





Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

April 20, 2004



Stephen M. Lichten
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Manager, Tracking Systems and Applications Section




Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

Outline of Presentation

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- How GPS “works” for tracking and navigation at Earth
- Importance of clocks for GPS
- Deep Space Tracking
- Concepts for communications/navigation systems at other planetary bodies
- Sparse GPS-like planetary systems and tracking/navigation




Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

How GPS Works


Jet Propulsion Laboratory


California Institute of Technology

- GPS enables a user to determine its position via “triangulation” to three GPS satellites
- The user’s GPS receiver compares each satellite’s unique pseudorandom code to models stored in the receiver to measure the time delay, and hence distance, to each GPS satellite
 - Requires that all GPS satellites be “synchronized” to “same” time
 - User does not need a good clock: a fourth GPS measurement determines the user time offset from “GPS time”
- Each GPS satellite continuously broadcasts its ephemeris and offset from “GPS time,” which is defined precisely relative to highly stable ground clocks. With these data, a GPS user receiver can in real-time uniquely determine its location (and time offset from “GPS time”) by tracking four GPS satellites



Each GPS satellite transmits a unique pseudorandom code







Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”


How GPS Works (cont.)

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- **Operational Control Segment (OCS).** The USAF OCS consists of one Master Control Station (MCS) at Schriever AFB in Colorado Springs, plus monitor stations at the MCS, Hawaii, Kwajalein, Diego Garcia and Ascension Island. The stations passively track ranging data from all GPS in view. The MCS estimates and predicts each satellite’s ephemeris and clock parameters and periodically uploads them to each GPS for re-transmission in its navigation message.
- GPS ephemeris/clock uploads are updated every several hours. During that time period, the broadcast ephemeris degrades only moderately because ...
 - GPS are in relatively high-altitude, well-behaved orbits
 - GPS all carry precise (atomic) clocks, so their “time” is well known and only slightly “wanders” during the time between updates from the OCS
 - Knowledge of GPS time is maintained through the ground tracking sites where very accurate (ground) clocks can be incorporated to maintain stable time transfer



GPS MCS





Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”


How GPS Works (cont.)

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- **The Design Trade for GPS.** The GPS designers incorporated ...
 - Accurate (atomic) clocks at operational ground tracking sites and onboard the GPS satellites
 - A 24/7 global tracking network to accurately and continuously determine and update GPS orbits and clocks
 - *In contrast: the GPS user typically carries relatively simple equipment and does not require a good clock*
- The U.S. government elected to invest in robust and reliable GPS space and ground/control segments (infrastructure), thus enabling the user segment (millions of users) to carry relatively simple and cheap user equipment
- Roughly \$12B to develop GPS (in 1980s)



GPS MCS







Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

GPS Performance Today

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- Standalone commercial receiver (handheld, autos, boats etc.)
 - **10 meters** real-time positioning.
 - *Performance is limited by GPS clock & orbit modeling.*
- Commercial receiver with differential services
 - **2 meters** real-time positioning. Requires local/regional differential service subscription.
 - *Performance is limited by GPS clock & orbit modeling.*
- JPL precision global differential GPS (GDGPS) system
 - **10 cm** real-time positioning accuracy. Requires global differential service from commercial partner.
 - *Network processing improves orbits and eliminates dependence on clocks.*
- Non-real-time (minutes to days) geodetic positioning
 - Better than **1-cm** non-real-time positioning accuracy. Requires global network data + special software.
 - *Network processing improves orbits and eliminates dependence on clocks.*






Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & "Planetary GPS"

Spacecraft Navigation with JPL Blackjack GPS Receivers Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology


Of all these, only the GRACE GPS receivers carried high-quality clocks (USOs).



45-cm accuracy

SRTM


Feb 2000



4-cm accuracy

CHAMP


Jul 2000



4-cm accuracy
Sub-meter real-time demo

SAC-C


Nov 2000



1-cm accuracy

JASON-1

Dec 2001



1-cm accuracy

GRACE

Mar 2002

Dec 2002

FedSat

Dec 2002

ICESat







Missions In Development

Sept 2005

COSMIC

2008

OSTM



5-cm accuracy


>12 years
of on-orbit
performance




Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS” Deep Space Tracking

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- Challenges of deep space tracking from Earth
 - Weak signals
 - NASA Deep Space Network uses 70-m and 34-m antennas
 - Geometry and visibility
 - Light travel time to Earth
 - 10 minutes to Mars
 - < 1 sec to Moon
 - Reference frame issues
- Typical data types
 - Two-way Doppler, 0.03 mm/s
 - Two-way range, 2 meters
 - Delta-Differential one-way range, VLBI
 - $2 \text{ nrad} = 0.06 \text{ nsec} = 1.2 \text{ cm delay}$
 - Optical navigation










Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

“In Situ” Tracking at Other Planetary Bodies

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology



- The MER mission dramatically proved the high value of having navigation & telecom “infrastructure” during challenging surface operations on other planetary bodies
- What is the best approach for Mars?
For the Moon?




Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

Tracking a Vehicle at Mars With 1 or 2 Nav/Com Orbiters as Infrastructure

Orbiter



- Surface or low Mars orbit vehicle can be tracked with 1-way Doppler
 - Performance depends on user's clock
 - 10^{-8} clocks, 1 orbiter only: 3 km in 24 hrs
 - 10^{-9} clocks, 1 orbiter only: 300 m in 24 hrs
- By tracking two orbiters simultaneously dependence on user's clock is reduced or eliminated
- IMU and angle-sensing may improve performance or robustness
- Can be challenging if target vehicle is maneuvering




Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”


Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

Tracking a Vehicle at Mars With 1 or 2 Nav/Com Orbiters as Infrastructure

Orbiter(s)



- **Surface or low Mars orbit vehicle can be tracked with 2-way data types**
 - User does not need extremely good clock
 - < 100 m position accuracy in 24 hrs with just 1 tracker (orbiter)
- **Combination of 3 trackers (orbiters and/or ground) can provide real-time knowledge < 100 m**
- **Incorporating angle-sensing and/or IMU data can reduce number of trackers**
- **Can be challenging if target vehicle is maneuvering**






Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

MER Tracking via Odyssey and MGS

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

California Institute of Technology

- Two-way Doppler tracking was successful between the landed MER and Odyssey. A position was eventually determined (days later) accurate to tens of meters.
- One-way Doppler tracking was successful between MER and MGS *during and after* the critical Entry/Descent/Landing.






Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

California Institute of Technology

- Moon versus Mars
 - Moon is still “near-Earth” regime: light travel time < 1 sec
 - Contrast to light travel time > 10 minutes for Mars)
 - Earth-orbiting GPS can be tracked and utilized at Moon but not at Mars
 - Degraded geometrical strength (x40) but still doable
 - No GPS visibility on far side
 - Lower cost of deploying “infrastructure assets” to Moon versus Mars




Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

California Institute of Technology

- Three key approaches to navigation/telecom infrastructure assets in Lunar or Martian regimes
 1. **Rely on simpler data types such as 2-way or 1-way Doppler with “orbiters of opportunity,” i.e. existing orbiting vehicles (as was done with MER)**
 2. **Deploy a sparser version of a GPS-like constellation. Provide Navigation services similar to how GPS does at Earth. Same space vehicles can also provide Telecommunications services.**
 3. **Deploy a constellation that utilizes dual one-way tracking concept between the infrastructure assets and the users, who must be equipped with compatible navigation/telecom transceivers.**



Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”


Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- Three key approaches to navigation/telecom infrastructure assets in Lunar or Martian regimes (cont.)

1. Rely on simpler data types such as 2-way or 1-way Doppler with “orbiters of opportunity,” i.e. existing orbiting vehicles (as was done with MER)



- Advantages
 - Minimal new technology (lowers cost)
 - Uses whatever orbiters are there (lowers cost)
 - Extremely good user space clocks not needed (lowers cost). The “user” can sometimes get by with a poor clock.
 - Typically, long-term orbiters carry USOs.
- Disadvantages
 - Poorer performance (tens of meters to kilometers after ~ days)
 - Real-time is not easily done with Doppler since time history is needed to infer dynamics. Cannot easily track irregularly maneuvering vehicles.
 - Uses whatever orbiters are there -- not available on demand. Won't be immediately available for emergencies.




Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”


Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- Three key approaches to navigation/telecom infrastructure assets in Lunar or Martian regimes (cont.)

2. Deploy a sparser version of a GPS-like constellation. Provide Navigation services similar to how GPS does at Earth. Same space vehicles can also provide Telecommunications services.

- Advantages
 - High performance possible, which may depend critically on clock quality
 - Better emergency services.
- Disadvantages
 - Higher cost for operating infrastructure assets (dedicated constellation) at Moon or Mars
 - Higher cost and complexity for utilizing high quality space clocks (as with GPS)
- Technology challenges ...
 - Availability determined by sparseness of constellation (visibility, coverage)
 - Small, cheap very stable space clocks to deploy on infrastructure and users
 - Combination of better clocks + IMU can compensate for coverage “gaps”
 - Develop a cost effective concept of operations.
 - Key trade: should ground tracking terminals be remotely deployed (as are used for GPS operations)? If so, should they be equipped with highly stable clocks? How long can/will such ground automated ground terminals function in a hostile environment? Can the system be maintained solely via Earth tracking? How would the reference frame tie be maintained?






Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”


Jet Propulsion Laboratory

California Institute of Technology

- Results of a simulation performed for a to evaluate tracking coverage for a Mars network of low-altitude orbiters

Percent of Time When A Specified Number of Satellites Are Visible









Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

California Institute of Technology

- Three key approaches to navigation/telecom infrastructure assets in Lunar or Martian regimes (cont.)


3. Deploy a constellation that utilizes dual one-way tracking concept between the infrastructure assets and the users, who must be equipped with compatible navigation/telecom transceivers.




Advanced GPS flight receivers for Earth, atmospheric, and ocean science & precise navigation.

Autonomous Formation Flyer (AFF) and Software Reconfigurable Radio/Transceivers for integrated deep space navigation/telecom and formation flying.

GRACE combines space GPS plus ultra-precise (1-micron delta-range) inter-spacecraft transceivers






Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- Three key approaches to navigation/telecom infrastructure assets in Lunar or Martian regimes (cont.)
 - 3. Deploy a constellation that utilizes dual one-way tracking concept between the infrastructure assets and the users, who must be equipped with compatible navigation/telecom transceivers.**
 - Advantages
 - Very high accuracy (sub-meter) available in real-time if coverage is adequate
 - Most users and infrastructure will not need good space clocks because system relies on a dual-one-way tracking data type which is insensitive to clocks
 - Disadvantages
 - Self-jamming issue needs to be resolved for transceivers that are transmitting and receiving simultaneously
 - Complex systems with multiple frequencies or scheduling needed for “on demand” operations with scalability to many simultaneous vehicles/users
 - Users without compatible transceivers cannot participate




Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS”

Summary

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- Various different approaches to providing navigation/telecom infrastructure in Lunar and Mars environment need to be explored and compared
- The ability to fly atomic clocks on GPS satellites has profoundly defined the capabilities and limitations of GPS in near-Earth applications
- It is likely that future infrastructure for Lunar and Mars applications will be constrained by financial factors
- The development of a low cost, small, high performance space clock could revolutionize the entire approach to GPS-like systems at the Moon (or Mars), and possibly even change the future of GPS at Earth
- Many system trade studies are required to understand the effect of sparseness of constellation (at other planetary bodies) and onboard clocks on
 - Availability of navigation and telecom services
 - Accuracy and performance of navigation and telecom services
- Use of GPS tracking at the Moon should be evaluated in a technical study



Applications of Clocks to Space Navigation & “Planetary GPS” Summary (cont.)

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

- Next steps: Develop a program to perform simulations and experiments to evaluate and compare capabilities and costs for the three different navigation/telecom scenarios for Moon and Mars infrastructure
- Determine how precise/stable flight clocks and IMU sensors can “fill in” coverage gaps for sparser versions of GPS
 - Goal is to determine how GPS-like capabilities can be enabled with fewer than 4 “GPS” in view
 - How long and how accurately can positioning be sustained with 3, 2, 1 or even 0 orbiters in view?
 - Use current GPS terrestrial and space data to perform tests and experiments. Excellent data sets and test facilities are available.
 - Evaluate clocks and IMU sensors over a wide range of performance
 - Evaluate different concepts of operations
 - What is the value of an extremely accurate ground clock at Mars or Moon? How survivable is a ground clock, versus a space clock in an orbiter? Does the ground clock enable simplification of the orbiting payloads? Is the trade worthwhile?
- Evaluate GPS tracking at the Moon and how coverage might be extended to the far side

