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Ka-band For Deep Space Missions

- Ka-band is planned to be a telecommunications link frequency for upcoming deep-space missions
  - Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter
  - Kepler
  - Mars Telesat Orbiter
  - Jupiter Icy Moons Orbiter
  - Solar Probe
- Previous Studies Have Been Conducted using Ka-band on Deep Space Missions
  - Mars Observer
  - Mars Global Surveyor
  - Deep Space 1
  - Cassini
- Recently Studies have been conducted using Cassini’s Ka-band carrier
Ka-band For Deep Space Missions

- Ka-band offers increased advantage over X-band as a telecommunications link frequency
  - In theory about 11 dB advantage
- However, atmospheric liquid and gaseous water (as well as reduced antenna efficiency) contribute to loss and fluctuations in Ka-band signals
  - In practice, expect about 6 to 8 dB advantage over X-band
- It becomes important to characterize and understand propagation effects at Ka-band
  - Have demonstrated about 5 dB advantage over X-band using Ka-band
- Such knowledge is useful for developing optimum telemetry return strategies using Ka-band
- Significant amount of work has been performed to understand these effects by the Earth orbiting satellite community
- Such atmospheric-induced effects on signal power include
  - Rain fades
  - Scintillation
  - Increased thermal noise
Recent Ka-band Deep Space Mission Activity

- Cassini Gravitational Wave Experiment (GWE) was conducted between December 6, 2002 and January 14, 2003
- Prime antenna equipped with Ka-band used for Cassini GWE is located in Goldstone, California
- Experiment was conducted during opposition when charged particle effects are expected to be minimal
- Experiment was conducted in late autumn and winter and at night; Goldstone desert climate expected to be cold and dry
  - Minimal atmospheric effects expected on Ka-band signal links
- A full complement of troposphere media calibration equipment was gathering data used to calibrate out atmospheric effects from GWE data.
  - Water Vapor Radiometers – co-aligned along signal path of BWG
  - Microwave Temperature Profilers
  - Meteorological Sensors
Signal Amplitude

- Amplitude (or SNR) extracted from open-loop and closed-loop receivers
- About 438 hours of Goldstone Ka-band signal amplitude (and phase) data were acquired during the 40 day campaign
- Very few passes revealed any signatures that could be attributed to weather
- 2002/349 – few periods of minor “fading” up to 5 dB
  - Correlated with cloudy weather and increased activity revealed by WVR data.
  - Probably due to mechanical response of system exacerbated by the weather
- 2002-363 – only example of “classic” rain fade
  - Reached a maximum value of 6 dB in SNR
  - Exceeded 1 dB over 2 hours in SNR
  - Exceeded 1 dB over 1 hour in attenuation
  - Signature of fade feature is in good agreement with fade reconstructed from combined thermal noise and attenuation contributions predicted from WVR data.
- 2002/365 – few fades
  - Used as example pass to evaluate scintillation
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Model

- **Parameters**
  - \( T_{\text{phys}} = 280 \text{ K} \)  
    physical temperature of atmosphere (model)
  - \( \tau = 0.0365 \)  
    atmosphere optical depth (model)
  - \( T_{\text{equip}} = 35 \text{K} \)  
    contributions to Tsys of antenna and equipment at DSS-25 (model)
  - \( T_{\text{cos}} = 2 \text{ K} \)  
    cosmic background at 32 GHz (model)
  - \( A = 1/\sin(\text{elev}) \)  
    number of air masses at elevation angle

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{\text{sys-mod}} &= T_{\text{cos}} \cdot e^{-\tau A} + T_{\text{phys}} (1 - e^{-\tau A}) + T_{\text{equip}} \\
T_{\text{sys-wvr}} &= T_{\text{wvr}} + 0.3 + T_{\text{equip}} \\
T_{\text{atm-mod}} &= T_{\text{phys}} (1 - e^{-\tau A}) \\
T_{\text{atm-wvr}} &= T_{\text{wvr}} + 0.3 - T_{\text{cos}}
\end{align*}
\]

- **Attenuation**
  - \( \text{Att (dB)} = 10 \log (1 - T_{\text{atm}}/T_{\text{phys}}) \)
  - \( \text{dAtt} = 10 \log (1 - T_{\text{atm-wvr}}/T_{\text{phys}}) - 10 \log (1 - T_{\text{atm-mod}}/T_{\text{phys}}) \)

- **Thermal Noise**
  - \( \text{dTN} = 10 \log (T_{\text{sys-wvr}}/T_{\text{sys-mod}}) \)

- **Total SNR Degradation**
  - \( \text{dAtt + dTN} \)
Attenuation "Fade" Statistics

- Only Cassini GWE pass observed to have significant fading attributed to weather was 2002/363 (December 29, 2002)
- Other periods with significant attenuation predicted from WVR data fell outside Cassini GWE signal acquisition periods
- 1 dB attenuation exceeded in about 1 hour over 438 hours of acquired signal amplitude data
  - Fade exceeded 0.22% of time, includes January (438 hours)
  - Fade exceeded 0.37% of time for December only (273.5 hours)
- AWVR2 complete data set acquired during December 2002
  - A 1 dB fade would be exceeded 0.7% of the time
- Overall Goldstone WVR data base used for DSN 810-5 Document
  - December - 1 dB fade would be exceeded 0.45% of the time
  - January - 1 dB fade would be exceeded 0.53% of the time
Examples of SNR with Short-term Signal Variations

For these passes, these short period “fades” appear to be correlated with mechanical pointing control response to the weather.
Signal Amplitude: Scintillation

- Measured scintillation computed by taking a moving average using high pass filtering at a suitable cutoff frequency to remove long period trends.
- Samples were presumed to perform low pass filtering to remove very rapid fluctuations attributed to other noise sources.
- Even during periods of turbulent weather along signal path, effect of scintillation was relatively small.
- Level of scintillation appears to be minimal and lies below thermal noise level (0.12 dB) for most of the pass until effect can be detected at low elevation angles.
- **Amplitude scintillation does not dominate fluctuations in signal amplitude**
- Data from other spacecraft missions confirm this.
Signal Amplitude: Scintillation
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Features due to “fades”
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Combined thermal noise and Otung Model.

Conclusion

- Troposphere Effects on Ka-band Carrier Signals were examined by analyzing data from “recently” conducted Cassini GWE
- Amplitude fades observed but were rare given Goldstone winter nighttime dry climatic conditions
- Scintillation was below detectable levels for most of the experiment and considered negligible
- Such data is useful to study effects on Ka-band signals for planning for deep space missions
- A more rigorous study of tropospheric effects on Ka-band signals will be conducted with upcoming MRO mission which has a full Ka-band link
  - Telemetry Experiments
  - Navigation Experiments
  - Propagation Experiments
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