



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

# **Introduction to V6 and V7 *and* Recent Science Highlights**

**Eric J. Fetzer**

**Jet Propulsion Laboratory / California Institute of Technology**

**AIRS Science Team Meeting, Greenbelt, MD**

**November 8-11, 2011**



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

## V6 Status


### Improvements since V5 include:

- **Reduced spurious cooling trend in the free troposphere.**
- **Smaller temperature biases.**
- **Higher yield**
  - *No trend in yield.*
- **Improved surface properties (see Glynn Hulley talk).**
- **Improved cloud properties (see Van Dang talk).**
- **Improved trace gases.**




# V6 Has Higher Yield and No Yield Trend

## Higher Yield



## Removed Trend in Yield






National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

# Reduced Temperature Trends

## Location of radiosondes coincident with AIRS (1 hr and 100 km) SON 2006



Courtesy F. W. Irion, JPL




National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration  
  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

# Temperature bias results for AIRS – RaObs

**V5.0.14 and V5.9.12 30° N – 60° N SON 2006**


init – sonde  
bias

No. of matched  
observations




final – sonde  
bias

RMS



final – init  
bias



Final – sonde kernal  
by AIRS averaging  
kernel

i.e. sonde profile is

$$\hat{x} = x_0 + A(x_T - x_0)$$

1 hr miss time and 100 km miss distance from sonde launch. Data taken from 1<sup>st</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> of each month.  
Data matched one-to-one between versions with both passing qualSurf = 0 or 1.




National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California


# Temperature bias trend results for AIRS – RaObs

## V5.0.14 and V5.9.12 30° N – 60° N


Trend in  
init - sonde



Trend in  
final - sonde




Trend in  
final - init



Trend in  
Final – sonde kernal  
by AIRS averaging  
kernel

i.e. sonde profile is

$$\hat{x} = x_0 + A(x_T - x_0)$$



3 hr miss time and 100 km miss distance from sonde launch. Data taken from 1<sup>st</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> of each month through 2009.

Data matched one-to-one between versions with both passing qualSurf =0 or 1.  
Trends calculated by finding linear trends for each calendar month and then averaging.



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

# Improvements in AIRS Cloud Top Properties Compared to V5

## Verified by CloudSat/CALIPSO:


- AIRS V5.9.12 is able to detect more clouds.
- More realistic Cloud Top Height for AIRS V5.9.12 -- especially low level clouds.
- AIRS Top Layer Cloud Top Height distribution is not as wildly off in V5.9.12, especially for multilayered clouds.
- Defaulting to NN surface temperature for cloud retrieval gives better CTH comparisons
  - *same cases in V5 did not compare as well.*

**Courtesy Van Dang, JPL**




# AIRS V6 water vapor is not simply replicating ECMWF



## V6 AIRS at 850 hPa



## ECMWF at 850 hPa



Differences of  
order  $\pm 100\%$



Courtesy Van Dang,  
JPL



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

# Next Step: Assessing AIRS relative to other global data sets

**We need to ask hard questions like:**

- **Has ECMWF become so good it is nearly indistinguishable from AIRS?**
- **Is the neural network replicating ECMWF?**

**Apparently “no” to both, but we need metrics to answer these and similar questions.**

- *How different are they?*
- *Where and why?*



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

# One Motivation: Boundary Layer Science

- **How much additional information is in the boundary layer?**
  - *We know V5 is informative, but V6 is better.*
- **We should do better than models over land**
  - *Assimilated microwave radiances provide limited information here, and near-surface IR is not fully assimilated because of clouds.*




## Another Motivation: Climate Trends

- **What are the trends in important climate variables like water vapor, clouds, trace gases (and yes, temperature).**
  - *The AIRS record is becoming long enough to reach some robust conclusions about climate processes.*

### Decadal trend in TPW

Santer et al. (2007), Identification of human-induced changes in atmospheric moisture content, *PNAS*.





National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California


## What to expect in V7

- **Better selection of water vapor channels from Antonia Gambacorta.**
- **Better information content analysis.**



# AIRS Key Products and Science Areas


**CO**




**Greenhouse Gas Forcing**

## Cloud and Water Vapor Processes


**Atmospheric Temperature**




**Cloud Properties**




**Ozone**




**Atmospheric Water Vapor**




**Dust**




**Methane**




**CO2**



**SO2**




**Emissivity**






# 440 AIRS Peer Reviewed Publications to Date





# AIRS CO<sub>2</sub> Constraining Chemistry Models



Monthly-mean AIRS (black) and a posteriori model (red GEOS-4 and blue GEOS-5) CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (ppm) averaged over 30 degree latitude bins during 2003–2006: (a) 60 S–90 S, (b) 30 S–60 S, (c) 0–30 S, (d) 0–30 N, (e) 30 N–60 N, and (f) 60 N–90 N. The GEOS-Chem model, described at a horizontal resolution of 2 ° 2.5, has been sampled at the time and location of each AIRS level-3 CO<sub>2</sub> scene, weighted by the observation numbers, and convolved using the vertical weighting functions from Chahine et al. (2008).



Feng, L., Palmer, P. I., Yang, Y., Yantosca, R. M., Kawa, S. R., Paris, J.-D., Matsueda, H., and Machida, T.: Evaluating a 3-D transport model of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> using ground-based, aircraft, and space-borne data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 2789-2803, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2789-2011, 2011.



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

## Observations of Deep Convective Clouds




Aumann, H. H., DeSouza-Machado, S. G., and Behrangi, A.: Deep convective clouds at the tropopause, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1167-1176, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1167-2011, 2011.



National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

## AIRS and MLS give complete picture of atmospheric water vapor




Equatorial mean (08° S–08° N, 180° E–180° W) time evolution of (b) water vapor (%), and (c) RH (%) with the time record mean removed at each pressure level.

Liang, C. K., A. Eldering, A. Gettelman, B. Tian, S. Wong, E. J. Fetzer, and K. N. Liou (2011), Record of tropical interannual variability of temperature and water vapor from a combined AIRS-MLS data set, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D06103, doi:10.1029/2010JD014841.



# AIRS Validates Upper Tropospheric Water Vapor and Temperature in Models


Weather and climate model  
upper tropospheric water  
vapor and temperature.




Russo, M. R., Marécal, V., Hoyle, C. R., Arteta, J., Chemel, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Dessens, O., Feng, W., Hosking, J. S., Telford, P. J., Wild, O., Yang, X., and Pyle, J. A.: Representation of tropical deep convection in atmospheric models – Part 1: Meteorology and comparison with satellite observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 2765-2786, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2765-2011, 2011.



# Temperature Inversions and Winter Sea Ice



**AIRS inversions well validated with radiosondes.**



**Sea Ice (top) and Inversions (Bottom)**

Pavelsky, T., J. Boé, A. Hall, E. J. Fetzer (2011), Atmospheric inversion strength over polar oceans in winter regulated by sea ice, *Clim. Dyn.*, 36, 945–955.




# Observations of air-sea interactions over ocean fronts

AMSR-E SST  
Laplacian

AIRS thickness  
(850-1000 hPa)  
Laplacian

QuikSCAT  
wind Laplacian




Shimada, T., and S. Minobe (2011), Global analysis of the pressure adjustment mechanism over sea surface temperature fronts using AIRS/Aqua data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L06704, doi:10.1029/2010GL046625.



# Marine Boundary Layer Clouds and Lower Tropospheric Stability as Observed by AIRS and CloudSat

\*


Observations and models agree well in three areas where CloudSat detects frequent low clouds, but AIRS has infrequent retrievals. Poorer agreement in N. Atlantic suggests issues with representativeness of AIRS retrievals.



Yue, Q. B. H. Kahn, E. J. Fetzer, and J. Teixeira (2011), Relationship between marine boundary layer clouds and lower tropospheric stability observed by AIRS, CloudSat and CALIOP, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *in press.*




## AIRS Reveals Relative Humidity in the Presence of Hurricane Isabel



**Fig. 10.** The 850-hPa relative humidity field derived from the AIRS suite for (a) 2 Sep, (b) 3 Sep, (c) 4 Sep, and (d) 5 Sep 2003. The hurricane symbol indicates the locations of the tropical disturbance, which became Hurricane Isabel on 7 Sep 2003.



## AIRS Humidity Used to Constrain Cirrus Cloud Trajectory Model



Montoux, N., P. Keckhut, A. Hauchecorne, J. Jumelet, H. Brogniez, and C. David (2010), Isentropic modeling of a cirrus cloud event observed in the midlatitude upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D02202, doi:10.1029/2009JD011981.



## AIRS Radiances Validate Clouds in Forecast Model





Fig. 1 AIRS channel 787 (10.9  $\mu\text{m}$ ) observed brightness temperature (K) for the 6 h period centred on 14 July 2008, 00:00 GMT.

Garand, L., O. Pancrati and S. Heilliette (2011): Validation of forecast cloud parameters from multispectral AIRS radiances, *Atmosphere-Ocean*, 49:2, 121-137



# AIRS and MODIS Clouds Are Radiatively Consistent



Nasiri, S., V. T. Dang, B. H. Kahn, E. J. Fetzer, M. Schreier (2010), Comparisons of collection 5 MODIS and version 5 AIRS cloud top pressure, cloud fraction, and effective brightness temperature, *J. Applied Met. Clim.*, 50, DOI: 10.1175/2010JAMC.




# Models and Reanalyses Do Not Replicate AIRS Temperature and Water Vapor Variability

AIRS

Free-run Model

Model Analysis

Temperature



Water Vapor

- No universal structure
- Agreement only at largest scales


Kahn, B. H., J. Teixeira, E. J. Fetzer, A. Gettelman, S. M. Hristova-Veleva, X. Huang, A. K. Kochanski, M. Köhler, S. K. Krueger, R. Wood, and M. Zhao (2011), Temperature and water vapor variance scaling in global models: Comparisons to satellite and aircraft data, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 68, 2156-2168.



# Closing the Water Mass Balance with AIRS, MERRA and TRMM

Total atmospheric water mass flux  
converge from AIRS humidity and  
MERRA winds.

Surface mass balance from TRMM  
precipitation and MERRA evaporation.





Wong, S., E. J. Fetzer, B. Tian, and B. Lambrigtsen (2011), The apparent water vapor sinks and heat sources associated with the Intraseasonal Oscillation of the Indian Summer Monsoon, *J. Clim.*, 24, 4466- 4479.



# Study of Tropical Anvil Clouds

Asymmetry in observed  
cloud top temperatures

Top: Likely viewing geometry.  
Bottom: CloudSat liquid water




Gong, J., and D. L. Wu (2011), View-angle dependent AIRS cloud radiances: Implications for tropical anvil structures, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L14802, doi:10.1029/2011GL047910.



# Water Vapor (and Temperature) Inversions in the Arctic Boundary Layer


Seasonal frequency, spatial distribution, sampling rates and temperature-humidity inversion covariability (right) from eight years of data determine polar energy balance and are important constraints on model physics.




Devasthale, A., Sedlar, J., and Tjernström, M.: Characteristics of water-vapour inversions observed over the Arctic by Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) and radiosondes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 9813-9823, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9813-2011, 2011



# Two Studies of 2010 Russian Wildfire Carbon Monoxide



CO time series at 55 N, 37 E,  
from Yurganov et al.



CO time series at three locations  
over Russia, from Witte et al.

Witte, J. C., Douglass, A. R., da Silva, A., Torres, O., Levy, R. C., and Duncan, B. N.: NASA A-Train and Terra observations of the 2010 Russian wildfires, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, **11**, 19113-19142, doi:10.5194/acpd-11-19113-2011, 2011.

Yurganov, L. N., Rakitin, V., Dzhola, A., August, T., Fokeeva, E., George, M., Gorchakov, G., Grechko, E., Hannon, S., Karpov, A., Ott, L., Semutnikova, E., Shumsky, R., and Strow, L.: Satellite- and ground-based CO total column observations over 2010 Russian fires: accuracy of top-down estimates based on thermal IR satellite data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 7925-7942, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7925-2011, 2011.