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Coherent Optical Receiver for PPM Signals under Atmospheric Turbulence
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Abstract—Adaptive combining of experimentally obtained heterodyned pulse position modulated (PPM) signals with pulse-to-pulse coherence in the presence of simulated spatial distortions resembling atmospheric turbulence, is demonstrated. The adaptively combined PPM signals are phased up via an LMS algorithm suitably optimized to operate with PPM in the presence of additive shot-noise. A convergence analysis of the algorithm is presented, and results with both, computer simulated and experimentally obtained PPM signals are analyzed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of a laser beam as a carrier for a satellite-to-ground link enables transmission using very narrow beam divergence angles. Inhomogeneity in the temperature and pressure of the atmosphere leads to variations of the refractive index and the transmission path. Since the index of refraction of air is not uniform, it distorts the electromagnetic wave passing through it. Therefore, a laser beam traversing the atmosphere is constantly being refracted, or bent and as a result scintillation occurs [1].

This turbulence-induced fading impairs free-space optical links in much the same way that flat multipath fading impairs radio-frequency wireless links. These variations of refracted index as well as pointing vibrations can cause fluctuations in the intensity and phase of the received signal leading to an increase in link error probability.

Absorption by water vapor reduces the energy content in the communication beam, and turbulence increases the beam's divergence. The three main atmospheric processes that affect optical wave propagation are absorption, scattering, and refractive-index fluctuations. Index of refraction fluctuations lead to irradiance fluctuations, beam broadening, and loss of spatial coherence of the optical wave at the receiver.

In the context of optical communications, this randomization of the optical phase-front often requires the use of larger receiver field of view, thus admitting more unwanted background radiation into the receiver. In the presence of background radiation, performance of direct detection optical receivers often degrades significantly. One way to overcome the effects of background radiation is to use coherent detection, which is generally much less sensitive to background effects than direct detection [2]. In addition, detectors used for coherent detection have higher quantum efficiency than those used for direct detection photon-counting applications.

The solution proposed here is to use focal-plane arrays to collect optical signals from different spatial modes of the received signal field simultaneously, and then recombine the signals optimally. Analysis and proof-of-concept demonstration of coherent adaptive array detection with PPM signals will be described in the following sections.

With coherent detection, the local oscillator mixes with the modulated wave at the surface of the photodetector as...
shown in Fig. 1 [3].

![Coherent Optical Receiver Configuration](image)

**Figure 1** - Coherent Optical Receiver Configuration

The heterodyne detector converts phase changes in the optical carrier to phase changes in the optical intensity, which are reproduced in the detected current waveform. More detailed analysis was already shown in [4].

### 2. EXPERIMENT DESCRIPTION

The experimental setup of the optical coherent combining experiment consists of two Nd:YAG lasers operating at 1064 nanometers, whose outputs are aligned and combined on the surface of a 4x4 detector array.

One of the lasers serves as a local oscillator while the other simulates the received signal. The two lasers are operated at slightly different wavelengths, yielding a relatively stable difference-frequency tone of approximately 6 MHz in the detected signal. The difference-frequency tone is generally observed in several array elements simultaneously, but usually with different phases. If the detector element outputs were simply summed, the addition of out-of-phase signal components could result in significant cancellation, yielding a weak signal tone at the output. However, if individual detector elements over which the signal field is essentially coherent are processed separately, then the outputs can be phase-aligned prior to addition, effectively recovering the lost signal power.

In the current coherent combining experiment, each of the 16 outputs of the detector array are amplified, and input to a 16-channel data-acquisition assembly (using GaGe data-acquisition cards). The analog signals are digitized to 8 bits at a sampling rate of 25 mega-samples per second (MSPS). The data-acquisition system is capable of synchronously recording up to 1 megabyte of data per channel (or one million 8-bit samples), however we have elected to work with only 104128 samples per channel for these tests, in order to simplify the data-transfer from the data-acquisition computer to the signal-processing computer. At a sampling rate of 25 MSPS, this sample-stream represents 4.16512 ms of elapsed time. Four channels that contained significant signal were identified, and at a certain time synchronously collected 104128 samples were collected from each channel (in a realistic communications scenario, the combining algorithm would automatically select the "signal" pixels for processing). The modulation format for the transmitted laser signal is PPM using an external Electro-Optic Modulator (Pockle cell). At the GaGe scope we can see the PPM modulated beatnotes (Fig. 5) at a rate of 97.65 kHz. The PPM frame period is 10 μs and the slot width is 300 ns, resulting in approximately a 32-PPM system.

![Coherent Combining Experiment at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, NASA](image)

**Figure 2** - Coherent Combining Experiment at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, NASA

A snapshot of an individual laser pulse that contains the coherently detected PPM beatnote under ideal conditions is shown in Fig. 3.

![Snapshot of an Individual PPM Pulse Beatnote under Ideal Conditions](image)

**Figure 3** - Snapshot of an Individual PPM Pulse Beatnote under Ideal Conditions
The optical local oscillator frequency was displaced from the received optical signal frequency by 6 MHz, resulting in an intermediate detected frequency of 6 MHz. The heterodyned PPM intermediate signal was sampled 25 MHz (40 ns samples), and the resulting sample-stream digitally downconverted to complex baseband (this operation effectively upconverted the 488KHz laser relaxation oscillation to 6.5 MHz, which was subsequently removed from the complex baseband samples by low-pass filtering. The resulting downconverted complex samples served as input to a least-mean-square (LMS) algorithm, which was used to estimate the complex weights required to reconstruct the signal. The complex-weighted samples from each channel were then combined, in order to maximize the combined signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

A rotating pre-distorted plexiglass plate was incorporated into the experimental setup to simulate atmospheric turbulence. Intensity distributions of the signal beam at the input to the focal-plane array under ideal conditions and with simulated turbulence are shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 4 - Beam Profile under Ideal (undistorted) Conditions (left), and with Simulated Turbulence using a Plexiglass Plate (right)

Fig. 5 illustrates coherently detected PPM beatnotes in five different channels in the presence of atmospheric attenuation.

Figure 5 - Sampled Sequences of 5 Channels Containing PPM-Modulated 6MHz Beatnotes

3. ADAPTIVE COMBING OF BEATNOTES USING THE LMS ALGORITHM

The discrete complex version of the LMS algorithm can be described by the following recursive equation:

\[ W_i(n+1) = W_i(n) + \mu S_i^*(n)\varepsilon(n) \]  

The LMS is a recursive algorithm that allows the value of each weight, \( W_i \), at the \( (n+1) \) sample to be calculated from its value at the \( n \)th sample, using the signals at the \( n \)th sample. The sampled error signal is obtained from the sampled reference signal and array output, as follows:

\[ \varepsilon(n) = d(n) - s(n) = d(n) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i(n)S_i(n) \]  

The LMS algorithm described in Eqs. (1) and (2) is complex in the sense that the input and output data as well as the weights are all complex values.

In our experiments, the reference signal is a constant value, equal to the sum of the average magnitudes of the signals in the signal channels. The weights are computed from Eq. (1) starting with zero initial values. Varying the stepsize, it is possible to control the fraction of the current weight estimate applied during each update, providing a desired degree of smoothing to the weight estimates.

4. ADAPTIVE COMBINING OF SIMULATED DATA: SIGNAL TONE AND 32-PPM SIGNALS

Convergence of the combining weights as a function of sample number has been analyzed (derivation in Appendix). Several cases have been considered, including signal tone and PPM signal observed under ideal conditions. Fig. 6 shows the comparison of the convergence of the LMS algorithm for the case of signal tone versus a 32-PPM signal.

It has been demonstrated that the number of samples required to obtain convergence in the case of \( M \)-ary PPM signal with a peak power constraint is \( M \) times the number of samples required by a continuous tone, due to decreased total signal energy in the lower duty-cycle modulated waveform. Therefore, for the simulated case of a signal tone, convergence is obtained after 4 samples, while for 32-PPM, 128 samples are required for convergence, as illustrated in Fig. 6 for \( M=1 \) and an introduced phase weight variation of 1 radian between every channel.
Comparison of Output Convergence for Signal Tone and a 32-PPM Signal (real part of LMS Output) using weight computed in Appendix

Fig. 7 shows a comparison of convergence for a simulated signal tone and a simulated 32-PPM signal where the stepsize is $\mu=0.003$ (detector array consists of 16 detectors). Convergence is obtained for a signal tone after 125 samples, and for the 32-PPM signal after 4000 samples, as expected. Other cases have been studied using different values of stepsize. If the stepsize values is increased to $\mu=0.008$, the LMS algorithm converges faster, hence only 1000 samples are needed for convergence as opposed to 4000 samples for the previous case.

Small stepsize tends to produce accurate weight estimates under static conditions; however the algorithm may not be able to keep up with rapid changes under dynamic conditions with small stepsize. This often leads to "weight misadjustment" errors under dynamic conditions, as the weight estimates cannot keep up with the signal dynamics. Therefore, there is typically a best stepsize to use for each situation.

It is important to note that in the literature, the stepsize is usually taken to be much smaller than one, but that is because the signal is assumed to be of unity amplitude. In our experiment, the signal levels tend to be very small as there is not enough amplification after detection. Therefore, larger values of stepsize are needed to provide adequate updates to the weights.

We first consider the case using a stepsize of $\mu=5$ (considered to be small for the experimentally recorded data, which are on the order of 0.01 for the individual channels). The sum of the magnitudes of the signals in the four selected channels is approximately 0.2. Fig. 8 shows the combined output (and weighted channel components, shown in greater detail in Fig. 11) of the LMS combiner for this case; with a stepsize of 5, the LMS algorithm cannot keep up with the phase variations in the beatnote, hence the combined output signal never reaches its maximum value of 0.2; instead, it reaches only about 0.14.

When a larger stepsize is used, $\mu=8$, the combined output achieves the desired value of 0.2 as illustrated in Fig. 9. We
see that the combined output reaches its desired maximum value after approximately 800 samples; this translates to an acquisition time of approximately 32 μs.

![Combined output for μ=8](image)

Fig. 9 - Combined Output with μ=8

Fig. 10 shows the behavior of the phase of the combining weights, as a function of time (or samples). We observe that the phase of the weights have a sawtooth shape due to the continuously changing phase in the downconverted output, which is not exactly at zero frequency.

![Phase of the weights for μ=8](image)

Fig. 10 - Phase of the weights for μ=8

In summary, it can be observed that the experimentally obtained PPM signals are combined correctly with the larger stepsize, and converge to their desired final value in less than a millisecond.

![Combined Output and Weighted Signal Components with μ=8](image)

Fig. 11 - Combined Output and Weighted Signal Components with μ=8

6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS II: CONVERGENCE OF THE LMS ALGORITHM WITH PPM SIGNALS IN THE PRESENCE OF SPATIAL DISTORTIONS CAUSED BY A STATIC PLEXIGLASS PLATE IN THE OPTICAL PATH

For the case of combining detector array output signals spatially distorted by the plexiglass plate, the desired signal magnitude is the addition of the average magnitudes of the individual channels, which in this case turned out to be 0.064. Initially, we attempt to combine adaptively using a stepsize of 10, however it can be seen from Fig. 12 that the stepsize is too small hence the LMS algorithm cannot keep up with the residual phase variations and only attains a magnitude of 0.035. Fig. 13 shows the phase of the LMS weights for μ=10.
Referring to Figs. 14, 15 and 16, we observe that as we increase the value of the stepsize to $\mu=20$, we get greatly improved combining performance. The combined output shown in Fig. 14 and in more detail in Fig. 16 has increased, approaching its maximum value of 0.064. At this point, the stepsize is large enough so that the LMS algorithm is able to keep up with the phase-rotation of the complex downconverted beatnote. The algorithm is able to track changes in the intensity distribution of the signal due to distortions introduced by atmospheric turbulence that range from 1ms to 10ms. Fast convergence of the algorithm allows higher SNR despite of signal fluctuations due to atmospheric turbulence.
These results illustrate that increasing the stepsize allows the LMS algorithm to follow and track the phase-rotation of the complex downconverted beatnote. For this value there is accurate tracking of the signals and accordingly, the error signal approaches zero, and maximum combined output is achieved.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We have performed initial testing of an optical coherent communications receiver using PPM signals operating under simulated turbulence conditions. We have shown that a modified LMS algorithm can be used to track the phase of PPM signals generated by the photodetector array, producing an optimally combined signal. Work is continuing to detect the combined PPM signals and verify laboratory performance with theoretical results. Thus far, we have modulated the received optical field with PPM but maintained the pulse-to-pulse coherence of the optical fields enabling the use of a simple modified version of the LMS algorithm. New algorithms that do not depend on temporal coherence on a short time-scale, are also been developed as the requirements for pulse-to-pulse coherence are relaxed, such as a suitably modified version of a "constant modulus algorithm" (CMA) and other appropriate algorithms for tracking pulsed laser signals received under turbulent conditions.

8. APPENDIX

For a desired signal \( d(n) = 1 \), and \( \mu = 1 \)
Assuming that: \[ \sum |S_n| = 1 \]

And for \( n = 1 \) the following weights are obtained:

\[
S_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad S_2 = \frac{1}{2} e^{j\alpha_2}, \quad W_1(1) = W_2(1) = 1
\]

\( n = 1: \)
1. \( y(1) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{j\alpha_2} \)
2. \( e = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} e^{j\alpha_2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} e^{j\alpha_2} \)
3. \( eS_2^* = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} e^{j\alpha_2} \right) \frac{1}{2} e^{-j\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{4} (e^{-j\alpha_2} - 1) \)
\[
eS_1^* = \left( \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8} e^{j\alpha_2} \right)
\]

with \( \mu = 1 \),

\[
W_2(2) = 1 + \frac{1}{4} (e^{-j\alpha_2} - 1) = \frac{1}{4} (e^{-j\alpha_2} + 3)
\]

\[
W_1(2) = \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{4} e^{j\alpha_2}
\]

For the case of \( n = 2 \) and \( n = 3 \) the following analysis is shown:

\( n = 2: \)

\[
y(2) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{4} (5 - e^{j\alpha_2}) \\
\frac{1}{4} (e^{-j\alpha_2} + 3)
\end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} e^{j\alpha_2}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{6}{8} + \frac{2}{8} e^{j\alpha_2}
\]

\[
e = 1 - y(2) = \frac{2}{8} - \frac{2}{8} e^{j\alpha_2}
\]

\[
eS_2^* = \left( \frac{2}{8} - \frac{2}{8} e^{j\alpha_2} \right) \frac{1}{2} e^{-j\alpha_2} = \frac{2}{16} e^{-j\alpha_2} - \frac{2}{16}
\]

\[
eS_1^* = \left( \frac{2}{16} - \frac{2}{16} e^{j\alpha_2} \right)
\]

\[
W_2(3) = \frac{6}{16} e^{-j\alpha_2} + \frac{10}{16}
\]

\[
W_1(3) = \frac{22}{16} - \frac{6}{16} e^{j\alpha_2}
\]
\[ n = 3: \]
\[ y(3) = \frac{28}{32} + \frac{4}{32} e^{j\phi_2} \]
\[ e(3) = 1 - y(3) = \frac{4}{32} e^{j\phi_2} \]
\[ eS^*_2 = \frac{4}{64} e^{-j\phi_2} - \frac{4}{64} \]
\[ eS^*_1 = \left( \frac{4}{64} e^{-j\phi_2} \right) \]
\[ \omega_4(4) = \frac{36}{64} + \frac{28}{64} e^{-j\phi_2} \]
\[ \omega_1(4) = \frac{92}{64} - \frac{28}{64} e^{j\phi_2} \]

These weight values were used to compute the output values of the LMS algorithm for the example shown in Fig. 6.
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