

# The isotope Effect of the $O_2 a^1\Delta_g$ Rotational Constant

E. A. Cohen<sup>1</sup>, M. Okunishi<sup>2</sup>, and J. J. Oishi<sup>3</sup>

*Institute for Molecular Science  
Okazaki, Myodaiji 444, Japan*

and

*Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, CA 91109, U. S. A.*

---

<sup>1</sup>Visiting Scientist, IMS. Permanent address, JPL.

<sup>2</sup>Affiliation, IMS. Permanent address: Research Institute for Scientific Measurement, Tohoku University, Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai, 980, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Research Affiliate, JPL. Permanent Address: Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul 140-742, Korea

## ABSTRACT

The pure rotational spectrum of  $a^1\Delta_g$  oxygen in its first excited vibrational state as well as the ground vibrational state rotational spectra of  $^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}$  and  $^{18}\text{O}_2$  in their  $a$  states have been observed. Precise parameters describing the spectra have been determined and an accurate value has been derived for the equilibrium interatomic distance in the Born-Oppenheimer limit.

## INTRODUCTION

Watson [1, 2] has shown that the isotope dependence of the Dunham coefficients for a diatomic molecule can be expressed in relatively simple form. For a homonuclear diatomic molecule the number of independent parameters required to fit the rotational spectra of several isotopic species is quite small and these may be well determined with a limited amount of data. A number of pure rotational transitions of  $a^1\Delta_g$   $O_2$  in its  $v=1$  state as well as some  $v=0$  transitions for  $^{16}O^{18}O$  and  $^{18}O_2$  have been measured. When these measurements are combined with those previously reported for the ground vibrational level of the  $a^1\Delta_g$  state of  $^{16}O_2$  [3], they allow the determination of the deviation of the rotational constants from predictions based on the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. An isotopically independent value can then be derived for the equilibrium bond length,  $r_e^{BO}$ , in the Born-Oppenheimer limit. It is interesting to compare the Watson correction to the rotational constant of oxygen in its  $a^1\Delta_g$  state with that obtained by Tiemann [4] for the  $^3\Sigma_g^-$   $O_2$  electronic ground state.

## EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The  $a^1\Delta_g$   $O_2$  was made in a positive column discharge cell approximately 1m long by 1.0cm diameter. A similar sized hollow cathode cell produced several times less metastable  $O_2$ . Normal  $O_2$  was slowly flowed through the discharge, but  $^{18}O$  enriched samples were observed under static conditions.

Approximately 150 mTorr of pure  $O_2$  and a discharge current of  $\approx 70$  ma were used. The signal from the isotopic species diminished markedly after several minutes in the discharge making detection of their  $v = 1$  states impractical. All but the  $J = 5 - 4$ ,  $v = 1$  transition were measured using a millimeter spectrometer which has been described by Endo and Hirota [5]. That transition was measured using similar pressures and current with a spectrometer described by Birk, et al., [6] with a rooftop reflector employed to double the effective absorption path to approximately 1.8 meters.

#### THEORY

The expression for the energy levels of a molecule in a  $^1A$  state may be written

$$E_v = \sum_{l,m} Y_{l,m} (v + \frac{1}{2})^l [J(J-1) - 4]^m + \gamma (\frac{q}{2}) [J(J+1)] [J(J-1) + 2] \quad (1)$$

The  $Y_{l,m}$  are the usual Dunham coefficients,  $q$  is the A doubling constant, and  $\gamma = (-.1)^J$  for the homonuclear species and  $\pm 1$  for  $^{16}O^{18}O$ .

It is assumed that the ordinary Dunham expressions for  $B_v$  and  $D_v$  are valid so that

$$B_v = Y_{01} + Y_{11}(v + \frac{1}{2}) + Y_{21}(v + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \dots, \quad (2)$$

$$-D_v = Y_{02} + Y_{12}(v + \frac{1}{2}) + \dots, \quad (3)$$

and

$$H_v = Y_{03} + \dots \quad (4)$$

$Y_{03}$  is fixed by the relationship

$$Y_{03} = \frac{Y_{02}^2}{Y_{01}} \left( \frac{Y_{11}}{3(-Y_{02}Y_{01})^{1/2}} + 2 \right). \quad (5)$$

$Y_{21}$  is not determinable from these measurements but is available from CARS data [7]. It is the largest source of uncertainty in the reported parameters. It is further assumed that except for  $Y_{01}$ , the usual isotope relationship

$$Y'_{lm} = Y_{lm} \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu'} \right)^{(l+2m)/2} \quad (i)$$

is valid within experimental uncertainties where  $\mu$  is the reduced mass using atomic masses. For a homonuclear diatomic molecule the expression given by Watson [1,2] becomes

$$Y_{01} = B_e^{BO} \left( 1 - 2m_e \frac{d_0}{\mu} \right) \quad (7)$$

where

$$B_e^{BO} = \frac{h}{8\pi^2 \mu (r_e^{BO})^2} \quad (8)$$

is the Born-Oppenheimer rotational constant and is exactly proportional to  $\mu^{-1}$ . The  $d_0$  constant includes contributions from the Dunham correction to  $Y_{01}$  found in the standard texts [7] as well as adiabatic and non-adiabatic corrections. The non-adiabatic part of  $d_0$  is related to the rotational  $g$  factor expressed in nuclear magnetons by

$$d_0^m = - \frac{\mu g_n}{2m_p} \quad (9)$$

This last contribution is expected to be the dominant one.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The newly measured transitions are listed in Table 1 along with those reported in Ref. 3. These have been fitted using a general fitting routine [9] to the parameters listed in Table 2 with their isotope dependences fixed as indicated. The observed minus calculated frequencies using these parameters are shown with the measured frequencies in Table 1. The parameters listed in Table 2 are *effective* parameters since they have been determined with the assumption that  $Y_{21} = 0$ . The parameters which are affected by  $Y_{21}$  have their dependence on this parameter shown in the last column.

$Y_{21}$  is reported by Nich and Valentini [7] based upon analysis of CARS spectra of highly excited products of photodissociated ozone. Their value for  $Y_{21}$  of - 1.35(17) MHz is quite reasonable, but their value for  $B_1 - B_0$  of - 518.3(8) MHz is in serious disagreement with the effective  $Y_{11}$  value of - 513.087(6) MHz in Table 2. Brault [10] has provided measurements of the  $\alpha \leftarrow X(1 \leftarrow 0)$  and  $O \leftarrow O$  bands for  $^{16}\text{O}_2$  as well as the  $O \leftarrow 0$  band of  $^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O}$ . These measurements, which were made at high resolution with the McMath-Pierce Fourier transform spectrometer at the National Solar Observatory, Kitt Peak, Arizona, are perfectly consistent with the data and parameters reported here. If the CARS spectra are fitted simultaneously with the  $^{16}\text{O}_2$   $v = 0$  and  $v = 1$  rotational spectra, there is a significant deterioration in the overall quality of the fit from that reported in Ref. 7. Moreover, the value of  $Y_{21}$  becomes approximately -4.3 MHz. This is not only quite different from

that in Ref. 6, but it seems too large in magnitude. The Ref. 7 value of  $Y_{21}$  is not much different from what one might estimate from a Morse potential with the reported  $\omega_e x_e$ . The discrepancy between this work and the CARS data disappears if one assumes that the calibration of the CARS spectra was in error. This would leave the reported value of  $Y_{21}$  essentially unchanged within its uncertainty. Irrespective of the source of discrepancy between the CARS and the present value of  $B_1 - B_0$ , there seems to be no doubt from the CARS data that  $Y_{21}$  is negative.

Table 3 contains the derived constants  $r_e^{BO}$  and  $d$ . These are reported both for  $Y_{21} = 0$  and for  $Y_{21}$  as given in Ref. 7. The derivatives with respect to  $Y_{21}$  are also shown. The uncertainties of the  $Y_{21} = 0$  parameters reflect only the uncertainties of the effective parameters in Table 2. The uncertainties of the parameters derived with the literature value of  $Y_{21}$  include the reported uncertainty of the CARS determination. Derived rotational constants for the ground and first excited states of all three species are also listed as are the  $Y_{01}$ 's. Effective  $r_e$ 's have been calculated from the  $Y_{01}$ 's using the relationship

$$Y_{01} = \frac{h}{8\pi^2 \mu r_e^2} \quad (10)$$

These are also shown in Table 3.

As expected, the major contribution to  $d_0$  is from the term related to the rotational  $g$  factor shown in Eq. 9. Using Miller's [13] value of -0.2266(22) for  $g_r$  in Eq. 9, one obtains  $d_0^u = -0.900(9)$ . Miller reports  $g_r$  in Bohr magnetons with two standard errors. Here  $g_r$  is stated in nuclear magnetons

with one standard error. Both  $d_O$  and  $d_O^b$  are almost the same as Tiemann [4] determined for the  $X^3\Sigma_g^-$  state. Tiemann's conclusion that the adiabatic correction is small compared to the non-adiabatic one is equally applicable to the  $a^1\Delta_g$  state. Arrington, et al., [14] have also determined  $g_r$  for a  $\Delta_g$  oxygen and report a values of - 0.313 nuclear magnetons. Although they were unable to identify the source of the discrepancy with Miller's work, it is clear that the magnitude of their  $g_r$  is too great to be consistent with the  $d_O$  determined here or with any  $d_O$ 's derived with  $Y_{21}$ 's that are within the limits imposed by the CARS data. The results obtained here are, however in agreement with Miller's finding that  $g_r$  was very close to that of the  $X^3\Sigma_g^-$  State.

Finally, the precision to which  $r_e^{BO}$  can be determined is comparable to that of the fundamental constants relating it to the rotational constant. For  $Y_{21} = \text{O},^{BO} r_e = 1.21\ 557202(25)\ \text{\AA}$  where the uncertainty reflects only the experimental uncertainty in  $B_e^{BO}$ . The uncertainties in  $B \times I$  and the atomic masses have been ignored as have possible higher order contributions to the molecular parameters. The actual uncertainty in  $r_e^{BO}$  is primarily due to the uncertainty in  $Y_{21}$  with  $\partial r_e^{BO} / \partial Y_{21} = - 6.9 \times 10^{-6}\ \text{\AA} / \text{MHz}$ . If the CARS determination of  $Y_{21}$  is accurate to its quoted uncertainty, then  $r_e^{BO} = [1\ 2155f3] \ 3(12)\ \text{\AA}$ . Table 3 shows that  $r_e$  for  $^{16}\text{O}_2$  determined in the usual way from  $Y_{01}$  is about  $8 \times 10^5\ \text{\AA}$  longer than  $r_e^{BO}$  and that there is a shortening of  $r_e$  of  $\approx 4.6 \times 10^{-6}\ \text{\AA}$  for each  $^{18}\text{O}$  substitution. Most of this



apparent shortening with isotopic substitution is due to the contribution of  $g_r$  to  $d_0$ . Less than 10% of the effect is due to the combination of the Dunham and adiabatic corrections.

### CONCLUSIONS

Measurements of the rotational spectra of *al*  $\Delta_g$  oxygen in its first excited vibrational state along with ground vibrational state measurements of the two  $^{18}\text{O}$  substituted species have provided precise values for the rotational and centrifugal distortion constants. Using the formalism developed by Watson, an accurate value has been determined for the interatomic distance in the Born-Oppenheimer limit. The derived molecular parameters have been compared with those obtained from CARS studies and with those expected from previous determinations of the rotational  $g$  factor. It is pleasing to show that the Watson description of the isotopic dependence of  $Y_{01}$  works well for the excited delta state of  $\text{O}_2$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

E. A. C. is grateful for the kind hospitality of Prof. E. Hirota and his colleagues at the Institute of Molecular Science. The authors appreciate the use of Dr. J. W. Brault's FTS data prior to publication. The work at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory was performed under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## REFERENCES

- [1. ] J. K. G. Watson, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 45 (1977) 99.
- [2. ] J. K. G. Watson, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 80 (1980) 411.
- [3. ] K. W. Hillig II, C. C. W. Chiu, W. G. Read, and E. A. Cohen, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 109 (1985) 205.
- [4. ] E. Tiemann, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 90 (1982) 60.
- [5. ] Y. Endo and E. Hirota, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 86 (1987) 4319.
- [6. ] M. Birk, R. R. Friedl, E. A. Cohen, S. P. Sander, and H. M. Pickett, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 91 (1989) 6588.
- [7. ] J.-C. Nieh and J. J. Valentini, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 91 (1987) 1370.
- [8. ] C. H. Townes and A. L. Schawlow, *Microwave Spectroscopy*, McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1955, pp 9-14.
- [9. ] H. M. Pickett, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 148 (1991) 371.
- [10. ] J. W. Brault, personal communication.
- [11. ] E. R. Cohen and B. N. Taylor, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 57 (1987) 1121.
- [12. ] G. Audi and A. H. Wapstra, *Nucl. Phys. A*, 565 (1993) 1.
- [13. ] T. A. Miller, *J. Chem. Phys.* 54, 330336 (1971).

[14. ] C. A. Arrington, Jr., A. M. Falick, and R. J. Myers, *J. Chem. Phys.*,  
55 (1971) 909.



Table 1. Fitted Transitions for  $a^1\Delta_g \text{O}_2$ .

$J' - J''$	Frequency(unc.)/MHz	O.-C.
$^{16}\text{O}_2 v = 0$		
3 ---2	255017.937(30)	-0.006
4 --3	340006.779(20)	-0.013
5 --4	424980.983(20)	0.020
6 - 5	509936.752(20)	--0.015
7 --6	594870.607(40)	0.035
8 ---7	679778.668(40)	0.038
9 - 8	764657.384(40)	-0.018
10- 9	849502.992(40)	-0.008
$^{16}\text{O}_2 v = 1$		
3 - 2	251939.375(30)	-0.006
4 --3	335901.979(30)	0.009
5 --4	419849.813(30)	-0.004
$^{16}\text{O}^{18}\text{O} v = 0$		
3 - 2	240862.786(30)	-0.008
4 --3	321135.098(30)	-0.019
$^{18}\text{O}_2 v = 0$		
3 --2	226703.708(20)	0.005
4 --3	302258.092(20)	0.015
5---4	377800.850(20)	-0.010

**Table 2.** Molecular Parameters for **Fitting the Spectra.**<sup>a</sup>

Effective Parameter	Value/h411z	Reduced Mass Dependence	$\partial/\partial Y_{21}$
$B_e^{BO}$	42766.5220(172)	- 1	0.4854
$Y_{11} \approx \alpha_c$	--513 .0870(64)	- 1.5	--2.0000
$Y_{02} \approx - D_c$	- 0.152616(92)	- 2	
$Y_{12} \approx \beta_c$	-0.000660(175)	- 2.5	
$2m_e d_O B_e^{BO} / \mu$	5.4586(184)	- 2	-0.2646
$Y_{03} \approx H_c$ (fixed)	--0.637 x 10 <sup>-37</sup>	- 3	
9	--0.4 9(51) x 1 0 <sup>-5</sup>	- 4	

a. Numbers in parentheses are approximately one standard error.

Table 3. Derived Molecular Parameters.<sup>a,b</sup>

Parameter	<sup>16</sup> O <sub>2</sub>	<sup>16</sup> O <sup>18</sup> O	<sup>18</sup> O <sub>2</sub>	$\partial/\partial Y_{21}$ <sup>c</sup>
		$Y_{21} = 0$		
$r_e^{BO}/\text{\AA}$		1.21 557202(25) <sup>d</sup>		- 6.9 × 10-G
$d_O$		0.9372 (33) <sup>d</sup>		- 0.0454
$Y_{01}/\text{MHz}$	42761.0634(42) <sup>e</sup>	40380 .582.4	38000.0676	0.75
$r_e/\text{\AA}$	1.21564960(6)	1.21564527	1.21564093	- .1] × 10 <sup>-5</sup>
$B_0/\text{MHz}$	42504.5199(23)	40145.1629	37785.1581	
$B_1/\text{MHz}$	41991.4329(64)	39674.3238	37355.3392	
$D_0/\text{MHz}$	0.152946(21)	0.136381	0.120766	
$D_1/\text{MHz}$	0.153606(174)	0.136953	0.121258	
		$Y_{21} = -1.35(17) \text{ MHz}^f$		
$r_e^{BO}/\text{\AA}$		1.21558133(120) <sup>d</sup>		
$d_O$		0.9985(84) <sup>d</sup>		
$Y_{01}/\text{MHz}$	42760.0508(1300)	40379.6450	37999.2031	
$r_e/\text{\AA}$	1.21 566400(200)	1,21565938	1,21565476	

a.  $B \times I = 505379.07 \text{ MHz} \cdot \text{amu} \cdot \text{\AA}^2$ , Ref. 11.

b.  $M(^{16}\text{O}) = 15.9949146223 \text{ amu}$ ,  $M(^{18}\text{O}) = 17.9991604 \text{ amu}$ , Ref. 12.

c. For <sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub> only with  $Y_{21}$  in MHz.

d. Isotope independent.

e. The uncertainties are approximately the same for all isotopes and entirely correlated.

f. From Ref. 7.