

Space Interferometry Mission System Testbed-3: Architecture^{1, 2}

Oscar S. Alvarez-Salazar, Renaud Goullioud, Ali Azizi
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,
4800 Oak Grove Dr, m/s 171-113
Pasadena, CA91109
818-393-5952
osas@s383.jpl.nasa.gov

Abstract - The Space Interferometry Mission's System testbed-3 has recently integrated its Precision Support Structure and spacecraft backpack (bus) on a pseudo free-free 0.5 Hz passive isolation system. The Precision Support Structure holds a 3-baseline stellar interferometer instrument. The architecture of the instrument is based on the current flight system design, and its main purpose is to demonstrate nanometer class fringe stabilization using the path length feed forward technique. This paper describes the overall instrument architecture, brief theory of operation, and preliminary measurements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. THEORY.....	1
3. ARCHITECTURE.....	3
3.1 SETUP.....	5
3.2 SUBSYSTEMS.....	5
3.3 PFF ARCHITECTURE.....	8
3.4 INSTRUMENT OPERATION.....	8
4. PRELIMINARY RESULTS.....	9
5. SUMMARY.....	9
6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	9
7. REFERENCES.....	9

1. INTRODUCTION

The space interferometry mission, SIM, System Testbed-3, STB3, has been integrated at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory. Figure 1.1 is a picture of the testbed showing the collector bays on the near and far ends, and the Combiner bays in the center of the picture. The testbed instrument is designed to have the functionality of SIM's Flight System, and is

charged with demonstrating nanometer class fringe stability using the Path Length Feed Forward Technique, PFF. Goullioud *et al* [1] have already demonstrated the viability of PFF during the first phase of STB3. This early work was conducted on an optical table and while using a shared 3-baseline stellar interferometer design (4.5 meter baseline length, & using a green laser source for the Pseudo star system). In its new configuration, STB3 will demonstrate PFF while using the non-common baseline design of SIM, and while integrating the instrument on a flight like 9 meters long structure, which will inevitably deform due to vibrations, acoustics, and thermal gradients. It is because of this flexibility that STB3, like SIM, will have an external metrology system to keep track of the motion of its fiducials. In section 2 we describe the path length feed forward technique and develop a brief mathematical description pertinent to STB3. In Section 3 the system architecture is described in detail, while some preliminary results are discussed in Section 4.

2. THEORY

Like SIM, STB3 uses a set of two stellar **guide** interferometers to stabilize the fringes on the beam combiner of a third interferometer (called the **science** interferometer). This scheme requires the two guide interferometers to track the fringes from two bright stars, while the science interferometer is used to observe distant & very dim stars of interest. This technique is called the path length feed forward because its output is simply an optical path length change commanded to the science interferometer optical path delay line. A simple form of the equation describing the PFF command is derived here.

Consider the stellar interferometer shown in Figure 1. Assume that a star has already been acquired and that the instrument is at an equilibrium point (i.e., External Delay is balanced by the internal delay, and the star tracker is used in acquisition of the star). Figure 1 shows clearly that the external delay, x , can be expressed in terms of the unit vector from the instrument to its target star, \vec{s} , and the baseline vector, \vec{B} , joining the two telescopes of the interferometer,

$$x = \vec{s} \cdot \vec{B} \quad (2.1)$$

¹ 0-7803-8155-6/04/\$17.00© 2004 IEEE

² IEEEAC paper #1022, Version 3, Updated January, 15, 2004




Figure 1.1 SIM's System Test Bed 3 at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Linearization of equation 1 about this equilibrium point yields an equation for perturbations about x ,

$$\delta x = \delta \vec{s} \cdot \vec{B} + \vec{s} \cdot \delta \vec{B} \quad (2.2)$$

Equation 2.1 implies that x has already been compensated for during the star acquisition phase, and therefore perturbations are small and of the order of the attitude stability of the instrument on orbit. Note, that perturbations are also due to structural deformations – though these perturbations are only of a second order nature. In SIM total OPD residuals are required to be 10 nanometers RMS or lower, in order for 1 micro-arc-second astrometry to be possible [2,3]




Figure 2.1. Stellar interferometer. Fringe contrast is maximum when internal and external delays are precisely equal.

To achieve this level of stability, we use a combination of active and passive methods. The active method is PFF, and the passive method is dual stage isolation of the reaction wheels in the spacecraft, which are known to be the largest source of jitter. The dual stage isolation technique is used to attenuate jitter in a bandwidth higher than 10 Hz, while PFF is used to attenuate perturbations below 10 Hz, though its bandwidth is at least 40 Hz to ensure proper attenuation levels at lower frequencies. STB3 is charged with demonstrating both the passive and the active techniques together. Bronowicki *et al* discuss the passive isolation technique in a separate publication [4].

The science interferometer itself cannot be used to compensate for these perturbations, as its star targets are often too dim and generate photon rates that are too low for sufficient sensory bandwidth. For this reason, the guide interferometers are used to pinpoint the attitude of the instrument with relation to target stars of interest. Hence, fringe tracking information from the guide interferometers is transformed into attitude information for the science

interferometer, which in turn uses the information to compensate for its own perturbations. The only caveat is the relative motion of the science interferometer baseline vector relative to the guide interferometer baseline vector (shared by the two guide interferometers). This motion (jitter and thermal distortions) is tracked by the external metrology system, and used together with the information from the guide interferometers to synthesize δx for the science interferometer.

The second term in Equation 2.2 can then be re-written in terms of the external metrology and the guide interferometers tracking information, while the first term is set to zero (the unit vector to the star can not be perturbed).

$$\delta x_s = \vec{s} \cdot \delta \vec{B}_s = (B_s + \delta B_s) (\delta \vec{b}_s \cdot \vec{s}) + \delta B_s (\vec{b}_s \cdot \vec{s}) \quad (2.3)$$

Where

$$\delta \vec{B}_s = (B_s + \delta B_s) \delta \vec{b}_s$$

$(B_s + \delta B_s) :=$ Science baseline length. Measurement provided by the external metrology system

$\delta \vec{b}_s :=$ Science Baseline unit vector change in orientation. Synthesized from guide interferometer fringe tracking data.

$\delta B_s :=$ Science baseline length change. Also provided by the external metrology system.

Equation 2.3 represents the path length feed forward corrections used to stabilize the fringes on the science interferometer.

3. ARCHITECTURE

STB3 consists of a three baseline astrometric interferometer whose optical layout is functionally equivalent to SIM's current flight layout. The main testbed objective is to demonstrate nanometer-class stability of fringes in the dim star, or science, interferometer while using path length & angle feed-forward control, and while the instrument is integrated atop a flight-like structure.




Figure 3.1 System Testbed 3 setup in Optical & Interferometry Development Laboratory




Figure 3.2 Instrument star light system layout & Pseudo Star System Layout

This work marks the first time an astrometric 3-baseline interferometer is tested on a flexible flight-like structure rather than on rigid optical tables. The path length & angle feed-forward control signal is synthesized using data from

two guide interferometers and the external metrology systems. Each interferometer is fitted with an internal metrology system, a delay line for fringe tracking, and a star tracking system. In addition, the external metrology system

is used to monitor changes in the length and direction of the science baseline vector. The instrument is mounted on a flexible structure similar in scale and dynamic response to the SIM flight article. This flexible structure is supported by a 0.5 Hz class isolation system to simulate a quasi-free-free condition. A structure similar to the spacecraft "backpack" currently planned for SIM is connected to the

The Precision Support Structure, PSS, supports both the instrument and the spacecraft backpack, it is 9 meters long, 1.7 meters wide and 0.5 meter thick. The PSS is made of aluminum honeycomb panels with multiple cutouts to reduce acoustic response. The backpack is supported by the PSS through a 5 Hz isolation system, and it also supports a reaction wheel and reaction wheel isolator for jitter




Figure 3.3 External metrology system. S1, S2, G1, G2, E1, & E2 are the fiducials. g1 through g14 are all the possible metrology beams generated with beam launchers, which monitor the relative motion of all fiducials. The only relative motion not measured is g15, which corresponds to the science baseline length. Instead, a least squares algorithm based on g1 through g14 is used to estimate g15.

instrument's Precision Support Structure using a passive isolation system. This system and individual reaction-wheel-actuator-isolators are part of a dual isolation scheme to reduce instrument jitter.

3.1 Setup

Figure 3.1 shows STB3's setup, which is housed in the Low Bay of the new Optical & Interferometry Development Laboratory at JPL. Shown in the picture are the collector bays, combiner bays, Precision Support Structure, spacecraft backpack, and isolation support system. The collector bays house the starlight collecting optics and the external metrology beam launchers. Not shown in this figure are the optics, the cabling system which delivers power, signal, and beam launcher sources. Each collector bay supports 3 individual collectors (one for each interferometer) and 7 external metrology beam launchers. There are two combiner pallets in the system. One of them supports the science baseline beam combiner optics, and the other supports the beam combiners for both guide interferometers (back to back on one of the pallets).

experiments. The backpack to PSS isolation unit and the RWA isolation unit make up a dual stage isolation system for reducing RWA generated jitter.

Figure 3.2 shows the starlight and pseudo star systems layout, including the internal metrology systems for each interferometer. Note how the pseudo star system generates the 3 stars needed through the use of gratings.

Finally, Figure 3.3 shows the External metrology system network of beams, which is mainly used to estimate the change in magnitude and orientation of the science baseline vector.

3.2 Subsystems

The system architecture consists of the following subsystems:

- Pseudo star subsystem,
- Collector bays
- Beam combiner bays,
- Star tracking system,
- Fringe tracking system,

- External metrology system
- Support structure
- Real time control system




Figure 3.4. Generation of three pseudo stars with diffraction grating system

systems in the east and west arms of the interferometer. The pseudo stars are created at +/-15 degree intervals. The beams of order 0, 1, and -1 are used as the Science, Guide 1 and Guide 2 stars respectively. See figure 3.4.

- The **Collector Bays** house the optics, which relay the starlight from each of the 3-pseudo stars to their corresponding beam combiners. The science interferometer takes the beam of order 0, guide 1 takes the beam of order 1, and guide 2 takes the beam of order -1. Figure 3.5 shows a detail of the collector configuration. In the case of the Science interferometer, the starlight's primary mirrors are the siderostats, which are fitted with corner cubes. These corner cubes act as one of three pairs of fiducials in the instrument. From the siderostat, the beam is propagated to a fast steering mirror and then a sequence of relay mirrors that bring the beam to the delay line on the combiner bay. The optical paths in both arms of the interferometer are carefully balanced, such that white star fringes may be formed on the beam combiner object lens. The fast stirring mirrors work together with the siderostats in a star tracking system, which is used to keep the instrument pointed to a star of interest.




Figure 3.5. Collector bay configuration

- The **pseudo star system** is an inverse Michelson interferometer generating two identical wave fronts from an optical fiber (east and west arms of the interferometer). Three pseudo stars are then generated by diffraction grating

The Siderostats are used mainly to acquire the stars and to de-saturate the fast stirring mirrors, while the fast stirring mirrors are used as broad band/narrow range pointing devices.

The propagation path for each of the guide interferometers is similar to the science interferometer, with the exception that there is no siderostat. Instead, the primary mirrors for both guide interferometers share a rotation stage, and have a common fiducial, which is supported by a separate mount. This mount is called the *spider*, and is located in front of the primary mirrors – see figure 3.5. This configuration is based on the SIM flight system design with the exception that in STB3 the spider corner cube and the guide fast steering mirrors are not conjugate images of each other, which is the result of not having compressor. Hence, STB3 will be more sensitive to shear misalignments.

-The **Beam Combiner Bays** house the beam combiner optics and photon counters, delay lines for fringe tracking, star-tracking cameras, and internal metrology beam launchers (one per interferometer). Figure 3.6 shows a diagram of the beam combiner, which is identical for all baselines.

- The **Star Tracking System** is used to keep each arm of the interferometer pointed to its corresponding star, while maintaining a pointing stability of 30 milli-arcseconds/hour

cameras on the beam combiners and the fast steering mirrors on the collector bays.

- The **Fringe Tracking System** is used to stabilize fringes on the beam combiner optic for each interferometer. To do this, the internal metrology system is used to measure the internal optical path differences between the two arms of the interferometer (from beam combiner to fiducial on each collector bay), which are mainly due to structural deformations. In addition, an avalanche photo detector is used to detect fringe position on the beam combiner, which is affected by the attitude motion of the instrument relative to the star of interest. The information from these sensors is fed back to an optical path delay line for fringe stabilization.

- The **External Metrology System** is used to monitor the motion of the instrument's fiducials, which are defined as the points where starlight first meets the internal metrology in the instrument. In STB3, there are six such fiducials, whose relative motion can be monitored by the metrology network shown in Figure 3.3. Fiducials S_1 and S_2 are the corner cubes on the science siderostats. Fiducials G_1 and G_2 are the corner cubes defined on the spider (see Figure 3.5). Corner cubes E_1 and E_2 are mounted on a pedestal and are




Figure 3.6. Beam combiner assembly

or better at the beam combiner star tracking camera. In this case, the servo system is made up of the star tracking

not connected to any of the interferometers (in the SIM flight system design, these corner cubes are located on the spare science interferometer). These corner cubes are triple

corner cubes, which do not share their vertices as required in SIM and for picometer-class metrology. The error due to this is still being assessed analytically, though it is not expected to have a large impact on the nanometer class performance of the system. An infrared beam launcher generates each beam shown in Figure 3.3. The main output of this system is an estimate of the baseline length and orientation of the science interferometer, which cannot be measured directly due to the orientation of the science siderostats. A least squares algorithm is used to generate this estimate. The input to the algorithm is an initial set of fiducial locations and the relative motion of the fiducials, which is measured with the metrology network shown in Figure 3.3.

- The **structure** is comprised of the PSS, spacecraft backpack and PSS isolation system (see Figure 2.1). The backpack is attached to the PSS via the “back pack isolation system” shown in Figure 3.7, which is the baseline system for SIM. The first natural frequency of the PSS is 10.7 Hz, the backpack isolation system has frequencies between 2 and 5 Hz, and the PSS isolation system is a 0.5 passive isolation system supporting the entire testbed in its 6 degrees of freedom.

- The **real time control system**. All of the software used in STB3 is based on the Real Time Control, RTC, Core Toolkit, a software package developed at JPL for use by several interferometer projects including STB3 and the Keck observatory in Hawaii [5,6]. RTC’s built-in capabilities include CPU management, configurable object definition, hardware driver management, inter-processor communication, precise periodic task scheduling, telemetry generation and filtering, and a digital servo and controller framework. All data collection, subsystem addressing and real-time control law implementation is managed by this system.




Figure 3.7 Backpack & its isolation system

3.3 PFF Architecture

Figure 3.8 shows a flowchart diagram for path length feed forward. As mentioned in the theory section, the PFF command is synthesized using inputs from both guide interferometers in fringe tracking mode, and the external metrology system, which generates information about the geometrical relationship between the Guide and Science baselines. In addition to synthesizing the PFF signal as shown in the figure, this signal is put through a phase compensation filter to compensate for unacceptable phase lag, which is inherent in every digital control system. The PFF signal generates information about the external science OPD (see figure 2.1), but the information about the internal OPD is still generated by the internal metrology system on the science interferometer. Figure 3.8 shows the fringe detector in the science baseline being used as a performance sensor whose output is compared to a measurement of the external OPD made with the pseudo star metrology. This direct measurement of performance is only possible in a testbed. SIM cannot have this feature.

3.4 Instrument Operation

Operation of the instrument starts with alignment of the Pseudo star and instrument, such that the three stars generated by the pseudo star system are in the field of view of the star tracking cameras. Following this step the star tracking servo loops are turned on to minimize pointing errors. The fringe tracking servo loops are then turned on for the guide interferometers. These loops acquire and track fringes on the APD sensors in each guide baseline, such that the fringe tracking error is minimized. Once the guide




Figure 3.8 Flow diagram for PFF

interferometers are tracking their stars, synthesis of the PFF command for the science interferometer is initiated. So, after the science delay line is slewed to where its central fringe is located (this is known a priori), stabilization of the optical path about this location is realized with the PFF

command to the delay line. At this point, fringe position data for the science interferometer can start, while on-orbit like disturbance are introduced. These disturbances are mainly attitude disturbances, which simulate the ACS errors while on orbit observations take place.

4. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Preliminary fringe tracking measurements have already been made on the testbed, primarily as a way to measure our signal noise floor. Figure 4.1 shows the fringe tracking error in nanometers measured while fringe tracking on one of the interferometers. The error in this test was 17.2 nanometers RMS (our requirement is 10 nanometers RMS or better).

Figure 4.2 shows a measurement of the deformations of the PSS made with one of our external metrology beam launchers over a period of 1 hour. Three measurements are shown. The blue trace represents a measurement made along the long axis of the PSS (about 9 meters length), and shows about 30 micrometers of total deformation. The measurement setup consists of a beam launcher and two corner cubes mounted opposite of each other and such that the beam launcher propagates an IR laser beam in a round trip configuration. See [7] for a description of similar metrology system. The green trace shows a second measurement using a separate beam launcher and set of corner cubes installed on top of an optical table, which is in the same room as the PSS. The red trace represents a point measurement of the temperature of the PSS.




Figure 4.1 Fringe tracking performance

5. SUMMARY

SIM's system testbed 3, STB3, has recently been integrated in the Optical and Interferometry Developmental Laboratory at JPL. The architecture of STB3 has been described in this paper while pointing out its similarities to the SIM flight system design. The main purpose of the testbed is to demonstrate nanometer class stabilization of fringes using the path length feed forward technique, which has been described in brief. In addition, STB3 serves as a system testbed, where most functionality aspects of the flight

system can be testbed in a flight like environment. Preliminary fringe tracking measurements and PSS deformation measurements using single external metrology gauges have been presented.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research described in this publication was performed at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory of the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The results presented are the fruits of an entire team. The authors would like to thank in particular: Arshak Avanesyan, Jens Fischer, Brad Hines, Phil Irwin, Elizabeth McKenney, Bijan Nemati, John Shaw, and George Sun.




Figure 4.2 External metrology system

7. REFERENCES

- [1] R. Goullioud, O.S. Alvarez-Salazar, and B. Nemati, "Path feed forward performance of an astrometric 3-BL interferometer testbed (STB-3)," *SPIE conference on Interferometry in Space*, vol. 4852, 2002
- [2] R. A. Laskin, "SIM technology development overview - light at the end of the tunnel," *SPIE conference on Interferometry in Space*, vol. 4852, 2002.
- [3] J. Marr, "Space Interferometry Mission (SIM) overview and current status," *SPIE conference on Interferometry in Space*, vol. 4852, 2002.
- [4] A. J. Bronowicki, R. MacDonald, Y. Gursel, R. Goullioud, T. Neville, D. Platus, "Dual stage passive vibration isolation for optical interferometer missions," *SPIE conference on Interferometry in Space*, vol. 4852, 2002
- [5] T. Lockhart, "RTC - A Distributed Realtime Control System Toolkit", *SPIE conference on Interferometry in Space*, vol. 4848, paper 21, 2002.

[6] R. Goullioud, F. G. Dekens and G. W. Neat, "Micro-Precision Interferometer: Scoreboard on technology Readiness for the Space Interferometer Mission", *SPIE Conference on Interferometry in Optical Astronomy*, **4006**, pp. 847-858, 2000.

[7] Oscar S. Alvarez-Salazar, and Ali Azizi, "Space Interferometry Mission System Testbed-3: External Metrology," These proceedings, paper #1117, 2004.

Dr. Oscar S. Alvarez-Salazar [BS Aerospace Engineering (1991), MS Mechanical Engineering (1993), Ph.D. Electrical Engineering (2000) University of California, Los Angeles]. Dr. Alvarez-Salazar is currently a senior engineer with the Jet Propulsion Laboratory where he leads the nanometer class stability and control system testbed for the Space Interferometry Mission. Prior to joining JPL, Dr. Alvarez-Salazar worked at TRW Space & Electronic Systems from 1990 to 2002.

