General Relativistic Decompression of Binary Neutron Stars During Inspiral

Mark Miller Mark.A.Miller@jpl.nasa.gov Jet Propulsion Laboratory





Numerical Relativity: Solving the Einstein field equations, which govern gravitational phenomena, on supercomputers.

× The Einstein field equations are arguably the most complicated set of nonlinear partial differential equations in all of classical physics!

 \times If written out in full generality (which is the case we solve on computers), the equations would take tens of pages.

× Black holes are particularly nasty to treat numerically, since they contain physical singularities.

Servitational waves given off by neutron stars and black holes have wavelengths hundreds of times larger than the length scale of the objects themselves: this is difficult to treat numerically.

Realistic simulations often take hundereds of thousands of hours of CPU time or more!

<u>Q: Why would one want to solve the Einstein field equations in</u> <u>the first place?</u>

A: If we knew what kind of gravitational wave signals were produced by coalescing black holes and neutron stars, we could turn gravitational wave detection into a seperate branch of astronomy: *Gravitational Wave Astronomy*!

LISA: Laser Interferometer Space Antenna

http://lisa.jpl.nasa.gov



LIGO: Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory

http://www.ligo.caltech.edu



Do the mutual tidal forces of binary neutron stars cause them to collapse (to black holes) before merger?

"<u>General Relativistic Decompression of Binary Neutron Stars</u> <u>During Inspiral</u>" - MM gr-qc/0510020

<u>general relativistic hydrodynamics simulations</u>: 2nd order finite difference discretization, BSSN formulation for Einstein equations, HRSC methods for hydro, local gauge conditions, Sommerfeld-like boundary conditions for GR fields, outflow boundary conditions for hydro
 <u>initial data</u>: circular orbit, quasi-equilibrium, conformally flat, corotating



<u>Idea:</u> track multiple-orbit simulations to look for "precompression" effect (as reported in Mathews and Wilson - Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000); Wilson - Phys Rev. D 66 (2002); Wilson and Mathews - ApJ 610 (2004); Dearborn, Wilson, Mathews – ApJ 630 (2005)).

Evidence to the contrary: Lai – Phys. Rev. Lett 76 (1996); Wiseman – Phys. Rev. Lett 79 (1997); Brady and Hughes – Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997); Baumgarte, Cook, Scheel, Shapiro, Teukolsky – Phys Rev. D (1997); Flanagan - Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998); Thorne – Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998); Taniguchi and Gourgoulhon – Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) and Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003).

Perform fully general relativistic calculation to answer this question.

Initial Data:

Neutron stars: circular orbit, quasiequilibrium, co-rotating
decrease angular velocity by 2 -4%, and resolve Hamiltonian and momentum

constraints: eccentric orbit

Evolution:

- HRSC hydro methods, BSSN spacetime, "1+log" lapse evolution, gamma-driving shift
 perform large scale computations:
- ~10,000 timesteps, ~700³ gridpoints, 1/2Terabyte of memory





Orbital separation (r) and central density (ρ_{center}) of Neutron Stars