Abstract—In FY 2002, JPL launched a lab-wide software quality improvement effort aimed at addressing the challenges of developing, managing and acquiring software in an engineering and scientific environment. The lab’s senior management formed the Software Quality Improvement (SQI) Project in order to establish an ongoing operational program that results in the continuous, measurable improvement of software quality at JPL. After some study, the SQI Project identified several factors that are critical for the successful and timely management and implementation of software-intensive systems.

The initial focus of their efforts was the basic project management of mission-critical software, based on the CMMI® model. The areas of emphasis selected included software project planning, software project monitor and control, software acquisition management, software risk management, software requirements management, software quality assurance, software configuration management, and software measurement and analysis. The SQI Project developed a broad range of products, services, and training to support managers and practitioners throughout the entire software development life-cycle.

As products, services and training were developed, each one needed to be systematically deployed. Hence, the SQI Project developed a deployment process that includes four aspects: infrastructure and operations, communication and outreach, education and training, and consulting support. In addition, the SQI Project took a very proactive approach to organizational change management and customer relationship management – both concepts and approaches not traditionally invoked in an engineering environment.

This paper describes JPL’s approach to improving the management of software. It discusses the various products, services and training that were developed, describes the deployment approach used, and concludes with several “lessons learned” about changing how software is managed, developed and acquired.

1. INTRODUCTION

About JPL

The Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), located in Pasadena, California is a non-profit federally funded research and development center (FFRDC) which is operated by the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). JPL is part of the U.S. aerospace industry, and is NASA’s lead center for robotic exploration of the solar system. In addition to its work for NASA, JPL conducts tasks for a variety of other federal agencies, such as the Department of Defense, the Department of Transportation, the Department of Energy, etc. JPL has approximately 5500 employees: 4500 in the technical and programmatic divisions and 1000 in the administrative divisions. Its annual budget is approximately $1.4 billion.

Background

Motivated by some highly visible failures in which software was implicated in mission loss (e.g., Mars ’98) and by a NASA-wide software engineering initiative, JPL undertook
a major software quality improvement effort. JPL senior management formed the Software Quality Improvement (SQI) Project in FY 2002 in order to establish an on-going operational program that results in the continuous, measurable improvement of software quality at JPL. The SQI Project is chartered to provide education, training, mentoring, and consulting for projects and practitioners in order to enable and promote software best practices, and to leverage JPL experience in software engineering in support of major software projects, throughout the entire software life-cycle.

The SQI Project itself consists of the following components:

1. **SQI Project Management** that manages the SQI Project and all its activities, and communicates with JPL senior management and with other external interfaces.
2. **CMMI® Implementation Team** that develops and implements various CMMI® practices and conducts appraisals against the CMMI® model.
3. **Process and Product Definition Element** that defines, and refines repeatable processes and a set of engineering and management practices for project use.
4. **Measurement, Estimation and Analysis Element** that provides infrastructure for software estimation, costing and measurement; and collects and analyzes measures of development performance.
5. **Deployment Element** that promotes communication and infuses practices into project use; provides education, training and consulting for projects; and provides SQI Project infrastructure.

The SQI Project was able to build on some previous process improvement activities at JPL in the 1980’s and 1990’s, including Total Quality Management (TQM), Process-Based Management (PBM), ISO 9000 certification, and the Software Resource Center (SORCE). However, they had to deal with some “baggage” associated with these previous initiatives as well.

**2. SOFTWARE COMMUNITY**

JPL’s employees are classified into 13 job families, and each family has several disciplines and sub-disciplines. While the majority of the JPL Software Community consists of practitioners in the Information Systems and Computer Science (IS&CS) job family, software managers are categorized as either Line Management or Program/Project Management. Also, personnel who are categorized as Engineering and Technical would still be considered part of the Software Community provided that at least 50% of their work is software-intensive. Given this range of categories, the Software Community at JPL consists of approximately 1200 to 1300 people.

SQI’s primary customers are members of JPL’s Software Community, with an initial focus on mission-critical software for spacecraft, instruments, and associated ground systems. The customers can be further categorized into two groups -- software management and software practitioners. Software management includes Project Element Managers (PEMs), Project Software Systems Engineers (PSSEs), software managers, mission assurance managers (MAMs) and Level I and II line managers and supervisors of software-intensive organizations. Software practitioners include cognizant engineers (Cog Es), software engineers, software test engineers, software configuration management (CM) engineers, and software quality assurance (SQA) engineers.

Other customers include managers in JPL program and project offices whose purview is broader than software, but whose scope encompasses it as well. Usually these managers have a hardware background and could benefit from exposure to the fundamental concepts associated with software management and planning. Hence, other customers include program managers, project managers, systems engineers, others with whom software personnel interact regularly, and anyone whose decisions impact the way software is developed or acquired. Lastly, it includes selected members of the Acquisition Division involved with acquiring software or systems with embedded software.

**3. GENERAL APPROACH**

The SQI Project identified several factors that are critical for the successful and timely management and implementation of software-intensive systems. The initial focus of their efforts was the basic project management of mission-critical software based on the CMMI® model. The areas of emphasis selected included software project planning, software project monitoring and control, software acquisition management, software risk management, software requirements management, software quality assurance, software configuration management, and software measurement and analysis. In the past two years, they developed a broad range of products, services, and training to support managers and practitioners throughout the entire software development life-cycle. As products, services and training were developed, each one needed to be systematically deployed.

*About the Capability Maturity Model Integration*

The Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI®) is an evaluation and appraisal model, developed by the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) at Carnegie Mellon University, which is used to evaluate the “maturity” of an organization’s processes [3], [17]. The CMMI® models build on, extend, and integrate the best practices of the Capability Maturity Model for Software (SW-CMM®), the Systems Engineering Capability Maturity Model (SE-CMM®), and the Integrated Product Development Capability Maturity Model (IPD-CMM®).
Model (IPD-CMM®) [18]. The model is defined in terms of Process Areas and Maturity Levels and has two representations:

- Staged representation that organizes the process areas by maturity level
- Continuous representation that organizes the process areas by process categories and measures capability level

Although CMMI® was developed to reduce the risk of DOD software procurements, this model has become a popular framework for process improvement in both government and industry. Benefits of implementing recommended practices include significant improvements in software defects and consequent rework, cost and schedule predictability, and productivity of the development team. All the CMMI® process areas are shown by maturity level in Table 1.

JPL is currently implementing the CMMI® in four pathfinder software-intensive sections. The near-term goal is to demonstrate CMMI® Maturity Level 2 compliance for selected projects in these sections by the end of FY 2005. JPL’s CMMI® target profile showing expected capability level for each process area by fiscal year is shown in Figure 1. Progress will be assessed against the continuous representation of the CMMI® model. Formal CMMI® appraisals use the Standard CMMI® Appraisal Method for Process Improvement called SCAMPI. Informal, Class B appraisals, which use slightly more relaxed criteria for evidence, have been conducted at JPL for the past three years. Complete information on the CMMI® and SCAMPI can be found on SEI’s CMMI® website at <http://www.sei.cmu.edu/cmmi/cmmi.html>.

**Use of Organizational Change Management (OCM) and Customer Relationship Management (CRM)**

Aware of the danger that all this effort could become “shelfware,” JPL’s senior management was eager to commit the resources to ensure that these changes were deployed into the software community, and that they impacted the way that software is managed, developed and acquired. As a result, the SQI Project took a very proactive approach to organizational change management and customer relationship management, both concepts and approaches not traditionally invoked in an engineering environment. This approach is the antithesis of the typical one affectionately known as “If we build it, they will come.” Instead, it involves proactively reaching out to customers, and doing whatever it takes to facilitate their understanding and usage of processes, products and services.

For those not familiar with these two concepts, some definitions are provided here. Organizational change management (OCM) is “the methodology that integrates change and the ability to adapt into the organization.” [18] OCM involves working with a target community to systematically introduce them to desired changes in such a way that those changes are eventually adopted and become commonplace [11], [19]. It is based largely on Rogers’ seminal work on diffusion of innovation [15] which is summarized in Table 2. The SQI Project has employed several of these strategies for diffusing innovations including relevance, customer focus, user friendliness, education, likelihood, measurement and testimony [1].

One of the premises of OCM is that people tend to fall into one of five change adoption categories and respond accordingly, as shown in Table 3. Another premise is that individuals tend to commit to change in predictable stages as shown in the OCM curve in Figure 2 [6]. The SQI Project developed specific descriptions for what each of the OCM stages would mean at JPL and then utilized them in their OCM approach. See Table 4 for their OCM stage definitions and activities. They chose four pathfinder software-intensive sections to be “early adopters” and worked closely with them to implement various aspects of the CMMI® and the JPL software processes. In addition, they proactively reached out to projects in the early stages of development, e.g., Phase A – Mission and System Definition and Phase B – Preliminary Design. Lastly, they developed several venues for reaching out to the software community as a whole. These are described in more detail in Section 6.

The SQI Project is very fortunate to have the “must haves” necessary for success in creating major change defined by Hutton [9]:

1. A compelling reason for change – NASA agency-wide initiative, Caltech JPL Advisory Group recommendations, recent highly visible failures and corresponding accident reports
2. Suitable sponsors – Associate Director for Flight Projects and Mission Success, and Directors for program and technical Directorates
3. Informed commitment of sponsors – substantial burden funding and active commitment of Directors and senior management
4. A change agent or “champion” – Process Owner for the Develop Software Products (DSP) process.

Customer relationship management (CRM) is “a strategy used to learn more about customer’s needs and behaviors in order to develop stronger relationships with them.” [5] CRM helps ensure that all products and services truly provide value to the customer, and that the “real” customers are being targeted and reached [4], [7], [16].

**Available Products**

The SQI Project developed a broad range of products, services, and training to support managers and practitioners throughout the entire software development life-cycle.
Available products fall into the following categories:
1. Institutional requirements (includes policies, processes, procedures, and standards)
2. Compliance matrices
3. Handbooks and guides
4. Checklists
5. Templates
6. Sample documents
7. Studies and Reports (including engineering models)

Actual products available within each category are shown in Table 5. Each of these products was designed to assist managers and practitioners in generating the typical deliverable products that are part of the software life-cycle, and to ensure that those products comply with the JPL standard software process. This includes cost estimates, plans, reviews, documentation, test procedures, etc.

Some of the more popular products tend to be the document templates because they not only provide a document outline, but also include actual document format and content suggestions. The most helpful template has proven to be the Software Management Plan (SMP) template since it assists projects in planning their development activities. Training is discussed in Section 7 and consulting services are discussed in Section 8. Of course, once a product becomes available, it needs to be deployed.

4. DEPLOYMENT PROCESS

The SQI Project developed and is following a rigorous process for creating and deploying an asset that includes:
1. Collect user requirements and/or CMMI® needs.
2. Generate the process, product or artifact.
3. Develop Infrastructure and Operations approach and tools to support it.
4. Develop Communications and Outreach materials to support it.
5. Develop Education and Training materials to support it.
6. Perform Project Support to promulgate it.
7. Collect process and customer metrics to track it.
8. Capture and document Lessons Learned.
9. Update the process, product or artifact based on feedback.

Hence, the deployment process includes the following four parts which occur sequentially whenever a product is deployed, as shown in Figure 3:

1. Infrastructure and Operations -- develop the necessary infrastructure and operations approach for each area.
2. Communication and Outreach -- communicate with, and systematically reach out to, the user community so that they know what is available and understand where to obtain it.
3. Education and Training -- provide classroom and computer-based training in the desired processes, products and tools
4. Project Support -- provide consulting support to projects across a broad range of relevant topics.

These four parts of deployment are described in more detail in the next four sections of this paper.

5. INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS

Infrastructure and Operations involves developing the necessary infrastructure and operations approach for the SQI Project as a whole, and also for each item to be deployed. It includes the contact management system, problem management system (action item tracking, problem/failure reporting), configuration management system, electronic library, metrics collection, customer e-mail lists, customer tracking database for CRM, project calendar, target audience definition and strategy, intellectual property approach, and Operations Plan. This is the foundation upon which all other aspects of deployment are built. Of course, the products and services themselves must first be generated, and this infrastructure greatly assists that process.

6. COMMUNICATION AND OUTREACH

Communication and Outreach involves communicating with, and systematically reaching out to, the user community so that they know and understand what is available. It includes a website, presentations, seminars, brochure, OCM and CRM approaches, surveys, forums, interest groups, etc.

The SQI Project generated an SQI OCM approach that defines the following:
• Organizational change management strategy
• Infusion goals and change acceptance time lines
• Themes and thrusts
• Key stakeholders and segments
• Communications vehicles
• SQI logo, brochure, fliers, and tag lines
• Roles and responsibilities, and interactions amongst the elements to achieve the changes.

This OCM approach informs all aspects of their communication and outreach activities and provides an integrated message to their customers.

The SQI Project developed an extensive website to support their user community and gave it a very easy to find URL. The website is structured along the lines of the product categories in Table 5. It also includes information about training, seminars, CMMI, frequently asked questions,
contacts, etc. They also generated a tailor presentation describing the products, services and training it provides, and is in the process of giving the presentation to all software-intensive organizations at the lab. In addition, they developed a 3-fold brochure, bookmark and cubicle clip to help promote their website and services. They use multiple communication channels to communicate their message, ranging from community e-mail lists, to websites, posters, fliers, cafeteria monitors, newsletters, etc.

Also, the SQI Project sponsors several seminars in an attempt to reach out to the software community as a whole, and to a particular set within that, namely Software Test Engineers.

1. SQI Software Seminar Series – Shares information about the practices and methodologies for improving software quality.
   - One-hour noon-time presentations by internal JPL speakers on various software topics concerned with software processes, practices, methodologies, and project experiences.

2. SQI Software Tool Service (STS) Seminar Series – Highlights software engineering tools available from industry and academia.
   - Short seminars and tutorials are offered by various vendors on their commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) tools for use in the software development process, including CASE tools, operating systems, languages, debugging tools, and test tools, etc.

3. JPL Software Test Guild – Provides a forum for JPL Test Engineers to network, learn and share knowledge.
   - One hour special interest group (SIG) meeting of software test engineers covering topics ranging from test tools to test methodologies to lessons learned.

7. EDUCATION AND TRAINING

Education and Training involves providing educational materials and classroom and computer-based training in the desired processes, products and tools. It includes a JPL Software Training Plan that defines the target customers, required skills sets, and training goals, and describes the training process to be utilized [23]. Courses are offered on such topics as software management, software engineering, and process improvement [10]. A biannual training survey is conducted in order to gauge the impact of, and satisfaction with, the software training program. In addition, the four-part Kirkpatrick Model is used to evaluate training effectiveness [13]. (See Table 6 for a description of JPL’s use of this model.) The course titles and target audience in each category are discussed below.

Software Management Courses

Currently four software management courses are offered to Project Managers (PMs) and Project Element Managers (PEMs) to give them a general overview of software project planning, and then more details on software project monitor and control. All courses are offered quarterly and include Software Management and Planning (SMP), Quantitative Software Management (QSM), Software Risk Management (SRM), and Software Acquisition Management (SAM).

Software Engineering Courses

Currently five software engineering courses are offered to Cognizant Engineers (Cog Es) and Software Engineers, including Software Product Engineering (SPE), Software Peer Reviews (SPR), Software Testing, System Software Reliability, and System Requirements and Management. The last course covers systems requirements as well as software requirements.

Software Process Improvement Courses

The software process improvement training is focused on the Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI®). Four courses are offered including Overview of CMMI®, Introduction to CMMI®, Intermediate CMMI®, and Mastering Process Improvement. While these courses are primarily meant for SQI Project personnel, process engineers, system engineers and any others involved in process improvement, it is recommended that senior managers and other managers also take the overview course.

8. PROJECT SUPPORT

Project Support involves providing consulting support to projects across a broad range of relevant topics so that they can use the products in their own environment and for their specific purposes. It includes consulting in the areas of cost estimation, software project planning, software project tracking, earned value management (EVM), metrics definition and implementation, defects and reliability, software acquisition, software tools, use of templates, software testing, software quality assurance, CMMI®, etc.

Extensive consulting support is provided to the four target sections and also to projects in the early phases of the system life-cycle. Additional ad hoc consulting is provided as requested. Examples of consulting support provided to projects include:
1. Support for generating software cost estimates (effort, schedule, budget) for proposals, Phase A studies or detailed Phase B cost estimates, based on the Software Cost Estimation Handbook, the Cost Database, Software Cost Analysis Tool (SCAT) [2], and “rules of thumb” provided in various institutional models (productivity, development effort by phase, schedule time by effort, etc.)

2. Support for doing software project planning, especially generating a Software Management Plan (SMP), based on the SMP Template, the Software Development Requirements (SDRs), the Software Process Tailoring Guide, the Software Risk Management Handbook, sample documents, etc.

3. Support for evaluating, selecting, and procuring various software engineering tools via the Software Tool Service (STS).

4. Support for generating various types of documentation based on the various document templates, applicable handbooks, sample documents, etc. (See Figure 4.)

All customer contacts made by SQI are tracked via the SQI contact management system and categorized as follows: Information, Outreach, Training, and Consulting. This consulting support is provided free of charge up to a certain point, usually around 40 hours.

9. LESSONS LEARNED

The JPL SQI Project has collected a number of observations or “lessons learned” from its efforts to improve the management of software in an engineering and scientific environment. These observations or lessons fall into three basic categories: process improvement, creating assets, and deploying assets.

Process Improvement

1. Start with a proven framework. – The CMMI® framework offered a proven process improvement approach and appraisal benchmark. It facilitated the measurement of progress against that benchmark.

2. Get outside help if necessary. – Consulting support on CMMI® provided by the Center for Systems Management (CSM) proved to be very helpful in understanding the model and in generating evidence for appraisals. The advice of the Lead Auditor to “start small, start slow, and start simple” [12] helped in setting realistic goals and objectives, and establishing an appropriate horizon for change acceptance.

3. Build on previous efforts. – The SQI Project was able to build on previous reengineering efforts, especially the major reengineering activities associated with Process-Based Management (PBM) and ISO 9000 in the late 1990’s.

4. Reach the “front line” too. – The SQI Project is very fortunate to have the “must haves” necessary for success in creating major change. Senior management support is important, however, so is the support of front line managers (supervisors). That support needs to be painstakingly earned, one meeting or presentation at a time.

5. Other concurrent major changes can be a mixed blessing, i.e., sources of distraction or opportunity. – Recently the entire Engineering and Science Directorate (ESD) underwent a major reorganization, and the effects on software improvement still need to be fully understood. It means many new players, but also provides many additional opportunities.

Creating Assets

6. Start by documenting the current processes. Documenting the current processes provides a necessary baseline and a basis for future improvement.

7. Provide tools to support requirements and facilitate process compliance. – When you begin with specific requirements and then develop tools to actually implement them, e.g., handbooks, templates, models, then

8. Utilize many reviewers to promote ownership. – When many reviewers who are representative of different domains and perspectives provide comments on new or revised products, it promotes ownership or “buy-in” of the final result.

9. Strive for consistency amongst products – When many products and guidelines are generated over time by several different individuals, there can be issues with consistency amongst those products. At some point, it is necessary to step back and review the entire product suite to ensure that they are consistent with the standards and frameworks being utilized, and that they are consistent with each other. That is, standards, handbooks, templates and training about a particular topic all need to convey the same message.

10. Allocate sufficient time for curriculum development. – Curriculum development and defining course content is very time consuming. On average, it takes approximately four months to develop a new course.

11. Update courses regularly. – When processes and products are changing and the environment is dynamic, courses need to be updated regularly to reflect the latest information.

Deploying Assets

12. Use OCM and CRM to facilitate change. – There are several advantages to proactively using OCM and CRM. It helps to maintain a customer focus and to create motivation for reaching out to customers. Also, when setting priorities, it helps to know who your primary target audience is vs. your secondary or tertiary audience. For example, some “eager beavers” or early adopters may not be part of your primary customer group.
13. **Pair Process Engineers with “Shepherds”**. - Process Engineers from each of the target sections were paired with a representative from the SQI Project who worked with them to understand CMMI® and its implications for their organization.

14. **Address “culture issues” head on.** - The SQI Project needed to address some culture issues it encountered when deploying assets.
   - a. The major difference between how software is developed for Flight Software applications and how it is developed for Ground Software applications, especially software that is developed for multi-mission purposes.
   - b. Factors that engendered resistance to change such as the perception of insufficient time and resources to try something new, program and project constraints, and the difficulty of change itself.
   - c. “Baggage” from previous process improvement efforts and the false perception of “just another unfunded mandate.”

15. **Conduct internal appraisals periodically.** - When periodic internal appraisals are conducted, it reveals progress and helps to show what additional effort is required to meet the stated goals.

16. **Collect metrics and measure regularly.** - Collect metrics, and conduct regular surveys and user forums to determine the level of infusion into the organization and to uncover any barriers to acceptance. Measure infusion, effectiveness, customer satisfaction, progress, etc. Remember that “without measurement, you’re just guessing!”[14]

17. **Track customer contacts.** - It is helpful to track customer contacts so there are no duplications and customers don’t feel pestered by many representatives covering the same territory. It is also useful for reporting to outreach efforts to management.

18. **Use an electronic library.** - Use of an electronic library promotes information sharing and collaboration among various team members and projects.

19. **Communicate via multiple avenues and promote shamelessly.** It never helps a change effort to be a “well kept secret.” No matter how many times a message is communicated, there still may be some who haven’t gotten the message. Some people prefer e-mail, while others prefer fliers, posters, presentations or seminars. It helps to think of novel ways to attract attention such as bookmarks, cubicle pins, brochures, websites, etc.

20. **Address frequently asked questions about products and about who to contact.** Create a set of frequently asked questions (FAQs) to reflect recent enquiries and to anticipate the types of concerns customers may have. Make a list of Points of Contact (POCs) for various types of issues and who the subject matter experts are for each area.

10. **CONCLUSIONS**

Changes in how software is managed, developed and acquired do not come quickly or easily. The improvement process needs to be approached with many of the same deliberate methods and practices that are used in actual system development [8]. It helps to proactively reach out to customers instead of only waiting for them to come to you. It is important to maintain the proper balance between defining processes or generating assets and actually deploying them and supporting customers. If this balance is not achieved, all the products generated just become “shelfware.”
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Figure 1 JPL CMMI® Target Profile (Capability Level by Fiscal Year)

Table 1 CMMI® Process Areas By Maturity Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity Level</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>CMMI® Process Areas</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5 Optimizing   | Continuous Process Improvement | Organizational Innovation and Deployment (OID)  
Adv. Support |
| 4 Quantitatively Managed | Quantitative Management | Organizational Process Performance (OPP)  
Adv. Project Mgmt. |
| 3 Defined      | Process Standardization | Requirements Development (RD)  
Technical Solution (TS)  
Product Integration (PI)  
Verification (Ver)  
Validation (Val)  
Organizational Process Focus (OPF)  
Organizational Process Definition (OPD)  
Organizational Training (OT)  
Integrated Project Management for IPPD (IPM)  
Risk Management (RSKM)  
Integrated Teaming (IT)  
Integrated Supplier Management (ISM)  
Decision Analysis and Resolution (DAR)  
Organizational Environment for Integration (OEI) | Engineering  
Engineering  
Engineering  
Engineering  
Basic Process Mgmt.  
Basic Process Mgmt.  
Basic Process Mgmt.  
Adv. Project Mgmt.  
Adv. Project Mgmt.  
Adv. Project Mgmt.  
Adv. Support  
Adv. Support |
| 2 Managed      | Basic Project Management | Requirements Management (REQM)  
Project Planning (PP)  
Supplier Agreement Management (SAM)  
Measurement and Analysis (MA)  
Process and Product Quality Assurance (PPQA)  
Configuration Management (CM) | Engineering  
Basic Project Mgmt.  
Basic Project Mgmt.  
Basic Project Mgmt.  
Basic Support  
Basic Support |
| 1 Initial      |                        |                                                          |                               |
Table 2  Rogers’ Diffusion of Innovation Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes of Innovation</th>
<th>Strategies for Optimizing Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative Advantage</strong> – degree to which the innovation is perceived to improve upon existing solutions</td>
<td><strong>Technology Improvement</strong> – Introduce a new technology that is more powerful than the existing technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compatibility</strong> – the difficulty associated with mastering the new innovation</td>
<td><strong>Relevance</strong> – Make sure the problem solved by the innovation is important to adopters. <strong>Realism</strong> – Do not try to change too much at once or to please too many different types of users. <strong>Customer Focus</strong> – Seek input from current and future adopters and design a solution that they want.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complexity</strong> – the difficulty associated with mastering the new innovation</td>
<td><strong>Developer Friendliness</strong> – Reduce the learning curve for developers of the innovation. <strong>User Friendliness</strong> – Reduce the learning curve for adopters by making the innovation easy to learn and use. <strong>Reuse</strong> – Reuse as much of the old process and technology as possible. <strong>Education</strong> – Provide tutorials and demonstrations to potential users and managers. Publish useful information on Web pages and offer pointers to Early Adopters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trialability</strong> – the ability to experiment with the innovation before adopting it in normal operations</td>
<td><strong>Cost</strong> – Reduce the cost of trial use. <strong>Likelihood</strong> – Increase the likelihood that trial use will succeed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observability</strong> – the ease with which improvement is noticed after adoption of the innovation</td>
<td><strong>Measurement</strong> – Collect data about the old and new technologies for comparison. <strong>Testimony</strong> – Provide forums for adopters to describe their experiences. <strong>Shadowing</strong> – Provide a side by side comparison by running two projects with the same goals, but with one using the old technology and the other using the new.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[15]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Responses to Innovation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovators</strong> – those who create new technologies</td>
<td>Gatekeepers for any new technology; appreciate technology for its own sake; appreciate architecture of technology; will spend hours trying to get technology to work; very forgiving of poor documentation, slow performance, incomplete functionality, etc.; helpful critics</td>
<td>Will settle for buggy or difficult-to-use solution components; are accustomed to finding their way around the glitches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early Adopters</strong> – those who are the first to try innovations</td>
<td>Dominated by a dream or vision; focus on business goals; usually have close ties with “techie” innovators; match emerging technologies to strategic opportunities; look for breakthrough; thrive on high visibility, high risk projects; have charisma to generate buy-in for projects; do no have credibility with early majority</td>
<td>Can see the strategic advantage of the improvement or change and are willing to help the organization get there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early Majority</strong> – those who establish an innovation’s success by adopting it for regular use</td>
<td>Do not want to be pioneers (prudent souls); control majority of budget; want percentage improvement (incremental, measurable, predictable progress); not risk averse, but want to manage it carefully; hard to win over, but are loyal once won.</td>
<td>Can see the advantage of the improvement or change and are willing to carefully adopt it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Late Majority</strong> – those who adopt an innovation after its success has been demonstrated</td>
<td>Avoid discontinuous improvement (revolution); adopt only to stay on par with the rest of the world; somewhat fearful of new technologies; like preassembled packages with everything bundled</td>
<td>Need a lot of support to adopt the solution component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laggards</strong> – those who never adopt or who do so reluctantly after it becomes necessary</td>
<td>“Nay sayers”; adopt only after technology is not recognizable as separate entity; constantly point at discrepancies between what was promised and what is</td>
<td>Are very resistant to changing the status quo, despite the effectiveness of the solution component.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[6], [15]
Figure 2 OCM Curve and Stages
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Figure 3 SQI Deployment Process

**Asset Creation & Review**
Generate the asset (process, product, tool, or service), and conduct internal and external reviews.

**Infrastructure & Operations**
Place the asset in the process asset library (PAL) – on-line electronic library – under configuration management.

**Communication & Outreach**
Communicate with, and systematically reach out to, the user community so they know the product is available and where to obtain it.

**Education & Training**
Provide educational materials and classroom training in underlying concepts and how to use the asset.

**Project Support**
Provide hands-on consulting support to projects in using the asset in their environment and for their specific purposes.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCM Stages</th>
<th>OCM Stage Name</th>
<th>OCM Definition at JPL</th>
<th>Associated OCM Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Never heard of SQI Project</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Have heard of SQI Project</td>
<td>SQI publicity and outreach activities -- SQI brochure, bookmark, cubicle clip, announcements, e-mail, fliers, posters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Awareness</td>
<td>Aware of SDRs, Software website, SQI Road Show, and existence of SQI Software Seminar Series</td>
<td>SDRs and FPPs in JPL Rules!, Software website, SQI Road Show, SQI Software Seminar Series, forums, surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understand SDRs, basic SQI products (templates, handbooks, guides, etc.) and CMMI® Maturity Level 2 Process Areas (PAs)</td>
<td>SDR Awareness Briefing, SDR Overview course, SQI training courses – SMP, QSM, SPE, Overview of CMMI; SQI Software Seminar Series, Software Test Guild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Installation (Trial Use)</td>
<td>Utilize SDRs and some SQI products and services; implement specific practices of some CMMI® PAs (CL 1)</td>
<td>SQI consulting –planning, SDRs, cost estimates metrics, tools, etc.; benefits &amp; rationale, case studies, SQI impact metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Adoption</td>
<td>Some orgs/projects comply with SDRs and FPPs; implement some CMMI® PAs at Capability Level 2 (CL 2) -- specific and generic practices</td>
<td>Target sections and Process Engr., SQI Rep./Shepherd, CMMI® Class B appraisals &amp; SCAMPIs, CMMI® Implementation Plans, more training/coaching, lessons learned; address barriers to change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Institutionalization</td>
<td>All mission-critical software orgs &amp; projects comply with SDRs and FPPs; achieve CMMI® Maturity Level 2/3</td>
<td>SQI Element activities, CMMI® Profile, CMMI® Pre-Assessments and formal SCAMPI, SQI OCM activities and metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Products</td>
<td>Products Available in Each Category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1. Institutional Requirements | Flight Project Practices (FPPs)  
Design, Verification/Validation and Operations Principles  
Software Development Requirements (SDR) Policy  
Flight Project Gate Documents |
| 2. Compliance Matrices | Practices and Principles Compliance Matrix  
SDR Compliance Matrix |
Software Process Tailoring Guide  
Software Project Measures Guide  
Software Requirements Development and Management Guide  
Software Reviews Handbook  
Software Risk Management Handbook  
Software Stress Testing Guideline |
| 4. Checklists | Milestone Review Checklists  
Architectural Design Review Checklist  
Software Delivery Review Checklist  
Software Design Review Checklist  
Software Requirements Review Checklist  
Software Test Readiness Review Checklist  
Peer Review Checklists  
Architectural Design Checklist  
C Code Checklist  
Detailed Design Checklist  
FORTRAN Code Checklist  
Software Inheritance Checklist  
Software Requirements Checklist  
Source Code Checklist  
Test Plan Checklist  
Testing, Results and Delivery Checklist |
| 5. Templates | Command Dictionary Template  
Release Description Document (RDD) Template  
Software Design Document (SDD) Template  
Software Interface Specification (SIS) Template  
Software Management Plan (SMP) Template  
Software Requirements Document (SRD) Template  
Software Supplier Agreement Management Plan (SSAMP) Template  
Software Test Plan (STP) Template  
Telemetry Dictionary Template  
| 6. Sample Documents | Sample Release Description Documents (RDD)  
Sample Software Coding Standards  
Sample Software Management Plans (SMP)  
Sample Software Requirements Documents (SRD)  
Sample Software Specification Documents (SSD)  
Sample Software Test Plan (STP)  
Sample User’s Guides (UG) |
| 7. Studies and Reports | Conference Papers and Publications  
Flight Software Cost Growth: Causes and Recommendations  
Mission Critical Software Survey  
Profile of Software at JPL  
Software Engineering Models  
Survey of Software Tools and Practices |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kirkpatrick Model Level</th>
<th>Kirkpatrick Model Level Name</th>
<th>Kirkpatrick Model Definition at JPL</th>
<th>Associated Kirkpatrick Model Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reactions</td>
<td>Collect reactions of class attendees, and perceptions of instructors and SQI Training Coordinator</td>
<td>Completed module and course evaluation forms from course participants, evaluation compilation and analysis, verbal feedback from instructors, Training Coordinator perception of reception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Learning</td>
<td>Determine what principles, facts and techniques were understood and absorbed by the class attendees, i.e., what they now know and are able to do as a result of the training</td>
<td>Results of follow-up interviews conducted &lt;= 10 weeks after class, annual training survey results; demonstrated comprehension of course concepts and techniques, pre- and post-test vehicles and results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCM and CMMI® Activities Below</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Behavior</td>
<td>Determine changes in “on-the-job” behavior in the workplace itself related to the desired and taught behavior vs. original behavior.</td>
<td>Course objectives, specific desired behaviors for each module, specific practices of CMMI® PAs; detailed behavior surveys, “Quick Look” evaluations, SQA assessments, CMMI® Class B Appraisals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Determine specific results across the workplace, i.e., progress towards primary SQI goals: cost and schedule predictability, quality of mission-critical software, project start-up time, productivity, defect rates, and reuse of software products.</td>
<td>SQI measurement program, especially institutional trends; foundation models, measures, and databases.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4  Software Documentation Using SQI Templates