# Status of AIRS Only Retrieval
## AKA No AMSU Retrieval

## AIRS Science Team Meeting
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California
March, 2006

Sung-Yung Lee, Thomas Hearty, Evan Manning, George Aumann
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

Joel Susskind, John Blaisdell, GSFC

Chris Barnet, Lihang Zhou, NOAA/NESDIS

# Summary

- Version 4.1.7 can retrieve without AMSU
  - Cloudy Regression replaces MW only algorithm
  - Cloudy regression is followed by cloud clearing and the rest of team algorithm without the use of AMSU data
- AIRS Only retrieval works well, but with outlier issues
- Each build of PGE software is tested with and without AMSU
- A PLR test has been implemented as an additional QC but not analyzed yet
- To do list
  - New regression based on training set with above PLR filter (L Zhou)
  - Regression based error estimate (Susskind/Blaisdell)
  - QC based on regression error estimate (Susskind/Blaisdell)
  - Possible upgrade of QC (Lee/Susskind/Barnet)

# Latest Statistics over Ocean Surface



AIRS/AMSU                                    AIRS only

- V4.2.2 temperature statistics wrt ECMWF, over sea night cases
- V4.2.2 has new RTA as well as new tuning, but no new error estimate or QC
- Red/Cloudy Regression or MW only, Blue/Initial Regression, and Green/final retrieval

Sylee        3/9/06

3

# Latest Statistics over Land Surface



AIRS/AMSU

AIRS Only

- V4.2.2 temperature statistics wrt ECMWF, over night land cases between 60N and 60S
- Red/Cloudy Regression or MW only, Blue/Initial Regression, and Green/final retrieval
- V4.2.2 has new RTA as well as new tuning, but no new error estimate or new QC

# V4.2.2 Yields over Night Cases

## Land

## Ocean

**AIRS/AMSU**

**AIRS Only**

- V4.2.2 (latest version with statistics) has new RTA as well as new tuning, but no new error estimate or QC

Sylee          3/9/06

5

# Histogram of Skin Temperature



AIRS/AMSU



AIRS Only

- Both are version 4.2.1 and over day sea
- Outliers Issues are evident in AIRS Only case

Sylee        3/9/06

6

# Histogram of 969 mb Temperature



AIRS/AMSU

AIRS Only

- Both are version 4.2.1 and over daytime sea
- AIRS/AMSU retrieval changes bias in AMSU only retrieval
- Cloudy regression is less biased, but with outliers

Sylee        3/9/06

# Pseudo Lapse Rate



AIRS 2387 and 2388 cm-1

Black: 2387 cm-1
Blue: 2388 cm-1
Red: 2388 cm-1 − 2387 cm-1

- Black: 2387 $cm^{-1}$ sensitivity function
- Blue: 2388 $cm^{-1}$ sensitivity function
- Red: Difference of sensitivity funtions
- Both channels see little surface (0.2% and 0.7%) for US standard atmosphere
- Sensitivity functions peak near 600 mb and 700 mb, respectively
- The difference of weighting functions peaks near 500 mb and 800 mb
- PLR is normally close to a lapse rate.

Sylee        3/9/06

8

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

**Jet Propulsion Laboratory**
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

# PLR Test

- PLR = $Tb^{2388}$ - $Tb^{2387}$

- TEST = PLR < min(5.0,6*cos(lat)) and abs(lat) < 60 and topog < 2000m

- If TEST eq TRUE, all quality indicators, including Qual_Cloud_OLR, is set to 2 (bad, do not use for data analysis)
  - The test is NOT applied to polar regions or high mountains

- This test will be applied after all retrievals are finished, in addition to other QC tests.

- But retrieved values will be kept for later debugging purpose

- Further study of QC will continue
  - QC based on AIRS only regression based error estimate
  - QC over high altitude area or high latitude area

Sylee       3/9/06

# PLR vs Quality Flags: Qbot=0



Sept 6, 2002      Jan 3, 2003      May 27, 2003

- PLR vs latitude for three focus days
- Proposed PLR threshold in red

Sylee     3/9/06

10

# PLR Test Improves Temperature Statistics

## Non-polar ocean

## Tropical Ocean



- With(Blue) and without(Black) PLR Test
- Point temperature (not layer mean) statistics Version 4.1.12

Sylee 3/9/06

11

# Metric for AIRS Only Retrieval

- My personal impression is that AIRS only retrieval works relatively well when the scene is relatively clear, but with unsolved outlier issues.
  - Measurement without error estimate is not a useful measurement.
- Mous: How do we measure success of AIRS Only Retrieval?
  - Compare statistics of AIRS only with those of AIRS/AMSu retrieval
  - How do we compare apples and oranges?
- Three Data Sets
  - $S^{AA}$ : All retrievals accepted by AIRS/AMSU retrieval
  - $S^{AO}$ : All retrievals accepted by AIRS only retrieval
  - $S^{C}$: The intersection of $S^{AA}$ and $S^{AO}$
- Compare AIRS/AMSU statistics on $S^{AA}$ with AIRS Only statistics on $S^{AO}$.
  - QC of AIRS Only retrieval is poorly understood
- Compare AIRS/AMSU statistics with AIRS Only statistics on a common set $S^{C}$ or $S^{AA}$.
  - This is equivalent to applying AIRS/AMSU QC on AIRS Only retrieval and makes AIRS Only retrieval artificially better.

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

**Jet Propulsion Laboratory**
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

# Plan

- The PLR test was implemented in PGE, but the retrievals are not analyzed yet. (improvement in statistics shown today is from offline testing)

- New training based on the PLR test was requested to Lihang at NESDIS.

- Further study of QC will continue.

- GSFC will generate the regression coefficients for error estimate for AIRS only retrieval and QC based on the regression error estimate.

- We need to define the "metric" for AIRS only retrieval.