ID 39221 CL04-0553

GeoMap: Understanding Geological Structure from Remote Sensing Data

All Lab Lecture February 25, 2004

Exploration Systems Autonomy Section 367

Data Understanding Systems Group

Ken Hurst

The GeoMap Initiative



MSSS/JPL/NASA MOC image

- We want a 4D geological model of Mars, Earth, and other solid bodies.
- We have (and are now collecting) much relevant data.
- We just need an automated way to extract the desired knowledge.

GeoMap Benefits





Granite Peak Geologic Map and cross section

Mars:

- Aid site selection
- Interpretation of in-situ missions in context of a much more complete background understanding.
- Ease of integration and comparison of new data with existing data

Earth:

- Understand and protect our home planet

GeoMap Solution



- Ingest high-resolution image, hyperspectral, topographic, and in-situ data
- Identify lithologic units based on clustering of texture and mineralogy
- Recognize patterns in lithologic units.
- Interpret these patterns in terms of 3D structure
- Infer a relative chronology of events to create 3D structure
- Enter into a database

Outline of the rest of the talk

- Review remote sensing data
- Demonstrate plausibility of identifying lithologic units based on mineralogy and texture
- Digital Geologic Map Data Model
- Demonstrate how to infer 4D from 2D by hand
  - Review prior art
  - Proposed Parameterized Stochastic Grammar approach

Existing data - Earth

- HYPERION - EO1, 30 m pixel, Mineral ID, 220 bands 0.4-2.5 um
- ASTER – Terra, 14 bands, 0.5-12 um
- AVIRIS & HYDICE – Airborne Visible Infrared Imaging Spectrometer
- SRTM – 30 m pixel topography
- Ikonos – sub-meter pixel, commercial, visible
- Landsat – 30 m pixel 8 bands
- Others …

Existing data - Mars



- MOLA - MGS
- TES – MGS 3 km pixel, 6-50 um
- THEMIS – Mars Odessy, 15 bands
- MARSIS – Mars Express, several Km sub-surface radar
- OMEGA – Mars Express, 100m mineral mapping spectrometer
- MOC – 1 m pixel



Future MRO mission



- HiRISE – MRO 0.25-0.5 m pixel, stereo, visible
- CRISM – MRO mineral ID spectrometer, 0.4-4 um, 560 bands, 18 m pixel
- SHARAD – MRO Up to 1 km sub-surface, 15 m vertical resolution, 0.3-3 km pixel

Complementary orbital and surface data

- Some observations are easy on the surface:
  - Small scale nature of a contact
  - Cliffs
- Some observations are easy from orbit:
  - Large scale morphology and context
    - Note: Spectral data from orbit can be sensitive to crystal/grain size

Mineral ID 1

- AVIRIS (Airborne Visible-Infrared Imaging Spectrometer)
- Processed to identify OH-, CO3-, and SO4- minerals



Mineral ID 2

- AVIRIS (Airborne Visible-Infrared Imaging Spectrometer)
- Processed to identify Fe2+, Fe3+ minerals



Cuprite, Nevada
AVIRIS 1995 Data
USGS
Clark & Swayze

Tricorder 3.3 product

amorphous iron oxides

nano-Hematite

Fine-grained to medium-grained Hematite

Large-grained hematite

Goethite

Lepidocrosite

Jarosite

Fe^{2+}-bearing minerals + Hematite

Fe^{2+}-bearing minerals

Fe -bearing minerals: broad absorptions

Note Fe^{2+}-bearing minerals are mainly muscovites and chlorites

N

2 km

Digital Geological Data Model

- Under development by State Geologists and USGS
- Digital Data Model for building a database containing the information in a Geological Map



4D from 2D

- In geology, time and space are closely linked by the "Principal of Superposition"
  - First stated by Steno in 1669
- Younger sediments overlie older sediments unless the strata have been overturned.



Corollaries 1



- Cross-cutting relationships
  - Younger events (rock units) cut across older events (rock units)
- Inclusion
  - Inclusions in sedimentary or igneous rocks are older than the surrounding rock unit

Corollaries 2



Unconformities

- An unconformity (erosional surface) is younger than the truncated rocks and older than overlying rocks

Deformation

Orientation of contacts: The Three point problem



- Given a dipping boundary, and some topography, it is possible to work out the angle of the dip.
- Also craters can be thought of as "boreholes"

Cartoon Example





Example: Harrisburg PA



Example – Matrix Manipulation to Infer Chronology

	A	C	E	B	G	F	D
A				2			
C							3
E						5	
B		1					
G						2	
F				1	4		
D			8				

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
A		2	X	X	X	X	X
B			1	X	X	X	X
C				3	X	X	X
D					8	X	X
E						5	X
F		1					4
G							

Caveats of Matrix Manipulation

Needs extension to handle the concept of "during"

Chronology is often not unique

- This is unavoidable since the information in the lithic record may not be complete.

Geological time concepts (after Ady 1993)



- A before B; B after A
- A meets B; B met-by A
- A overlaps B; B overlaped-by A
- A starts B; B started-by A
- A during B; B contains A
- A finishes B; B finished-by A
- A equals B

Geological time concepts

Erosion introduces gaps

Simultaneous events

Finite duration events

Frequently under-constrained

- Erosion removes part of the record of the history written in the rocks
- Sub-surface structure could be arbitrarily complex and still match 2D data.
- There is usually a "simplest" solution consistent with the data

Balanced Cross-section

- Deformed strata (folded and faulted) should occupy the same volume as they did in their original un-deformed state.
- Humans are not good at this – computers are.



Stochastic Grammar

- Origin in natural language analysis
- Break down a sentence into sub-elements
  - Non-terminals – (eg noun-phrase, verb-phrase)
  - Terminals
- Relationships between elements may be probability rules, binary decision trees, or other
- Relationships between elements build up interpretation

Grammar using a sentence

The boy hit a ball.

- <sentence> → <noun-phrase> <verb-phrase>
- <noun-phrase> → <article><modified-noun>
- <verb-phrase> → <verb> <noun-phrase>
- <modified-noun> → <adjective><modified-noun>
- <article> → a | the
- <noun> → boy | ball
- <verb> → hit

Geological Grammar building blocks

- <submergent phrase> Sequence going from sediment with pebbles in it through sandstone to mudstone
- <volcanic intrusive phrase> Igneous rocks surrounded by non-igneous. Surrounding rock contains minerals altered by hydrothermal fluids.
- Existing models are 1 dimensional. We will need to generalize to 3 spatial dimensions and time.

Roadmap: Grammar

Phase 1: Develop a Stochastic Grammar to infer the sub-surface structure and chronology of events from an annotated map or image. Will involve extension of Stochastic Grammar concept to deal with notions of 3D spatial relationships, orientation, and chronology.

Roadmap: Annotated image



Phase 2: Adapt and extend software to identify lithologic units, estimate their orientation, and annotate the image.

Roadmap: Integration

Phase 3: Integrate the results of Phase 1 and 2 to produce a prototype system ingesting raw image data, and producing a geologic map with 4D interpretation stored in a Digital Geologic Map Data Model database

Roadmap: Production



Akna Montes mountain belt, Venus,
http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/imgcat/html/object_page/mgn_c160n291_1.html

Phase 4: Refine the system to be a production system and apply it to global data for Mars, Earth, and other solid planetary bodies.

Summary

- There is enough data to “cut our teeth”
- We are soon to have much more data
- We can do mineralogy with remote sensing data
- There are


Plagioclase
0
0
0
0.2
Detection Limit 0.1
Thermal Emission Spectrometer


Cuprite, Nevada
AVIRIS 1995 Data
USGS
Clark & Swayze
Tricorder 3.3 product
K-Alunite 150C
K-Alunite 250C
K-Alunite 450C
Na82-Alunite 100C
Na40-Alunite 400C
Kaolinite wxl
Kaolinite pxl
Kaolinite+smectite or muscovite
Halloysite
Dickite
Alunite+Kaolinite and/or Muscovite
Calcite
Calcite + Montmorillonite
Calcite +Kaolinite
Na-Montmorillonite
low-Al muscovite
med-Al muscovite
high-Al muscovite
Jarosite
Buddingtonite
Chalcedony
Nontronite
Pyrophyllite + alunite
Chlorite + Montmorillonite or Muscovite
Chlorite
N
2 km

Principle of Superposition

"Both the most obvious, unnecessary, uninteresting and intellectually insulting of considerations, and also the most subtle as it relates time and space and essentially derives the time sequence from the space order" (Harland 1978)

Corollaries 3

Physical continuity

Metamorphism

Algorithm for inferring time order of events from 2D map (Burns 1976)

- Construct a matrix in which each bed is represented once on the rows and columns.
- Wherever a time relationship between two beds is known, make an entry in the matrix such that row is older than column.
- Triangularize the matrix by transposition of columns. Inability to triangularize indicates inconsistent information.
- Can then "fill in" much of rest of matrix

Example using stratigraphic sequence (Duane, 2001???)