Mission Design Evaluation Using Automated Planning for High Resolution Imaging of Dynamic Surface Processes from the ISS



1

#### Russell Knight, Andrea Donnellan, and Joseph J. Green



Copyright © 2013 California Institute of Technology. Government Sponsorship Acknowledged. This work was carried out by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.



## **Proposed Eagle Eye Mission**

- Understand how Earth's vulnerable systems reflect changes in climate
  - Measure glaciers and sand dunes over time
- Increase understanding of natural hazards
  - Volcanoes, fire, landslides, faults, flooding, coastal change



Vegetation changes can mobilize dunes





#### Instrument

- 0.5m integral active control
- 1-2 km<sup>2</sup> visible area
- 45 degree field of regard







Nadir (Canterie)



#### **Unique Measurement Capability**



#### **Current Platforms**

- Near nadir view
- Off-pointing single images (up to 35)
- Push broom technology
- Stereo Imagery
- Difficult retrieval with uniform albedo

#### **Fixed-point retrieval**

- Off-pointing up to 45°
- Collect 10X more images (up to 350)
- Persistence
- 3D recovery
- Take advantage of varying sun angle

## Scheduling



6

#### Given

- Observation targets
  - Lat, Lon, Alt, FPS, Priority
  - based on National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) glacier inventory
  - Approximately 100,000 points
- Spacecraft and Instrument description
  - Ephemerides, memory (1Tb), collection rate, downlink rate
  - Slewing is fast (1s), but settle is slow (20s)
- Communications sites (Synthetic, likely use ISS comms)
  - Lat, Lon, Alt, min. Elevation

## Scheduling (cont)



- Choose a time-stamped ordering of telescope pointing coordinates
  - Cancelling a scheduled lower priority target never enables scheduling of an unscheduled higher priority target
  - Targets are imaged at the optimal times, given a "black box" function that computes quality based on elevation angle, sun angle, and distance to target
  - Twofers are OK

## Algorithm



For each observation point, in priority order

#### **Check for feasibility**

Memory, Slewing to previous and subsequent points

If feasible, introduce into the schedule and propagate

**Extract a solution** from the pointing timeline

### **Check for feasibility**



Check that frame rates and data usage cause no constraint violations, and if they do, return **failure** 

Generate the set of reasonable pointings P

Remove any member of *P* that is unreachable given the previous or simultaneous pointing

If *P* is empty, return **failure** 

- Let *nextP* be the subsequent set of reasonable pointings
- If the intersection of *P* and *nextP* is not empty, return success
- If no transition is possible from any member of *P* to any member of *nextP*, return **failure**

#### Return success

# Generate the set of reasonable pointings



- Given a target point *p*
- For all points that are within a reasonable distance to the target point, project the point paths onto the imager
- Convert to rectangles
- P = the set of squares that cover at least one point and p
- At most quadratic in the number of points simultaneously image-able with *p*



#### Paths of Points on the Imager





#### Rectangles on the Imager





#### Point rectangles a, b, c and p





## Pointing a р а С b

#### Pointing a,b



15



#### Pointing a, c





#### Pointing b





#### Pointing c







#### Propagate



- Remove any subsequent pointings that are not compatible with P
- Conceptual transition between pointing sets













#### **Extract a Solution**



#### Time



#### Schedule Fragment in KML



