



FPGA Verification Accelerator (FVAX)

MAPLD 2008

Authors: Jane Oh and Gary Burke

Affiliation: Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

Address: 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109

Email: Gary.R.Burke@jpl.nasa.gov

Jane.oh@jpl.nasa.gov



Presentation Outline

- Key Challenge
- Objectives and Goals
- Current verification techniques
- FVAX Approach to verification
- Expected Benefits
- Conclusion



Key Challenges

- The increased complexity of the devices required by today's and future missions
 - the 32k gate devices used on MER
 - the 2 million gate devices used on MSL
- Verification of complex hardware/software systems for space missions is very time consuming
 - a typical FPGA (e.g. the 32k gate devices used on MER) can take 3 months to verify
- FPGAs are becoming a more critical component of space systems



Objectives

- Faster: Reduce the amount of time required to verify critical FPGAs
- Effectiveness: Improved testing to reduce test escapes
- Improved validation: Increased confidence that the FPGA is correct



Task Goals

- Develop a new technology (FVAX, FPGA-based Verification Accelerator System) to improve verification and validation of FPGAs that
 - Works with any FPGA
 - Has more capability than existing tools
 - Provides a standard FPGA BTE for any Board
 - Speeds up verification/validation process by giving
 - High visibility of FPGA internal signals and nodes
 - Easy user interface
 - Comparison of actual with model
 - Method to step to sequence causing the problem



Typical FPGA Verification

- Simulation
 - Extensive HDL test benches
 - Model external world
- Breadboard
 - FPGA COTS or Custom Board
 - Re-programmable FPGA preferred
 - Use Bench test Equipment (BTE)
- System test
 - Engineering Model (EM) in system
 - Run system with software, external hardware
- Assembly and Test
 - Assemble final board and test in system.



Current State of Verification

- simulation

- Simulation will get most of the problems
 - Time consuming to cover every case
 - Manual effort to build test plan
- Subtle errors remain due to
 - Errors in test bench
 - Test Bench designer same as FPGA designer
 - External world not modeled correctly
 - Asynchronous effects difficult to model
 - Unexpected interaction with other components
 - Incorrect or ambiguous Interface Description (ICD)



Current State of Verification

- board test

- Board test will get most of remaining problems but difficult to find source of problems
- Lack of probe points inside FPGA
 - Need to bring out internal nodes onto unused pins
- Lack of probe points on board
 - Difficult to probe small parts
- Hard to set up error conditions
 - Error may appear only occasionally



Existing FPGA debug

- Existing methods for probing an FPGA during test exist and are effective
- Chipscope is very useful as a way to probe Xilinx FPGAs
 - Uses embedded code compiled with user code
 - Uses JTAG port
- Silicon Explorer
 - Uses FPGA structure to probe any node
 - Uses JTAG/ Probe pins



New Debug Tool

- Works with any FPGA
- Has more capability than existing tools
 - See chart
- Provides a standard FPGA test port and BTE for any Board
- Speeds up debug process by giving
 - High visibility of FPGA nodes
 - Easy user interface
 - Comparison of actual with model
 - Method to step to sequence causing the problem




Using FVAX Technology

- Designer integrates FVAX technology (supplied as an IP) into their designs
- Designer simulates and verifies the FPGA (e.g., ModelSim)
- Designer tests and validates the breadboard design using FVAX technology (i.e., FVAX board and FVAX support software)



FVAX System Architecture





Expected Benefits

	Silicon explorer	Chipscope	Our Test FPGA
General purpose	No: Actel FPGA only	No: Xilinx FPGA only	Any FPGA/ASIC
Monitor internal nodes	Yes	Yes	Yes
At speed monitoring	Yes: but problem with signal integrity	Yes: but limited sample size	Yes
Number of signals monitored	2-4 only (depends on device type)	Limited by internal memory Typical 32 out of 32	limited by bus bandwidth; typically 32 out of 1024
Logic analyzer display	Yes	Yes	Yes
Comparison against model	No	No	Yes: by comparing against the model, problems can be found before they have a major effect on the I/Os
Static Stimulus	No	Yes	Yes: large number of static stimulus possible
Dynamic stimulus	No	No	Yes: full pattern generator included. This allows easy setup of conditions leading to problem.
Internal FPGA resources needed	No	Yes: large amount of on-chip storage needed to store results	Yes: but no onchip storage needed, and on-chip logic is a very small overhead.



Conclusion

- Is Verification Acceleration Possible?
 - Increasing the visibility of the internal nodes of the FPGA results in much faster debug time
 - Forcing internal signals directly allows a problem condition to be setup very quickly
- Is this all?
 - No , this is part of a comprehensive effort to improve the JPL FPGA design and V&V process.