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The Cosmological Setting

Primordial fluctuations + Gravitational Instability

Euclid Weak Lensing Kick-Off Meeting, Edinburgh, 2012
The Matter Density Power Spectrum

Our theoretical expectation of the matter density power spectrum is matched over a huge range of scales by using many different observations.
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Motivation

• Simulations can test weak lensing analysis techniques by providing a data set with known parameters.

• Simulations can characterise the effects of source clustering and galaxy alignments, as well as other systematics and real world effects, better than theory can.

• Simulations can perform Monte Carlo analysis to provide covariance matrices and statistical properties required for data analysis.

\[ \mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{C} & C \\ C^T & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{C} & C \\ C^T & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \]
Large, high resolution single realisations:

- Pushes the limits of what is computationally feasible
- Currently favoured cosmology
  
  Halo properties
  Galaxy formation

Multiple, Monte Carlo, medium resolution realisations:

- Computationally cheap
- Any cosmology
  
  Testing of methods
  Generation of covariance matrices
Draft Lensing Simulation Requirements

- The dark matter only power spectrum $P(k,z)$ should have a standard deviation of 1% from $0.0 \leq z \leq 4.0$ and between $0.001 \ h \ Mpc^{-1} \leq k \leq 50 \ h \ Mpc^{-1}$.
Draft Lensing Simulation Requirements

- The dark matter only power spectrum $P(k,z)$ should have a standard deviation of 1% from $0.0 \leq z \leq 4.0$ and between $0.001 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \leq k \leq 50 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$.

- The matter density power spectrum (dark matter + baryons) should have a standard deviation of 1% (scatter between realisations per feedback model). The scatter in the mean between (plausible) feedback models should be 10% from $0.0 \leq z \leq 4.0$ and between $0.001 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \leq k \leq 50 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$.
Draft Lensing Simulation Requirements

• The dark matter only power spectrum $P(k,z)$ should have a standard deviation of 1% from $0.0 \ z \ 4.0$ and between $0.001 h \ Mpc^{-1} \ k \ 50 h \ Mpc^{-1}$.

• The matter density power spectrum (dark matter + baryons) should have a standard deviation of 1% (scatter between realisations per feedback model). The scatter in the mean between (plausible) feedback models should be 10% from $0.0 \ z \ 4.0$ and between $0.001 h \ Mpc^{-1} \ k \ 50 h \ Mpc^{-1}$.

• The simulations should be completed for a set of models including alternative dark matter models, time varying $w(z)$, massive neutrinos and modified gravity parametrized by two parameters (quintessence, isocurvature modes, f(R), DGP etc).
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Draft Lensing Simulation Requirements

• The dark matter only power spectrum $P(k,z)$ should have a standard deviation of 1% from $0.0 \leq z \leq 4.0$ and between $0.001 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \leq k \leq 50 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$.

• The matter density power spectrum (dark matter + baryons) should have a standard deviation of 1% (scatter between realisations per feedback model). The scatter in the mean between (plausible) feedback models should be 10% from $0.0 \leq z \leq 4.0$ and between $0.001 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \leq k \leq 50 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$.

• The simulations should be completed for a set of models including alternative dark matter models, time varying $w(z)$, massive neutrinos and modified gravity parametrized by two parameters (quintessence, isocurvature modes, $f(R)$, DGP etc).

• Mock galaxy shear catalogues that include shear, convergence, position, redshift, photo-z errors, catastrophic failures, magnitudes, intrinsic alignments, bulge/disk ratios, bulge/disk ellipticities, morphology, colour and size. The suite of catalogues should provide shear power covariance matrices with errors (TBD)%.
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Draft Lensing Simulation Requirements

- Additional requirement for IA: A suite of high resolution hydro simulations of clusters and their environment with a spatial resolution down to 10pc
Simulation Implementation Plan - DM Only

Questions:

• Do we need a hierarchy of simulations to achieve a power spectrum $P(k,z)$ with a standard deviation of 1% from $0.0 \ z \ 4.0$ and between $0.001 \ h \ Mpc^{-1} \ k \ 50 \ h \ Mpc^{-1}$, or can this be achieved in a single box?
Simulation Implementation Plan - DM Only

Questions:

• Do we need a hierarchy of simulations to achieve a power spectrum \( P(k,z) \) with a standard deviation of 1% from 0.0 to 4.0 and between 0.001 \( h \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \) to 50 \( h \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), or can this be achieved in a single box?

• What software should we use to generate the simulations? (GADGET? Something else?)
GADGET, RAMSES, ENZO?
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• Do we need a hierarchy of simulations to achieve a power spectrum $P(k,z)$ with a standard deviation of 1% from $0.0 \, z \, 4.0$ and between $0.001 \, h \, Mpc^{-1} \, k \, 50 \, h \, Mpc^{-1}$, or can this be achieved in a single box?

• What software should we use to generate the simulations? (GADGET? Something else?)
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• What software should we use to generate the simulations? (GADGET? Something else?)

• How many simulations do we need to achieve this requirement and what are their specifications (box size, particle resolution etc)?
Questions:

• Do we need a hierarchy of simulations to achieve a power spectrum $P(k,z)$ with a standard deviation of $1\%$ from $0.0$ to $4.0$ and between $0.001$ h Mpc$^{-1}$ to $50$ h Mpc$^{-1}$, or can this be achieved in a single box?

• What software should we use to generate the simulations? (GADGET? Something else?)

• How many simulations do we need to achieve this requirement and what are their specifications (box size, particle resolution etc)?

• Can we run these simulations with current technology or do we need to wait / develop more sophisticated methods?
Questions:

• Do we need a hierarchy of simulations to achieve a power spectrum $P(k,z)$ with a standard deviation of 1% from 0.0 $z$ 4.0 and between 0.001 h Mpc$^{-1}$ $k$ 50 h Mpc$^{-1}$, or can this be achieved in a single box?

• What software should we use to generate the simulations? (GADGET? Something else?)

• How many simulations do we need to achieve this requirement and what are their specifications (box size, particle resolution etc)?

• Can we run these simulations with current technology or do we need to wait / develop more sophisticated methods?

• How much parameter space do we need to sample and what additional resources are required to achieve this requirement over a large parameter space?

Euclid Weak Lensing Kick-Off Meeting, Edinburgh, 2012
Moore’s Law for Simulations
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Simulation Implementation Plan - Hydro

Questions:

• All the same questions from DM only apply - along with these additional questions:
Simulation Implementation Plan - Hydro

Questions:

• All the same questions from DM only apply - along with these additional questions:

• Which (plausible) baryon feedback models will fulfill the requirement for <10% scatter between models? - BIG JOB
Simulation Implementation Plan - Hydro

Questions:

• All the same questions from DM only apply - along with these additional questions:

• Which (plausible) baryon feedback models will fulfill the requirement for <10\% scatter between models? - BIG JOB

Scientifically, we don’t yet know how to do this. Significant effort needs to be put in to understanding how to simulate baryons.
We do not currently know how to accurately simulate baryons. We need to quantify the uncertainty in the simulations and marginalise over it.
Simulation Implementation Plan - Medium

Questions:

• How many simulations are required?
Questions:

• How many simulations are required?
• What are the specifications (box size, particle resolution etc) for the simulations?
Simulation Implementation Plan - Medium

Questions:

• How many simulations are required?
• What are the specifications (box size, particle resolution etc) for the simulations?
• Is a single specification sufficient for Euclid WL requirements or will several different sets of simulations be required?
Questions:
Simulation Implementation Plan - Catalogues

Questions:

• What software is required to generate these catalogues - Ray-tracing or line-of-sight integrations, IA, morphologies, photo-zs etc? Does the software already exist or does it need to be developed?
Questions:

- What software is required to generate these catalogues - Ray-tracing or line-of-sight integrations, IA, morphologies, photo-zs etc? Does the software already exist or does it need to be developed?
- What intrinsic alignment / photo-z error (etc) model will be used?
Simulation Implementation Plan - Catalogues

Questions:

• What software is required to generate these catalogues - Ray-tracing or line-of-sight integrations, IA, morphologies, photo-zs etc? Does the software already exist or does it need to be developed?

• What intrinsic alignment / photo-z error (etc) model will be used?

• What survey configuration should the catalogues have?
Simulation Implementation Plan - Catalogues

Questions:

• What software is required to generate these catalogues - Ray-tracing or line-of-sight integrations, IA, morphologies, photo-zs etc? Does the software already exist or does it need to be developed?

• What intrinsic alignment / photo-z error (etc) model will be used?

• What survey configuration should the catalogues have?

• What is the requirement for errors on the covariance matrices and should these be provided as a separate data product?
Questions:

• What software is required to generate these catalogues - Ray-tracing or line-of-sight integrations, IA, morphologies, photo-zs etc? Does the software already exist or does it need to be developed?

• What intrinsic alignment / photo-z error (etc) model will be used?

• What survey configuration should the catalogues have?

• What is the requirement for errors on the covariance matrices and should these be provided as a separate data product?

• How difficult will it be to include flexion and strong lensing in the catalogues?
The SUNGLASS pipeline

SUNGLASS - Simulated UNiverses for Gravitational Lensing Analysis and Shear Surveys

A pipeline that rapidly generates Monte Carlo simulated universes for weak lensing and cosmic shear analysis
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Source redshift evolution
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Testing for the Effects of Masking
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Euclid Red Book
Correlation Coefficient Matrix

\[ r = \frac{M_{\ell \ell'}}{M_{\ell} M_{\ell'}} \]

where

\[ M_{\ell \ell'} = (8.C_\ell 8.C_{\ell'}) \]

More realisations gives a more stable matrix.

Euclid Weak Lensing Kick-Off Meeting, Edinburgh, 2012

Correlation Coefficient Matrix

$r = \frac{M_{\xi}}{\overline{M}_{\xi}}$

where

$M_{\xi} = (8.C_{\xi}, 8.C_{\xi})$

100 realisations
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Fisher Matrix Cosmological Parameter Error Estimates
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Simulation Implementation Plan - Models

Questions:

• What alternative models should be investigated?
  1. Quintessence and early dark energy
  2. Inhomogeneous large-voids (LTB)
  3. WDM
  4. Non-Gaussian Initial Conditions
  5. Massive Neutrinos
  6. Self interacting dark matter
  7. Linear spatial dark energy fluctuations
  8. Non-linear spatial dark energy fluctuations
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Questions:

- What alternative models should be investigated?
  1. Quintessence and early dark energy
  2. Inhomogeneous large-voids (LTB)
  3. WDM
  4. Non-Gaussian Initial Conditions
  5. Massive Neutrinos
  6. Self interacting dark matter
  7. Linear spatial dark energy fluctuations
  8. Non-linear spatial dark energy fluctuations

- Can the catalogue generation software be used directly on these simulations or will it need to be modified?
Alternative Dark Matter Models

Galaxy Scale

Cold
Warm
Hot
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Credit: Ben Moore
Alternative Gravity Models

Anything that can simultaneously explain angular diameter distances (BAO, CMB) and luminosity distances (SNe Ia) could be called dark energy

BUT - this could be the result of modified gravity!

We need large-scale N-body simulations that will explore the nature of dark energy and alternative gravity theories
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Preliminary Tasks and Coordinators Identified by SIM-SWG

1. Large N-body runs and co-ordinated access to supercomputing facilities (Volker Springel)
2. Light-cone data format and ray-tracing tools (TBD)
3. Halo, sub-halo and smooth background decomposition, mass functions, merger trees (TBD)
4. Indexing and database of simulation products, data types (TBD)
5. Covariance estimation of various observables (TBD)
6. Tools for non-standard models (Marco Baldi)
7. Beyond 1% accuracy in dark matter statistics (Robert Smith, Pablo Fosalba)
8. The impact of baryons on cosmic statistical indicators (Romain Teyssier, Klaus Dolag)
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Summary

• Simulations are essential for the successful undertaking of the Euclid mission

• The simulations requirements for the Euclid mission are vast! It is an enormous undertaking that includes development of software and acquisition of hardware facilities.

• The simulations requirements are currently being finalised - please contact myself or Elisabetta Semboloni if you would like to add/modify any requirements (or if you would like to be involved in the development of the simulations).