Vertical Profile of the Solar Cycle Induced Variability in Atmospheric OH and the Implications on Ozone

Shuhui Wang, Stanley P. Sander, Nathaniel J. Livesey, Michelle L. Santee

JPL, Caltech, Pasadena, CA

King-Fai Li, Yuk L. Yung

Caltech, Pasadena, CA

Mao-Chang Liang

Academia Sinica, Taiwan;

Jerald W. Harder and Marty Snow

University of Colorado, Boulder, CO

Franklin P. Mills

Australian National University, Australia

Copyright 2011 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

Background

- During the 11-year solar cycle, the total solar irradiance (TSI) varies by only ~0.1%. However, the relative changes in UV is much larger.
- OH, produced through photolysis in UV, is expected to be affected by the solar cycle (SC).

$$H_2O + h\nu \rightarrow OH + H \quad \textit{(Mesosphere)}$$

$$O_3 + h\nu \rightarrow O(^1D) + O_2 \quad \textit{(Stratosphere)}$$

$$O(^1D) + H_2O \rightarrow 2\,OH$$

- We have extracted the OH SC signal using 15-year ground-based data. The 5-year MLS OH show similar trend.
- The variability in OH and the related HO_x (OH, H, HO_2) chemistry affects the variability in middle atmospheric O_3 (through HO_x catalytic reaction cycles).



Review/Update — Solar Cycle Signal in OH Observations



MLS OH Measurements

— 2.5 THz module: 32 – 0.0032 hPa (~90% of total OH)

— Available data: Aug 2004 to Dec 2009

— Future data: 30-day in 2011, 2012, (2013, ……)

[Pickett, 2006, 2008; Wang et al, 2008; Canty et al., 2006]



FTUVS OH Measurements

— Fourier Transform Ultra-Violet Spectrometer

— Location: TMF, Wrightwood, CA (34.4°N; ~2.3 km)

— Available data: OH column from 1997 to present

— Required condition: Clear to lightly cloudy sky

[Cageo et al 2001; Cheung, et al, 2008; Wang et al., 2008]



Major natural OH Variability

- Strong diurnal variation (SZA)
- Strong seasonal variation (SZA, source species)
- Solar cycle signal

Review/Update — Solar Cycle Signal in OH Observations



~10% in FTUVS

~7% in MLS

[submitted to PNAS]



- The OH long-term variability is:
  ~**10%** in FTUVS OH column
  ~**7**% in MLS OH column

- This OH variability is highly correlated with:
  —TSI
  — Lyman-α (121.5 nm)
  — Mg II index (280 nm)

- This observed OH column variability is associated with the solar 11year cycle.

[submitted to PNAS]

Model Simulations

Assuming the middle atmospheric HO_x chemistry is well represented, **solar flux (Solar Spectral Irradiance)** used in models is a crucial factor determining the modeled solar cycle signal in OH.

- NRL SSI based on observations during past solar cycles *[e.g., Lean, 2000]*
  Modeled SSI based on UARS/SOLSTICE UV measurements
  [Woods and Rottman, 2002; Marsh et al., 2007; Austin et al., 2008]

- Recent satellite (SORCE) observations of SSI variability during Solar Cycle 23 appear to be surprisingly larger than that of NRL. *[e.g., Haigh et al., 2010]*



Solar Stellar Irradiance Comparison Experiment (SOLSTICE) — 115 – 300 nm

Spectral Irradiance Monitor (SIM) — 200 – 2700 nm

- We use SORCE (SOLSTICE + SIM) SSI variability during 2004 – 2007.
- Using Mg II as proxy, scaling factors are estimated to extend SORCE SSI trend back to solar max in Jan 2002.

OH variability – WACCM vs. Observations



SSI used in WACCM	Modeled OH variability	Difference between model and observations
NRL	~3%	A factor of ~3
SORCE (240 nm cutoff)	~6%	A factor of ~1.5
SORCE (210 nm cutoff)	~7%	A factor of ~1.3

OH variability – 1-D Model



NRL SSI
SORCE SSI
[Canty & Minschwaner, 2002]



i. Enhanced H_2O photolysis (< 200 nm)

ii. $O(^1D) + H_2O \rightarrow OH + O_2$

Enhanced O_3 photolysis (> 200 nm)

iii. $OH + O \rightarrow H + O_3$

Enhanced photolysis of O_2 and O_3

iv. Shielding effect: Enhanced overhead O_3 opacity

OH variability – Vertical Profile

When replacing NRL SSI with SORCE SSI –

- Mesospheric OH SC signal increases by ~15% (λ < 200nm)
- Upper stratospheric OH SC signal increases by at least a factor of 2 (λ > 200nm)



MLS Annual mean OH Variability at [29.5N, 39.5N]





OH variability – Vertical Profile (MLS vs. Model)







- MLS observations seem to agree better with model using SORCE SSI.
- Current MLS data record is too limited to make solid conclusions.
- More data in the future are required.

OH variability – Implications on O_3



$\Delta[O_3]$ (overall SC signal in O_3) is similar to other studies using same models

[Merkel et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012]

- Direct changes (photolysis)
- Indirect changes (O_3-destroying catalytic HO_x chemistry)
- Other possible indirect changes (e.g., T, circulation)

$\partial[O_3]$ Fix SSI; constrain OH changes (SC max to min) to values in (b)

- At 40 – 60 km, using Δ[OH] from SORCE SSI instead of from NRL leads to nearly doubled $\partial[O_3]$ (*similar to what Merkel et al [2011] found in the total $\Delta[O_3]$*)

Continuing OH measurements

- Ground-based OH measurements is continuing.

- MLS OH measurements:
  30-day/year in 2011, 2012, ……
  (Degradation corrections required)

- These observations through the next solar max will provide extremely valuable evidence for SC signal in HO_x chemistry.



Remaining Questions:

- What are the causes of the discrepancies between SORCE and NRL SSI?

  Are some of the differences due to difference between SC 23 and the previous ones?

- Are our current understanding of the middle atmospheric HO_x-O_3 chemistry complete?

Conclusions

- OH observations (Aura/MLS and TMF/FTUVS) show ~ 7-10% SC signal in OH column. Modeled OH SC signals using NRL SSI and SORCE SSI variability are ~3% and 6-7 %, respectively. ➔ The large discrepancy between NRL and SORCE SSI appears to be one of the dominant uncertainties in atmospheric modeling of SC variability.

- We use 1-D photochemical model to investigate the chemical mechanism of solar cycle signal in OH: i) H_2O photolysis
  ii) O_3 photolysis
  iii) shielding effect from overhead O_3

  and the implications on O_3 ➔ At 40 – 60 km, OH and its SC variability may play a dominant role in the decadal variation in O_3 (through HO_x reaction cycles).

Continuing measurements of OH, along with O_3 and solar SSI, through the next solar cycle will be extremely valuable to answer the remaining questions.