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Space Middleware Context

Remote sensors and other resources could be used more effectively...

Some Assumed Problem Areas

- **Loose Scientist-Instrument Connection**
  - Coordinating mission plans is cumbersome and costly
  - Coordinating spacecraft is difficult (constellation or formation)

- **Insufficient Data Value**
  - Sensors increasing resolution, but data delivery limitations
    - Which bits to d/l (MGS <1%)? Data vs. Information vs. Knowledge
  - Difficult to perform coordinated measurements

- **High Operations cost**
  - Automation can reduce cost (e.g., automated data management)
  - Autonomy can increase capability and reduce cost
    - On-board reasoning (e.g., vehicle health, science goals, etc.)

- **Difficult Application Development**
  - Few standard API's or accepted s/w architecture
  - Difficult to coordinate among distributed resources
  - Limited robustness (e.g., failure det'n/recovery, s/w modification)
Proposed Solution Approach

- Conceptualize a set of standardized "shared services"
  - 3 broad categories: Communications, Storage, Processing
  - Distributed client-server model useful for all 3
    - Make object model highly flexible
    - Make clients as lightweight as possible
    - Simplify server replication (when necessary)
  - Build upon "enhanced" internet-style communication
    - Asynchronous messaging has many advantages
    - Publish/subscribe has further advantages
    - Message prioritization and efficiency are crucial
- Deploy "enhanced infrastructure" incrementally
  - Basic services: Messaging, time, events, security
  - Information services: data management, alarms
  - Higher-level services: navigation, weather, etc.
  - Agent interaction infrastructure (far future)
    - e.g., "autonomous" communication vs. "scheduled"

Key Common concepts

- Extensible Shared Object Model (e.g., SharedNet)
  - Client works with local objects (vehicle, sensor, etc.)
    - Create, modify events distributed via publish/subscribe
  - Server maintains current value (or history) for distribution
  - Only attributes and object references are transferred (efficient)
    - Objects can be "meta-objects" or even "meta-meta-objects"
- Higher-layer information processes
  - Constructed from lower-layer data
    - events, values, locations, etc.
- Common applications:
  - Monitoring (Sensors, Data Sources, Services, ...)
  - Information fusion (Situation awareness, ...)
  - Analysis (Distributed, automated, ...)
  - Decision support (Agent assistance, ...)
Prototype Shared IT Services

- **Communications**
  - Tolerate delay, disconnection, b/w limitation
    - Buffered, asynchronous, ...
  - Tolerate variety of network topologies (near/far)
    - Simplify data relay
  - Provide QoS (guarantees, reserved b/w, etc.)
    - Allow (dynamic) priorities (inc. time-to-live)
  - Allow choice of transport protocol
    - Support standards (e.g., CCSDS)
  - **FY02**

- **Processing (on-board & distributed)**
  - Simplify science processing
  - Support fault tolerance (service management)
  - Simplify off-board processing (like "solver service")
  - **FY03**

- **Storage**
  - Provide flexible storage type (e.g., image, meas’t, stream)
  - Provide query/retrieval capability
  - Support management functions (e.g., location, access)
  - Simplify transport (e.g., move, replicate)

**FY02 Prototype (Object Messaging)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example Scenario</th>
<th>Shows</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote Planning Coordination:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- e.g., MER-A/MER-B/ODY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan change by one affects others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time criticality (view periods)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiations reach a solution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal use of link to Earth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Ad-hoc&quot; remote comms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Robust MOM: buffered, async, QoS,...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extensible message object model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Subscription&quot; by message type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Simple client (Java API, C++ wrapper)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;GUI client&quot; displays filtered traffic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Can join &quot;after the fact&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This was not a Planner Demo!**
Enable remote applications to simplify science acquisition, processing, data management, and delivery.

Remote sensors and other resources could be used more effectively...

Science access could be simplified...

Simple Current Science Scenario

downlink

planning

acquire data

uplink

* image
Alternative Science Scenarios

Science User Selects/Refines Interest

User asks: "How many smooth objects with olivine spectra?"

User then fetches Metadata on resulting desired object(s)

User can also update subscription

User can fetch original object(s)
Conclusions
"Middleware-enabled Exploration" Issues

- Efficient use of bandwidth
  - Uplink/downlink
  - Usefulness of metadata
- Automated use of remote resources
  - Storage, communication, processing
- Potential to facilitate adaptive scheduling
  - Interface to on-board or off-board planner
    - Including schedule for infrastructure itself (e.g., comm)
- Improved quality of sensor data
  - Efficient handling of bandwidth constraints
  - Flexible remote data manipulation (even remote data mining)
- Generalized framework for distributed applications
  - Leverages robust infrastructure

Summary Benefits of Service Approach

- Simplified communications
  - Improve use of "local" network bandwidth
    - Higher aggregate capacity than typically "scheduled" for use
    - Lower latency, redundant, fault-tolerant, adaptive, etc.
  - Easily integrate sensor networks
    - Flexible message routing and filtering, sensor integration
  - Improve automation
    - Network "events" can trigger procedures
    - Automated reporting: sensors or health/status of spacecraft
- Simplified applications
  - Simplify use of distributed storage & processing
    - Data processed locally and shared efficiently
    - Software upload/installation (e.g., mods to Galileo s/w)
  - Assist failure discovery/recovery
    - Process restart or migration; application reconfiguration
  - Assist future autonomy
    - More information sources accessible for decisions
      - e.g., terrain, weather, off-board sensors
    - Simplify infrastructure for collaboration (joint planning, etc.)
      - Distributed intelligence; agents
Example Future “Exploration Web”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Services</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information Request</td>
<td>Navigation, Time, Goals, Meet, Forensics</td>
<td>Leveraged resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information Supply</td>
<td>Raw; sensor output</td>
<td>Higher science value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information Analysis</td>
<td>Process; science</td>
<td>Higher effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decision Output</td>
<td>Science Analysis vs Goals</td>
<td>Higher efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Query</td>
<td>Dynamic; data prioritization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processing</td>
<td>Where things are; What is available; Appl’、“modules; Remote &amp; Local</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Management</td>
<td>Hierarchy; storage; Remote &amp; Local</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Messaging</td>
<td>Up (even)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QoS services</td>
<td>Down (QoS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network services</td>
<td>Priority; guarantee; Bw usage; ‘a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Queues</td>
<td>Routing; multicast; End-to-end</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protocols</td>
<td>Channel; buffer; net</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCSDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Directions for future work

- Robust MOM is useful for almost all distributed apps
  - Show object information services layered above MOM
    - e.g., distributed data management: access/relay/archive/query
  - Show comm protocols layered below MOM
    - e.g., IP, CCSDS: PROX-1, CFDP
- Develop MOM functionality adapted for space
  - Simplify extending Message Object Model
  - Message forwarding; adaptive operation; scheduling
  - Verify robustness (disconnection, b/w, etc.)
  - Address CCSDS standards (e.g., SOIF)
  - Enable dynamic installation/removal – “standard services”
- Integrate with flight software
  - Simplify on-board processing (e.g., science extraction)
    - Provide support for dynamic algorithms (e.g., module upload)
  - Address software architecture issues (e.g., MDS, CLARAty)
- Migrate to RTOS on flight hardware
  - e.g., VxWorks on PPC
Backup Charts

Challenge Scenario Dataflow
Space: Remote Applications

Ground: User talks to Agent via UI
Example Queries

User asks: "how many objects in database?"
Query: "true"

User asks: "how many smooth objects?"
Query: "texture=smooth"

Science Agent requests downlink bandwidth

Interface between Agent & SHAC: