Spatial acquisition and precision beam pointing functions are critical to spacecraft laser communication systems. A recent system study indicated that a single high bandwidth CCD detector can be used to perform both spatial acquisition and tracking functions. Compared to previous lasercom hardware design, the array tracking concept offers reduced system complexity by reducing the number of optical elements in the design. Specifically, the design requires only one detector and one beam steering mechanism. It also provides means to optically close the point-ahead control loop. The technology required for high bandwidth array tracking was examined and shown to be consistent with current state of the art. It is believed that the single detector design can lead to a significantly reduced system complexity and a lower system cost.

1. INTRODUCTION

Laser communication technology can improve the performance of spacecraft ion systems by offering higher carrier frequency and information bandwidth. The small beam divergence resulting from the short operating wavelength can also lead to an improved channel security. More importantly, it can permit communication systems to use a smaller aperture antenna while providing increased channel throughput comparable to radio frequency systems. The resulting reduction in size and mass of the communication system can lead to an increased payload capacity for the host spacecraft.

For planetary missions, the reduction in communication system size can also lead to a simplified spacecraft design. The large RF antenna currently used by communication systems can restrict the field-of-view of scientific instruments. It also imposes constraints on the attitude control of the spacecraft since the antenna must be kept pointed at the receiving site. In contrast, a smaller optical communication instrument can be articulated independent of the spacecraft attitude, and can permit more options for spacecraft control. A smaller communications package also eliminates the need for an unfurlable antenna and a large scan platform boom, thereby simplifying the spacecraft design. In some cases, the reduction in size can also permit a wider diversity of launch vehicle options. This latter fact is particularly important given the fiscal projection for NASA’s planetary program. Smaller spacecrafts currently being proposed for the planetary and space physics missions, such as the Explorer and Discovery-class spacecrafts, will impose stringent demands on the communication system. For these missions, laser communication technology offers an attractive method of providing increased data throughput while at the same time decreasing the mass and size of the communication subsystem. Additionally, lasercom technology can be applied to near–Earth space communication systems. The high information bandwidth of the optical channel can permit intersatellite crosslinks to operate at data rates in excess of several hundred megabits per second while at the same time offering improved channel security and decreasing the dependency on foreign ground tracking stations.

The narrow transmit beamwidth of the lasercom system, on the other hand, can impose stringent demands on the pointing control accuracy of the instrument. Inaccurate beam pointing can result in large signal fades at the receiving site and a severely degraded system performance. Since the uncertainty in the spacecraft attitude is much larger than the beamwidth, an initial acquisition process needs to be performed to acquire the receiver location. Furthermore, since the spacecraft attitude errors due to deadband cycle and random platform jitter are also much larger than the transmit beamwidth, a dedicated pointing control subsystem is required to reduce the signal loss due to pointing error. Such a subsystem must be capable of
tracking the receiving station such that the residual pointing error is less than approximately 20% of the
diffraction-limited beamwidth.

The required pointing acquisition and tracking subsystems for laser communication instruments have been
developed and tested for several systems in various stages of flight readiness. However, these previous
subsystem designs tend to be very complex as the designs generally employ decade-old technology. For
eexample, two separate detectors are required for spatial acquisition and tracking, and two beam steering
mechanisms are required for line-of-sight stabilization and point-ahead compensation. Because of the design
complexity, extensive efforts were required to ensure functionality and to achieve the desired reliability. As
a result, these systems tend to be very costly and, in some cases, more massive than comparable RF
 technologies.

Recently, with the development of high bandwidth, large format array detectors, it has become
possible to implement space laser communication systems using a single array detector for both spatial
acquisition and tracking [1-3]. A large format detector can also permit direct tracking of the point-ahead
angle, i.e., the transmit signal pointing can be maintained by measuring the instantaneous point-ahead angle
and stabilizing it to the desired value. Since there is no need to stabilize the receiver line-of-sight, only one
steering mirror is required to maintain the pointing stability and to compensate for the point-ahead angle. By
reducing the number of detectors and steering mirrors, the optical design can also be simplified as a smaller
number of optical relay elements are required to relay the pupil planes.

This paper will describe the conceptual design and considerations pertinent to the use of CCD arrays in
spatial tracking applications. By offering considerable design simplification, the CCD-based tracking
concept can result in a reduced hardware complexity and hence a lower implementation cost for future flight
lasercom systems.

2. BASELINE CONCEPT

A spaceborne laser communication system generally performs four major functions: (a) deliver a
collimated optical signal which is modulated by the transmit data stream, (b) acquire a remote beacon signal
and orient the system line-of-sight, (c) track the beacon signal in order to maintain the transmit signal on
target, and (d) receive, detect, and demodulate the optical signal sent from the remote terminal. Among these,
the spatial acquisition and tracking functions have long been regarded as the most difficult aspects of the
lasercom system design.

In order to achieve the desired pointing accuracy, an auxiliary pointing sensor and a beam steering
mechanism to compensate for platform vibration must be integral parts of the lasercom instrument design.
Sensing of pointing error is typically accomplished with the aid of a beacon signal from the receiving site.
The beacon signal defines a directional reference from which any deviation produced by the platform
disturbance can be referenced. This beacon direction and the relative velocity vector between the transmit and
receive platforms define the desired direction to transmit the downlink signal. By sensing the deviation from
this desired pointing angle and feeding back the error signal to the beam steering elements, the lasercom
system can stabilize the pointing even if the platform jitter is several times larger than the required pointing
accuracy.

A conceptual block diagram of the array-based tracking system is shown in Figure 1 [1]. A remote beacon
laser is imaged by the telescope optics onto the focal plane array. By reading out the area of the detector
containing the beacon signal and calculating the image centroid, the angular direction of the beam can be
accurately deduced relative to the optical axis of the system. A small amount of the transmit signal can also
be imaged onto the acquisition detector and the location of the transmit signal can be measured relative to the
optical axis. The distance between the two image spots in the focal plane is a direct measure of the relative
angular offset between the transmit and beacon signals. By sensing any difference between this measured,
instantaneous point-ahead angle and the desired point-ahead value, the instrument can derive a realtime control signal to maintain the pointing of the transmit signal on target.

The actual beam steering control is achieved using a nested control loop [4]. The measured platform disturbance is fed back to a fast steering mirror in the optical path. The fast steering mirror compensates for the high frequency, small amplitude disturbances. A slow gimbal control loop then removes the bias and maintains the steering mirror at the middle of its dynamic range. Large amplitude disturbances such as the dead band cycle of the spacecraft arc are also removed using the coarse pointing gimbal. Additionally, the gimbal is used to orient the instrument line of sight during initial acquisition.

Instead of stabilizing receive and transmit lines-of-sights individually, the array detector-based tracking concept described above requires only the relative angle between the transmit and receive beams to be stabilized. As a result, only one steering mirror is required to close the pointing control loop. In contrast, previous designs of lasercom systems generally achieved the desired pointing accuracy by using a directionally sensitive detector such as a quadrant avalanche photodiode (QAPD) to measure the angular error between the detector line-of-sight and the beacon direction [5-6]. The error was then fed back to a high bandwidth steering mirror to stabilize the detector line-of-sight along the beacon direction. A second point-ahead mirror in the transmit beam path was then used to provide the required pointing offset between the transmit and receive signals. Since the quadrant detector has a limited field-of-view, a separate, larger format detector was usually required to provide the widefield of view coverage during the acquisition process. Furthermore, additional optical relay elements were required to channel the optical signals between the steering mirrors and the detectors.

In addition to simplifying the optical design, the array detector-based pointing control concept also has the advantage over conventional quadrant-detector based tracking systems in that a direct optical feedback for the point-ahead angle is available to the control loop, thus eliminating the need for additional sensors to measure the point-ahead angle. This would also help eliminate the need for careful boresight alignment.

3. TRACKING DETECTOR REQUIREMENTS

The large format array detector required for high bandwidth tracking can be implemented using either the charge coupled device (CCD) or charge injection device (CID). The CIDs offer direct pixel addressing. However, the CID technology is less mature and the devices are not as readily available. At the same time, recent advances in CCD fabrication technology have resulted in high readout rate devices with high quantum efficiency and no dark zones. For these reasons, the CCD is chosen for implementation.

In order to effectively acquire the remote beacon in the presence of initial attitude uncertainty of the host spacecraft, the acquisition detector array must possess a sufficiently large field of view to cover the uncertainty zone. For modern spacecrafts, this is typically on the order of ± 1 mrad. At the same time, the pixel resolution of the CCD must be sufficiently fine such that the error in position information derived from the CCD is small compared to the desired pointing accuracy. For a 10 cm transmit aperture system, the required pointing accuracy is on the order of 2 mrad. If the centroid algorithm can provide 1/10 pixel resolution, then the CCD format need to be greater than 100 x 100 to cover the required field of view.

In order to operate the CCD in the tracking mode, it is desirable that the readout time of the device be small compared to the integration time such that the effect of image blurring due to vertical transfer is limited. Furthermore, it is desirable to operate frame transfer/image storage devices such that the readout can be carried out independent of image integration. Finally, in order to achieve the desired tracking control accuracy, the centroid positions must be updated at a sufficiently high rate such that the image movement in between updates is small compared to the desired pointing accuracy. For tracking a ground-based station from a space-based laser transmitter, the required image centroid update rate should be in excess of 2 kHz.
Conventional CCD imaging systems read out every pixel in the detector. The maximum vertical and horizontal transfer clock speed is typically on the order of 5-10 MHz. The actual pixel readout rate is a function of the desired read noise level. A slower read speed can result in a lower effective noise level whereas a higher readout rate generally implies a larger readout noise. Because of the large number of individual pixels in an array detector, a detector with the required field of view and pixel resolution will generally have a relatively slow frame read speed. For example, with 0.5 MHz vertical and horizontal transfer rates, a 100X100 detector can be read out no faster than every 2 ms. This frame rate (500 Hz) is far below what would be required for effective platform jitter compensation. An alternative will be to read out only a portion of the pixels which are critical to the tracking, namely the areas around the beacon and transmit laser spots. At the beginning of the read cycle, the image zone is transferred into the storage zone such that integration can be conducted independent of the subsequent image readout. A "windowed" read operation can then be performed by clocking the vertical transfer lines of the CCD such that only the lines containing the areas of interest will be read on a pixel-by-pixel basis; whereas other lines will be skipped without being read. Shown in Fig. 2 is an illustration of the high speed clocking concept.

The required time to read out two n by n windows from a frame transfer CCD with N, by N_h active area is given by:

\[ T = 2N_v T_v + 2n(N_h-n)T_h + 2n^2 T_r + T_{vwh} \]

where '1', and \( T_v \) are the required time for vertical and horizontal transfers, \( T_r \) is the required time for reading out a pixel, and \( T_{vwh} \) is the processing overhead, respectively. The first term on the RHS is the maximum number of vertical transfers required, the second term on the RHS is the required number of horizontal shifts to read the 2 n image lines, and the third term on the RHS is the time to read out the 2 n by n windows. As an example, a Thomson 7863 CCD with 288x384 pixels image zone, operating with 2 MHz vertical transfer rate, 10 MHz horizontal transfer rate, and 2 MHz pixel readout rate, will require less than 800 microseconds to readout two 6x6 pixel windows. A faster readout rate can be achieved using a smaller format detector and by increasing, the vertical transfer rate. For example, a CCD specially designed for lasercom applications [7] will have a 100x100 pixels active area and greater than 5 MHz vertical transfer rate. Such a device can be read out at greater than 5 kHz update rate.

4. POINTING CONTROL LOOP

With a discrete time, digital readout device such as the CCD, pointing control can be implemented and analyzed using discrete time control theory. Shown in Fig. 3 is a block diagram of the CCD pointing control loop. The pointing accuracy is influenced by the platform jitter spectrum, the signal to noise ratio of the CCD readout, and the accuracy of the centroid algorithm. For a digital control system, the effects of noise in the post-digitization circuit are usually negligible.

The disturbance rejection function of the control loop is given by [81]

\[ H_R(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z^{-1}} \cdot H_m(z) \]

where \( H_R(z) \) and \( H_m(z) \) are the discrete time transfer functions of the compensation control and the steering mirror preceded by zero order hold, respectively. The compensation filter, \( H_R(z) \), is designed to optimize the disturbance rejection and bandwidth of the cent ml loop in order to achieve high accuracy in the presence of platform jitter.

The main factors limiting the control loop response are the loop delay, the fine steering mirror frequency response, and the sampling rate. The loop delay is an important factor as it affects the sampling rate and the phase margin directly. For a given sampling rate, a larger phase margin can be achieved if the processing
delay can be minimized. For a given processing delay, raising the sampling rate results in a better frequency response as the cross-over frequency increases. However, the corresponding increase in the required loop gain can cause other undesirable effects when the sampling rate is increased indefinitely. Finally, given the processing delay and the sampling rate, the design of the loop is a function of the mirror resonance frequency. A lower resonance frequency will imply a larger loop gain to maintain the desired cross-over frequency. However, a larger loop gain induces more peak overshoot and a larger noise equivalent bandwidth that may affect the control performance.

The effects of the detector signal to noise ratio and the centroiding algorithm can also be evaluated. For a simple centroiding algorithm, the x and y centroids are calculated as

\[ r_x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij} \cdot i \Delta x / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij} \]

\[ r_y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij} \cdot j \Delta y / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij} \]

where \( K_{ij} \) is the detected photoelectrons in the \((i,j)\) pixel, and \( \Delta x, \Delta y \) are the x, y dimensions of the detector pixel. It has been assumed that the centroiding is performed over the \(n \times n\) pixel window around the brightest pixel.

A simple computer program is used to calculate the rms error as a function of the centroid deviation and signal-to-noise ratio. The image spot is modeled to be an Airy disk, and the read noise and shot noise are modeled as Gaussian noise with standard deviation \( n_{\text{rms}} \). The results of the simulation indicated that the rms error in estimating the centroid location is inversely proportional to the number of signal photons collected by the receiver, and is proportional to the rms readout noise of the detector [9]:

\[ \Delta r_{\text{rms}} \approx K_0 n_{\text{rms}} / N \]

where \( K_0 \) is a constant which is weakly dependent on the image spot size and the actual image location (versus the pixel boundary), and \( N \) is the total number of signal photons within the centroiding window. Shown in Fig. 4 is a plot of the rms centroiding error versus the total signal count, \( N \) for a system with 60 electrons readout noise. The centroid is calculated over a \(6 \times 6\) window. When the image spot size is \( \approx 2\) pixels, the constant \( K_0 \) is approximately 11.0. The constant is approximately 12.2 when the image spot size is approximately 4 pixels wide. For systems operating with 10,000 detected photoelectrons per frame and an rms noise (shot noise + readout noise) of 200 electrons, the resulting rms error is approximately 0.22 pixels. This translates to an effective shot noise-limited pointing accuracy of 2.2 \( \mu \text{rad} \) for a \( f/20 \) system with 20 pm pixels.

In addition to the SNR dependency on the centroid accuracy, there is a position-dependent bias on the calculated centroid. The bias is zero when the image spot falls at the center of four adjacent pixels. The bias grows to approximately 5% of the pixel when the image is translated by 1/4 pixel. The bias is not a sensitive function of the SNR, and consequently can be calibrated. Shown in Fig. 5 is a plot of the centroid bias versus actual pixel translations.

5. LABORATORY DEMONSTRATION

A laboratory demonstration of the high bandwidth CCI-based tracking loop is currently being prepared at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory. The goal of the demonstration is to show that high bandwidth jitter compensation can be accomplished using the CCI-based tracker. Shown in Fig. 6 is a block diagram of the optical setup. The receive optical detector is not implemented, although it is relatively straightforward to include it in the system. Note that only one beam steering mechanism is used to control the transmit-receive point-ahead angle.
For the demonstration, the platform jitter will be assumed to exhibit a first order Butterworth spectrum with 11 Hz corner frequency and an rms jitter of 16 μrad [101]. Fine pointing control will be accomplished using the two axis beam steering mirror (TABS-11) from General Scanning. The mirror has a 171 Hz first resonance frequency. Analysis of the pointing control loop indicated that, with a processing delay of 500 μs and a centroid update rate of 2 kHz, a rms pointing error of less than 1.2 μrad can be achieved. Furthermore, the control loop will have a gain margin greater than 4 dB and a phase margin greater than 53° [8].

Analysis of the detector readout time also indicated that the required 2 kHz centroid update rate can be achieved using a commercial CCD (Thomson 7863) with custom readout electronics. The Thomson device has a larger format (576x384 with 288x384 active imaging area) than what is required to cover the field of uncertainty (100x100). Furthermore, the relatively slow vertical transfer rate of the CCD (≈2 MHz) limits the effective centroid update rate even with windowed read. By limiting the active tracking area to the lower 100x100 pixels, however, an effective readout time less than 400 μs can be achieved. The required centroid processing will be accomplished using a dedicated digital signal processor (TI 'MS 320C40DSP) board. The 'TI 1XI' has parallel pipelines and can support a maximum of 50 MIFLOPs. The detector will be interfaced to the DSP through a specially designed gate array logic which controls the detector clock sequencing and keeps track of the pixel coordinates. The DSP will compute the x and y centroids "on the fly" as each pixel is read. The combined DSP/windowed read operation should provide a centroid update rate of 2 kHz to satisfy the design requirement.

6. SUMMARY

CCD-based spatial acquisition and tracking subsystem can significantly reduce the design complexity of a spaceborne lasercom system. By offering a large field of view to cover the initial attitude uncertainty of the host spacecraft, the detector can be used for initial acquisition of the remote beacon. With the use of a windowed read algorithm, the detector can provide a sufficiently high position update rate to track the beacon in the presence of platform jitter. Furthermore, a detector array can permit direct measurement of the transmit-receive pointing offset which can be used to control the point-ahead angle without additional sensors.

By realizing that a lasercom system only needs to stabilize the relative pointing offset between the transmit and receive signals, and the fact that a digital signal processor (TI 'MS 320C40DSP) can be interfaced to an optical design which requires only one steering mirror for both platform jitter tracking and point-ahead compensation. The reduction in design complexity can lead to a reduced system cost and an improved system reliability. Furthermore, it can permit the implementation of a new generation of lasercom instruments capable of realizing the inherent advantages of optical frequency-division communication systems.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research described in this report was carried out by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The authors would like to acknowledge the work of Mr. D. Russell of Ioral POS for the refinement of array-based tracking concepts, and Dr. G. Sevaston and Mr. S. Sirlin of JPL for the initial feasibility study of the array-based tracking.

8. REFERENCES

Spatial tracking using a single array detector.
1. Transfer image into storage zone
2. Rapid vertical transfer until the first line of the window is in the horizontal shift register
3. Rapid horizontal transfer until the first pixel to be read is at the output gate
4. Read out the desired number of pixels in the line
5. Vertical shift when last pixel of the window is read
6. Repeat 3-5 for every lines in the window

Figure 2. Windowed read algorithm for an image transfer CCD.

Figure 3. Block diagram of a CCD-based tracking control loop.
Figure 4. RMS centroiding error versus the detected signal photons for a system with 60 electrons rms read noise.

Figure 5. Plot of centroid bias versus actual displacement for a system with 10K signal photons and 60 electrons read noise.
Figure 6. A conceptual block diagram of a CCD-based lasercom instrument.