary Science Mission Concepts

Rao Surampudi, John Elliott
Patricia Beauchamp and James Cutts

NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

February 23, 2018



Outline

- Study Overview
- Background
- PSD Mission Needs
- State of Practice of Solar Cell/Arrays
- Advanced Solar Cell/Arrays under Development
- Summary of Findings & Recommendations



Study Overview



Study Objectives

Solar Cell/Array Technology Assessment

- Review the space solar power system needs of future planetary science mission concepts
- Assess the capabilities and limitations of state of practice space solar cell/array systems to meet the needs of future planetary science mission concepts.
- Assess the status of advanced solar cell/array technologies currently under development at NASA, DOD, DOE and Industry and assess their potential capabilities and limitations to meet the needs of future planetary science mission concepts.
- Assess the adequacy of on-going technology development programs at NASA, DoD, DOE and Industry to advance space solar power system technologies that can meet the needs of future planetary science mission concepts.
- Identify technology gaps and technology programs to meet the needs of future planetary science mission concepts.



Review Team

Solar Cell/Array Technology Assessment

- Rao Surampudi, NASA-JPL
- Julian Blosiu, NASA-JPL
- Paul Stella, NASA-JPL
- John Elliot, NASA-JPL
- Julie Castillo NASA-JPL
- Thomas Yi, NASA-GSFC
- John Lyons, NASA-GSFC
- Ed Gaddy -APL
- Mike Piszczor-NASA-GRC
- Jeremiah McNatt , NASA-GRC
- Ed Plichta US Army
- Simon Liu, Aerospace
- Chuck Taylor, NASA HQ
- Christopher Iannello, NASA HQ

Jet
Propulsion
Laboratory



Goddard
Space Flight
Center



Glenn
Research
Center



NASA-HQ





Presenters

Solar Cell R&D/Manufacturers

- Spectrolab
- SolAero
- mPower
- Microlink
- Alta Devices

Array R&D/Manufacturers

- ATK
- DSS
- Lockheed-Martin
- Sierra Nevada Corporation
- Boeing
- NGST?

NASA/DOD/DOE

- NASA-GRC
- NASA-JPL
- NASA-GSFC
- AFRL-Philips Laboratory
- Aerospace Corporation
- Navy Research Laboratory (NRL)
- Applied Physics Laboratory (APL)




Background



Solar Cell and Array Basics

Solar Cell:

- A device that converts solar energy into electrical energy
- It is based on the *photovoltaic effect*: generation of a voltage across an illuminated semiconductor p-n junction.




SOP Cells:

- Triple Junction solar Cells

Space Solar Cell Key characteristics:

- High efficiency at Air Mass = 0 (AMO)
- Good radiation tolerance
- Tolerance to UV radiation and atomic oxygen





Solar Cell and Array Basics (continued)

Key Components of Solar Array:

- 1 Solar cells/covers/wiring
- 2 Substrate / panel
- 3 Array structure
- 4 Deployment mechanism



SOA Arrays Types:

- Body mounted
- Rigid panel
- Flexible deployable


Key Solar Array Characteristics:

- Specific Power
- Stowage volume
- Areal Power Density
- Resistance to space environment

Deployed Configuration



Stowed Configuration





Space Solar Power System Needs for Future Planetary Science Mission Concepts



PV Technology Challenges for Outer Planet Mission Concepts

- Low Solar Intensities ($< 40 \text{ W/m}^2$)
- Low Temperatures ($< -140 \text{ C}$)
- High Radiation ($6e15 \text{ 1MeV e-}/\text{cm}^2$)
- Low Mass ($\sim 3X$ lower than SOP)
- Low Stowage Volume ($\sim 3X$ lower than SOP)
- Long Operational Life (> 15 years)
- High Reliability



PV Capability Needs for

Next Decadal Outer Planet Mission Concepts

Mission Type	Mission	Performance Capability Needs*	Benefits
Orbiters/Flyby	Jupiter Saturn Europa Titan Enceladus	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• LILT Capability (> 38% at 10 AU & < -140 C)• Radiation Tolerance (6e15 1MeV e-/cm2)• High Voltage (>100V)• High Power (>50 kW@ 1AU)• Low Mass (3X lower than SOP)• Low Volume (3X lower than SOP)• Long Life (> 15 years)• High Reliability	Enhancing & Enabling



PV Technology Needs of Next Decadal Solar Electric Propulsion Mission Concepts


Solar Cell & Array Characteristics	Past	Present	Next Decadal Needs
High voltage			300V
Power (kW)	2.5	10-20	50-200
Specific Power (W/kg)	50-70	80-110	>150
Stowage Volume (kW/m ³)	~3-10	>30	>40
LILT Performance	Uncertain behavior under LILT conditions	Uncertain behavior under LILT conditions	LILT Capability needed (> 2.5 AU)
Cost \$M/ kW	1-2	1.0	0.3-0.5
Other factors:	Complex deployment system	Simpler and reliable deployment system	Simplest and most reliable deployment system



SOP Space Solar Power Systems



Solar Cell Efficiency Improvements





Overview of SOP Triple-Junction Solar Cells

Characteristic	Value/description		
	Azur Space	SolAero Technologies	Spectrolab
Manufacturer	Azur Space	SolAero Technologies	Spectrolab
Manufacturer's designation	3G30C	ZTJ	XTJ-prime
Efficiency at 28 deg C, AM0 ¹	29.8%	29.5%	30.7%
Voltage at maximum power, 28 deg C, AM0 (V)	2.41	2.41	2.39
Typical areal mass density (mg/cm ²)	86	84	84
Temperature coefficient at 28 deg C, un-irradiated (% Pmax/deg C)	-0.23%	-0.22%	-0.22%
Typical cell thickness ² (μm)	150	140	140
Normalized maximum power degradation at 1E15 1 MeV e/cm ² per AIAA-S111	Not reported	0.85	0.85
Normalized maximum power degradation at 1E15 1 MeV e/cm ² per ECSS-ET-20-08C ³	0.9	Not reported	0.87
Solar absorptance	0.91	0.92	0.88
Source data: www.azurspace.com, www.solaerotech.com, www.spectrolab.com, September 7, 2016			
¹ Reported efficiencies assume a solar intensity of 135.3 mW/cm ² .			
² Values represent Ge wafer thickness. Azur Space and Spectrolab have offered cell thickness down to 80 mm; 140-150 mm has been the standard in flight production.			
³ The ECSS test standard includes photon and temperature annealing subsequent to irradiation.			

- Current cells provide ~30% efficiency at beginning-of-life, AM0
- Minor variations in voltage, current, radiation degradation and thermal properties between different manufacturers (**Temperature annealing not practicable for cells under LILT conditions.**)



Overview of State-of-Practice Solar Arrays


- Body mounted array – installed directly on body of spacecraft or platform
 - No sun-tracking mechanisms
- Deployable rigid array – rigid panels stowed for launch and unfolded on orbit
 - Panel structure is typically honeycomb sandwich with composite face-sheets
 - Sun-tracking in one or two axes
- Deployable flexible array – flexible blanket deployed by an extensible structure
 - Flexible fold-out array: blanket is folded when stowed
 - Flexible roll-out array: blanket is rolled on a mandrel when stowed
- **Combination of body mounted and deployable, ex. SMAP, MER Rovers**
- Specialized versions of all three types include
 - Electrostatically clean arrays – prevent accumulation of electric charge on array surfaces
 - High temperature arrays – survive high irradiance for missions close to the sun

Summary of Current Array State-of-Practice



Array technology	Maximum power at 1 AU (current state-of-practice), approximate*	Specific power at 1 AU, BOL (W/kg)**	Areal power density (W/m ²)**	TRL
Body-mounted array	2 kW	N/A	314	9
Deployable rigid array	25 kW	80	330	9
Flexible fold-out array	120 kW	150	338	9
Flexible roll-out array	25 kW	150	338	7

*Based on demonstrated capability

**Assuming all arrays have SoP triple junction cells



Solar Powered PSD Missions






Future PSD Mission Concept Needs vs SOP Capabilities

Type of PSD Missions	Future Mission Concept Needs	SOP Capability
Mission General Needs		
All Missions	High Efficiency Solar Cells (~38%)	30%
	Low Mass Arrays (> 250 W/kg)	150 W/kg
Mission Specific Needs		
Outer Planet Missions	LILT Capability up to 10 AU	LILT capability up to 5.5 AU
Solar Electric Propulsion Missions	High Voltage, High Power Arrays (300V, 100 kW)	100 V & < 30 kW


- Future planetary science missions require PV power systems that are mass and volume efficient have long life and operate under extreme environments.
- SOP PV systems are heavy and have limited operational capabilities at extreme environments.




Advanced Solar Cell and Array Technologies Under Development




Advanced Cell Technology Table




Inverted metamorphic




Dilute nitride



Upright metamorphic



Semiconductor Wafer bonding





Near-IR absorbers

Cell technology	Potential Capability	Status	Issues
Inverted metamorphic	36-37%	34-35% demonstrated in lab cells	Achieving cost parity
Dilute nitride	36-37%	30-31% demonstrated in lab cells	Volume manufacturability
Upright metamorphic	36-37%	29-30% demonstrated in lab cells	Material quality in high bandgap subcells
Wafer bonding	36-37%	34-35% demonstrated in lab cells	Achieving cost parity
Near-IR absorbers	36-37%	26-27% demonstrated in lab cells	Performance improvement over SoP



Improved Operation in Special Environments

Low Irradiance Low Temperature (LILT) Conditions



LILT = low irradiance low temperature (e.g. Jupiter 5.5AU -140°C, Saturn 9.5AU -165°C)

LIRT = low irradiance room temperature, current practice for screening and binning
SoP cells intended for LILT applications

- Device modifications needed to eliminate mechanisms that limit LILT performance, using 1 AU-optimized SoP or advanced cells as starting point
- Also, screening yield improvements for qualitative cell-build cost reductions
- TRL = 4 for SoP-based, 2 for advanced cells
- Remaining challenge = statistical significance, advanced cells



Developing Solar Array Technology

Flexible arrays



Source: lockheedmartin.com/us/ssc/commospace.html

A2100 spacecraft

Manufacturer: Lockheed Martin

Description: Flexible fold-out

Key features: Based on heritage ISS solar arrays

Status: In development for flight programs

Composite Beam Roll-Up Solar Array (COBRA)


Manufacturer:

SolAero Source:solaerotech.com/products

Technologies

Description: Flexible roll-out

Key features: Compact stowage for cubesats and smallsats



Source: nasa.gov/offices/oct/home/feature_sas.html#.WAmcEzKZOqA

Megaflex

Manufacturer: Orbital ATK

Type: Flexible fold-out, circular

Key features: Extends diameter beyond Ultraflex design. Intended to reach >100 kW capability.

Status: Demonstrated deployment >10 m diameter in ground test.



Source: nasa.gov/offices/oct/home/feature_sas.html#.WAmcEzKZOqA

Mega-ROSA

Manufacturer: Deployable Space Systems (DSS)

Type: Flexible roll-out

Key features: Deployment of multiple ROSAs from a central spine. Intended to reach >100 kW capability.

Status: Deployment mechanism concept demonstrated in ground test.


- Flexible array development is continuing, focused on lower mass and higher power
- Goals are 500 W/kg specific power and 80 kW/m³ stowage at BOL, 1 AU



Developing Solar Array Technology

Concentrator arrays


Reflective Concentrators



Source: spaceflight systems.grc.nasa.gov/PlanetaryScience/documents

Cell Saver Solar Array

Manufacturer: Orbital ATK
 Description: reflective ~2X concentrator
 Key features: Focused on cost reduction
 Status: Flight experiment in orbit



Source: techbriefs.com/component/content/article/ntb/tech-briefs/manufacturing-and-prototyping/15070

Flexible Array Concentrator Technology (FACT)

Manufacturer: DSS
 Description: Incorporates reflective concentrator into ROSA

Refractive Concentrators



Source: spinoff.nasa.gov/spinoff2002/er_7.html

Stretched Lens Array (SLA)

Manufacturer: Entech/Orbital ATK
 Description: Fresnel lens ~7-10X concentrator
 Key features: Based on Deep Space 1 array, but uses flexible lens instead of glass



Source: dss-space.com/products

SOLAROSA

Manufacturer: DSS
 Description: Stretched lens on flexible blanket
 Key features: Incorporates Fresnel lens into ROSA

- Research and development has been performed on multiple technologies that utilize concentrated sunlight.



Summary of Findings

- Several types of advanced solar cells are under development at several companies and universities with support from DOD and private funding
 - 4-5 J cells, Inverted metamorphic, Dilute nitride, Upright metamorphic, Wafer bonding
- Significant improvement in solar cell performance is envisioned
 - Near-term: > 33% efficient
 - Mid- to Far-Term : > 37% efficient
- Several types of advanced solar arrays are under development with support from DOD and private funding
 - Flexible fold-out, Flexible roll-out, Concentrator
- Major advances in Solar Array Performance are envisioned
 - Near-term: 150-200 W/kg
 - Mid- to Far-term: 200-250 W/kg
- The biggest technology investments are mostly from DOD
 - Currently there is limited NASA funding in high power arrays and LILT solar cells
- NASA needs to work with DOD to advance and tailor advanced PV technologies for future planetary science mission concepts



Summary of Findings & Recommendations



Key Findings

- Solar power systems have been used to power a wide range of planetary science mission concepts
 - 0.3 AU to 5.5 AU
 - Mars surface, Jupiter, Mercury, Asteroid
- Many future planetary science missions concepts could have unique solar power system needs
 - High Power Solar Arrays (>100 kW) for solar electric propulsion missions (outer planet & asteroid)
 - High Efficiency Solar Cells (> 37%) for small spacecraft planetary missions
 - High Specific Power (> 4 W/kg at 10 AU) & LILT capable (4-10 AU) Solar Arrays for outer planetary missions
- SOP PV systems have limited operational capabilities at extreme environments.
 - Low solar intensities and low temperature environments of outer planets
 - High temperature, high/low solar intensity and corrosive environments of Venus
 - Dusty Mars environments
- Advanced solar cells and arrays are under development at several companies and universities with support from DOD and private funding
 - Cell Technologies (32-36%): 4-5 J cells, Inverted metamorphic, Dilute nitride, Upright metamorphic, Wafer bonding
 - Array Technologies (150-300 W/kg): Flexible fold-out, Flexible roll-out and Concentrator
- No NASA significant investments in the area of advanced space solar cells and arrays
 - Some limited investments are in the area high power arrays and LILT solar cells.



General Recommendations

- Targeted investments should be made in the specific solar cell and array technologies needed to withstand the unique planetary environments.
- Partnerships with HEOMD and STMD and/or other government agencies such as DoE and DoD (AFRL, Aerospace Corporation, NRL, and ARL) should be established and maintained to leverage/tailor the development of advanced cell and array technologies to meet future planetary science mission concept needs.
- Existing infrastructure for PV technology development, testing and qualification at various NASA Centers should be upgraded to support future planetary science missions, as needed.



Specific Recommendations

- Develop high power (>100 kW) and low mass (200–250 W/kg) solar arrays for future solar electric propulsion missions operable up to 10 AU (for outer planet mission concepts).
- Develop higher efficiency LILT solar cells and low mass, radiation resistant arrays for orbital missions to Jupiter, Saturn, and Ocean Worlds (Europa, Titan, etc.).
- Develop LIHT cells and arrays tolerant of the sulfurous environment required for Venus aerial and surface mission concepts.
- Develop solar cells tuned to the Mars solar spectrum and solar arrays with dust mitigation capability for future Mars surface mission concepts.
- Leverage the DoD investment in higher efficiency solar cells (~38%) and array technologies to enhance next decadal planetary space science mission concepts.



Acknowledgements

This work presented here was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

© 2018 California Institute of Technology.
Government sponsorship acknowledged

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute or imply its endorsement by the United States Government or the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.





Backup



Solar Arrays on NASA Planetary Science Missions

Launches since FY2000

Mission class	Mission	Destination	Launch date	Solar cell technology	Solar array technology	Power capability at 1 AU (W)
Outer planets	Juno	Jupiter	5-Aug-11	Triple junction	Deployable rigid	14000
Inner planets	Messenger	Mercury	3-Aug-04	Triple junction	Deployable rigid	450
	LCROSS	Moon	18-Jun-09	Triple junction	Body-mounted	600
	Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter	Moon	18-Jun-09	Triple junction	Deployable rigid	1850
	Grail	Moon	10-Sep-11	Triple junction	Deployable rigid	763
	LADEE	Moon	6-Sep-13	Triple junction	Body-mounted	295
Mars	Mars Odyssey	Mars	7-Apr-01	GaAs/Ge	Deployable rigid	2092
	Mars Exploration Rover (2)	Mars surface	10-Jun-03 7-Jul-03	Triple junction	Body-mounted	390
	Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter	Mars	12-Aug-05	Triple junction	Deployable rigid	6000
	Phoenix	Mars surface	4-Aug-07	Triple junction	Ultraflex	1255
	MAVEN	Mars	18-Nov-13	Triple junction	Deployable rigid	3165
Asteroids/comets	Deep impact/EPOXI	Tempel-1 Hartley-2	12-Jan-05		Body-mounted	620
	Dawn (with solar electric propulsion)	Vesta Ceres	27-Sep-07	Triple junction	Deployable rigid	10300
	OSIRIX-REx	Bennu	8-Sep-16	Triple junction	Deployable rigid	3000

- Vast majority of missions since FY2000 utilized triple junction solar cells on deployable, rigid arrays



Advanced Solar Cell Technology

Overview

Bandgap optimization for high AM0 efficiency

- Inverted metamorphic
- Dilute nitride
- Upright metamorphic
- Wafer bonding
- Near-IR absorbers

Improved operation in special environments

- Low irradiance low temperature
- High temperature
- Surface spectra
- Corrosive atmosphere
- Lightweight flexible
- High radiation