

Moving Frame Formulations of
4-Geometries Having Isometrics

Frank B Estabrook and Hugo D Wahlquist[†]

Jet Propulsion Laboratory 169-327

California Institute of Technology

4800 Oak Grove Drive

Pasadena, CA 91109

Abstract

We have previously given well-set exterior **differential** systems for **Ricci-flat** 4-geometries as **reductions—subbundles—**of the bundle of **orthonormal** frames over 10 dimensional Euclidean (or pseudo-Euclidean) space, $ISO(10)$.⁽¹⁾ We now understand how to prolong the systems set on $ISO(10)$ to systems on its tangent bundle $TISO(10)$ and then to **its** tangent bundle. This in turn makes possible the adjoining of additional invariant forms further restricting the solutions to those having Killing vectors, i.e., **isometries**. We discuss the geometry of tangent bundles, and report the results of Monte **Carlo** calculations of the **Cartan** integer characters of these prolonged exterior **differential** systems, showing them to be well set for **Cauchy-Kowaleski** integration, and in the **Ricci-flat** cases to be causally **determined** from initial data set on 3-spaces. Similar results are reported for **Ricci-flat** geometries with two isometrics.

[†]**Research** sponsored by the Ultraviolet, Visible and Gravitational Astrophysics Branch, Astrophysics Division, National Aeronautics and Space Administration. FBE acknowledges with thanks useful discussions with Metin **Gürses**, and the hospitality of **Bilkent** University, during an invited visit in 1993.

L The Structure of TG

When the intrinsic structure of a group manifold G is expressed by **Cartan-Maurer** equations for its left (right)-invariant basis 1-forms η^a ($a = 1 \dots n$, the dimension of the manifold) we have the identities

$$d\eta^a + \frac{1}{2} C_{bc}^a \eta^b \wedge \eta^c = 0. \quad (1)$$

C_{bc}^a are the structure constants, satisfying Jacobi identities, so the 3-form exterior derivatives of (1) are quickly shown to vanish, **modulo** (1). The set (1) is **closed**.

To (1) may be added an additional set in n further basis 1-forms $\bar{\eta}^a$:

$$d\bar{\eta}^a + \frac{1}{2} C_{bc}^a (\bar{\eta}^b \wedge \eta^c + \eta^b \wedge \bar{\eta}^c) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Closure is again immediate, **modulo** (1) and (2). This introduction of additional bases has been called **co-adjoint prolongation**⁽²⁾ as clearly it is related to the structure of the linear adjoint representation of G . (1) and (2) together are the **Cartan Maurer** equations of a Lie group with $2n$ invariant bases η^a and $\bar{\eta}^a$. To identify this larger group geometrically we will consider the dual basis of left (right) -invariant vector fields on G , say V_a where $V_a \lrcorner \eta^b = \delta_a^b$. They satisfy

$$[V_a, V_b] = C_{ab}^c V_c. \quad (3)$$

We use the concept of lifting in a geometric object **bundle**⁽²⁾. Roughly, a geometric object is a (multi-component) field-cross section of a bundle—that has also a well defined Lie derivative (and so a **covariance** property under diffeomorphisms). (Tensor fields are **linear** geometric objects). This is equivalent to the statement that vector fields—diffeomorphism generators—in the base space of such a bundle can be **lifted**, into vector fields that operate on the bundle manifold, preserving their Lie product relations. Vector fields on a manifold M are also geometric object fields, cross sections of the tangent bundle TM . This dual **rôle** yields **two** intrinsic lifts of them into **TM**⁽³⁾. In terms of a local coordinate frame x^i on M , introduce coordinates v^i in the fibers of TM such that at x^i a tangent vector $V = \dot{V}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is the point $(x^i, v^i = V^i)$ in TM . The **covariant** (or **complete**) lift of a **field** $V = V^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ (a cross section of TM) is

$${}^cV \equiv V^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + V^i_j(x) v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \quad (4)$$

(a vector field throughout TM). The second lift is the so-called vertical lift

$${}^vV \equiv V^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} \quad (5)$$

The complete lift expresses covariance: **three** diffeomorphism generators U, V, W in M that satisfy $[U, v] = W$ there, have lifts in TM that, from (4), satisfy

$$[{}^cU, {}^cV] = {}^cW \quad (6)$$

From (4) and (5) we further calculate

$$[{}^cU, {}^vV] = {}^vW = [{}^vU, {}^cV] \quad (7)$$

which shows the vertical lift operation also to be **intrinsic**, and finally

$$[{}^vU, {}^vV] = 0. \quad (8)$$

If M is a group manifold G, applying these two lifts to the basis vectors in (3)

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^cV_a, {}^cV_b] &= C_{ab}^c {}^cV_c \\ [{}^vV_a, {}^vV_b] &= C_{ab}^c {}^vV_c \\ [{}^vV_a, {}^vV_t] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

These are immediately seen to be Lie-algebraically-dual to the **co-adjoint** prolongation of G, viz. (1) and (2), so we have shown (1) and (2) to be the **Cartan-Maurer** structure equations of TG. The direct lift (pull back) of the η^a will still be denoted η^a ; the $\bar{\eta}^a$ are dual to vertically lifted basis vectors. η^a and $\bar{\eta}^a$ thus are a canonical basis on TG. An n-dimensional cross section of TG is, we repeat, a vector field on G.

II. G-prolongation

The same formal prolongation leading from (1) to (2) has been used by Gürses⁽⁴⁾ to discuss invariance of the spinor equations for **Ricci-flat** 4-geometries. Spinor, or **yadic**, or tetrad formalisms for the Einstein field equations can all be seen as the setting of exterior differential systems on suitable flat frame bundles (which are in fact group manifolds), **reducing** them to **non-flat** bundles over 4-dimensional base manifolds(1). By considering variations of the spinor equations Gürses essentially doubles the number of variables (although this is not clear when variations of higher order forms are introduced without first expressing these forms in terms of basis 1-forms). He interpreted this as leading to the invariance group of the field equations; our discussion above rather agrees with later **comment**⁽⁵⁾ that one thus finds general diffeomorphism generators-arbitrary vector fields on any solution manifold. Nevertheless Gürses prolongation technique on differential systems on bundies is a significant generalization of co-adjoint prolongation of group manifolds. We will denote it G-prolongation. Our detailed calculations of specific exterior differential systems, some of which are reported below, **all** show G-prolongation to be consistent and well set. We have realized that it allows us to formulate invariant differential systems for **Ricci-flat** space times having symmetries—Killing vectors—situations which we have not previously been able easily to discuss in terms of **Cartanian** moving frame systems. Instead of forcing auxiliary vectors into the **Cartan** formalism, we now just double (and redouble) the basis forms, and then specialize the solutions by adding extra forms to the G-prolonged exterior differential systems.

111. Prolonged Systems with One Vector Field

We have **previously**⁽⁶⁾(1) motivated and described the key diagnostic calculations for an exterior differential system in n dimensions: the identification of its **Cauchy** characteristic vectors and the calculation of its **Cartan** characteristic integers $s = (s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{g-1})$. g is the “genus” of the system, the dimension of its maximal, generic, integral manifolds (solutions of the equivalent set of first order partial differential equations). These integral manifolds are fiber bundles, the fibers being generated by the **Cauchy** characteristics. The integers s are calculated from the ranks of a nested series of linear homogeneous equations. If $\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} s_i = n - g$ the system is well set, and generic solutions are found by systematic **Cauchy-Kowaleski** integrations from data set on a nested sequence of submanifolds of dimension 1, 2, If $s_i = 0$ for $i \geq q$, the essential C-K data are s_{q-1} functions set on $q-1$ -dimensional submanifolds; when the solution is a bundle over a q -dimensional base, we call the system causal⁽⁶⁾.

The calculation of forms is highly non-trivial, and in the mathematics literature results for exterior systems generated by forms of degree >2 are hardly to be found. Many classic problems of embedding of **submanifolds** are discussed with **Cartanian** methods by Jensen and **Griffiths**⁽⁷⁾, but when higher rank forms are adjoined, for example to restrict solutions to be **Ricci-flat**, analytical calculation of forms becomes almost impossible. We have instead developed some symbolic manipulation programs to handle large numbers of indexed basis forms, and a Monte Carlo approach to sequentially calculate the ranks giving the integers in the sets. An example of this has now been explicitly explained and the computer output **displayed**⁽⁸⁾. The results reported below have all been calculated in this way.

We begin with the group $G=ISO(10)$, the orthogonal frame bundle over 10 dimensional Euclidean space. In terms of 55 canonical basis forms ω^μ , $\omega_\nu^\mu = -\omega_\mu^\nu$, $\mu = 1\dots 10$ (the signs can be adjusted afterwards for different signatures), satisfying the usual structure **relations**⁽¹⁾, the exterior differential system for immersed **4-geometries** is the ideal generated by the invariant forms⁽¹⁾

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^A \\ &\omega_i^A \wedge \omega^i \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $i = 1\dots 4$, $A = 5-10$. There are 21 **Cauchy** characteristic vectors (dual to ω_j^i and ω_B^A , which do not occur in (10)); the **Cartan** characteristic integers are $s = (6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 0, \dots)$ and $g = 25$. $\sum_0^{g-1} s_j = 30 = 55-25$ so (10) is a well-set **Cauchy-Kowaleski** set of first order **p.d.e.**'s (when expanded in any 55 dimensional set of coordinates on $ISO(10)$). Solutions are 25 dimensional, **fibred** over a 4 manifold. The fibers are each $O(4) \otimes O(6)$. A cross section is a realization of an orthogonal frame field, plus 15 auxiliary gauge fields spanning $O(6)$. The Riemann tensor is coded in the curvature 2-forms $\omega_A^j \wedge \omega_A^j$.

Now G -prolong both $ISO(10)$ and the generating set (10). We have an exterior system on $TISO(10)$, $\dim 110$, generated by

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^A \\ &\bar{\omega}^A \\ &\omega_i^A \wedge \omega^i \\ &\bar{\omega}_i^A \wedge \omega^i + \omega_i^A \wedge \bar{\omega}^i \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Monte Carlo calculation “gives $s = \{ 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 0, \dots \}$ ”, solutions are $g = 50$ dimensional, 42 dimensional fibers over 8 dimensional bases. Evidently, solutions are pairs: immersed 4-geometries with tangent bundles over them.

If four 3-forms $\omega_{A^A}^i \omega_{A^A}^j \omega^k \epsilon_{ijkl}$, coding for the condition that the Ricci tensor induced on the sub-manifold vanishes, are added to (10) the characters become⁽¹⁾ $s = \{6, 6, 10, 8, 0, \dots\}$, $g = 25$. It is noteworthy that now $s^4 = 0$: generic solutions arise from eight functions set on 3-spaces, demonstrating the causal, dynamical property of the Einstein field equations. The G-prolonged system on TISO(10) has generators

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \omega^A \\
 & \bar{\omega}^A \\
 & \omega_i^A \wedge \omega^i \\
 & \bar{\omega}_i^A \wedge \omega^i + \omega_i^A \wedge \bar{\omega}^i \\
 & \omega_A^i \wedge \omega_A^j \wedge \omega^k \epsilon_{ijkl} \\
 & (2\bar{\omega}_A^i \wedge \omega_A^j \wedge \omega^k + \omega_A^i \wedge \omega_A^j \wedge \bar{\omega}^k) \epsilon_{ijkl}
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and we find by Monte Carlo calculation $s = (12, 12, 20, 16, 0, \dots)$ $g = 50$. We interpret this again as allowing an arbitrary vector field in any solution. We have calculated a number of other sets with the same result, and as explained above believe this G-prolongation to be a neat technique generalizing co-adjoint prolongation of Lie groups.

The new application we have made, then, is to specialize to a Killing vector field, by further adjoining to either (11) or (12) the generating forms

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bar{\omega}^i \\
 & \bar{\omega}_j^i \\
 & \bar{\omega}_A^i \wedge \omega_A^j + \omega_A^i \wedge \bar{\omega}_A^j
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

which are closed modulo themselves and either (11) or (12) and which require the variations of the connection frame field and the curvature 2-forms $\omega_A^i \wedge \omega_A^j$ to vanish. Note that the immersion is made specific by further requiring preservation of the lines of curvature (that is, we also include the variations $\bar{\omega}^i$ in the ideal). For general 4-metrics using (11), we calculate $s = \{22, 18, 14, 10, 6, 0, \dots\}$. The most interesting result is for Ricci-flat 4-geometries having one Killing vector; the combined ideal (12) plus (13) yields $s = \{22, 18, 18, 12, 0, \dots\}$ $g = 40$. There are 36 Cauchy characteristics, six corresponding to frame covariance (co; not present) and 30 gauge fields

(ω_B^A and $\bar{\omega}_B^A$ not present), The invariant differential system for these space times is well set and causal: the generic solution depends on 12 initial value functions ~~over~~^{on} a 3 space.

IV, Prolongation with Two Vector Fields

We have considered further prolongation to the second tangent bundle. This appears to be at the practical limit of our present computational ability, but it makes possible an invariant two Killing vector formulation that should make contact with many previously known results: soliton hierarchies for the Ernst equation, for example.

The structure of **TTG** is interesting in itself⁽⁹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾. Now there are four lifts of vector fields from G—we label them cc, cv, vc and vv in an obvious notation and find by iterating (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\alpha V_a, {}^{cc}V_b] &= C_{ab}^c {}^{cc}V_c \\
 [{}^{cc}V_a, {}^{cv}V_b] &= C_{ab}^c {}^{cv}V_c \\
 [{}^{cc}V_a, {}^{vc}V_b] &= C_{ab}^c {}^{vc}V_c \\
 [{}^{cc}V_a, {}^{vv}V_b] &= C_{ab}^c {}^{vv}V_c \\
 [{}^{cv}V_a, {}^{vc}V_b] &= C_{ab}^c {}^{vv}V_c \\
 [{}^{vv}V_a, {}^{vc}V_b] &= [{}^{vv}V_a, {}^{cv}V_b] = 0 \\
 [{}^{vc}V_a, {}^{vc}V_b] &= [{}^{vv}V_a, {}^{vv}V_b] = [{}^{cv}V_a, {}^{cv}V_b] = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Dual forms satisfy a G-prolongation of (1) and (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 dW^a + \frac{1}{2} C_{bc}^a W^b W^c &= 0 \\
 d\bar{W}^a + \frac{1}{2} C_{bc}^a (\bar{W}^b W^c + W^b \bar{W}^c) &= 0 \\
 d\tilde{W}^a + \frac{1}{2} C_{bc}^a (\tilde{W}^b W^c + W^b \tilde{W}^c) &= 0 \\
 d\overset{\circ}{W}^a + \frac{1}{2} C_{bc}^a (\overset{\circ}{W}^b W^c + \bar{W}^b \tilde{W}^c + \tilde{W}^b \bar{W}^c + W^b \overset{\circ}{W}^c) &= 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

TTG is a nonlinear geometric object bundle with $3n$ -dimensional fibers, and so has a Lie derivative for fields that are cross sections⁽²⁾. Such fields—cross sections of iterated tangent bundles—have been called **sectors** by J. E. White. They are carefully discussed, and their (non-linear) Lie derivatives derived, in his mathematical monograph⁽¹⁰⁾. Points in the fibers of the second tangent bundle of M are local elements of 2-manifolds in M ; as a cross section of $'T'M$ is a (calibrated) $n-1$ dimensional congruence of lines in n -space, so is a cross section of **TTM** a realization of the base equipped with an $n-2$ dimensional congruence of 2-manifolds. If such a construction were

attempted with two copies of cross sections of **TG**—linear vector fields— we would have to adjoin further conditions for them to be 2-forming. As a cross section of **TTG**, this closure is automatic,

Prolongation to formulate geometries having two 2-forming Killing vector fields then is straightforward, However as the number of dimensions doubles again, we find our Monte Carlo program unable to give a reliable set of characters for them= 4 case.* For ^{the} simpler case of a 3 dimensional Riemannian geometry immersed in 6 dimensional flat space, $i = 1,2,3$ and $A = 4,5,6$, the dimension of $ISO(6)$ is 21 and the problem with two isometrics is set on its second tangent bundle, **TTISO(6)**, dim 84. This we have analyzed successfully.

To be explicit, the complete exterior differential system we set on **TTISO(6)**, dim 84, is 30 1-forms:

$$\omega^A, \bar{\omega}^A, \tilde{\omega}^A, \overset{\circ}{\omega}^A, \bar{\omega}^i, \tilde{\omega}^i, \overset{\circ}{\omega}^i, \bar{\omega}_j^i, \tilde{\omega}_j^i, \overset{\circ}{\omega}_j^i, \quad (16)$$

$$(17)$$

and 28 2-forms:

$$\omega_{i \wedge j}^A, \bar{\omega}_{i \wedge j}^A, \tilde{\omega}_{i \wedge j}^A, \overset{\circ}{\omega}_{i \wedge j}^A, \bar{\omega}_A^i \wedge \omega_A^j + \omega_A^i \wedge \bar{\omega}_A^j, \quad (17)$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_A^i \wedge \omega_A^j + \omega_A^i \wedge \tilde{\omega}_A^j, \overset{\circ}{\omega}_A^i \wedge \omega_A^j + \bar{\omega}_A^i \wedge \tilde{\omega}_A^j + \tilde{\omega}_A^i \wedge \bar{\omega}_A^j + \omega_A^i \wedge \overset{\circ}{\omega}_A^j, \quad (18)$$

and to this we can add three 2-forms for **Ricci-flatness**. The results of the Monte Carlo computations are that the systems are well set, and in the second case causal (2+1 gravity with invariant lines of curvatures):

$$n = 84, s = \{30, 21, 12, 3, 0, \dots\} g = 18$$

general 3-geometries with 2 isometrics

$$n = 84, s = \{30, 24, 12, 0, \dots\} g = 18$$

flat 3 geometries with 2 isometrics

Three dimensional cross sections of solutions are 3 connection form fields and 12 gauge fields (respectively dual to $\omega_j^i, \bar{\omega}_B^A, \tilde{\omega}_B^A, \overset{\circ}{\omega}_B^A, \overset{\circ}{\omega}_B^i$).

* We suspect the characters for general 4-spaces with 2 isometrics to be $s = \{54, 42, 30, 18, 6, 0, \dots\}$, $g = 70$, 66 dimensional fibers over 4 space, and that with 4 additional 3-forms for Ricci-flatness they become causal: $s = \{54, 42, 34, 20, 0, \dots\}$,

V. References

- [1] Estabrook FB and Wahlquist HD 1993 Immersion ideals and the causal structure of Ricci-flat geometries Class. Quantum Grav. 101851-1858
- [2] Estabrook FB 1987 Covariance and the hierarchy of frame bundles Acta Appl. Mathematicae 8293-319
- [3] Yano K and Ishihara S 1973 Tangent and Congent Bundles (Marcel Dekker)
Crampin M 1983 Tangent bundle geometry for lagrangian dynamics, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 163755-3772
- [4] Bilge AH and Gürses M 1986 Cartan ideal, prolongation and Bäcklund transformations for Einstein's equations J. Math. Phys. 271819-1833
Gürses M 1993 New symmetries of the vacuum Einstein equations Phys. Rev. Lett. 70367-70
- [5] Terre C 1993 Phys. Rev. D 48 R2373
Hauser I and Ernst FJ 1993 Gürses type (b) transformations are neighborhood isometrics Phys. Rev. Lett. 71316
Capovilla R 1994 Phys. Rev. D 49879
- [6] Estabrook FB and Wahlquist HD 1989 Classical geometries defined by exterior differential systems on higher frame bundles Class. Quantum Grav. 6263-274
- [7] Griffiths PA and Jensen GR 1987 Differential Svstems and Isometric Embedding (Princeton University Press, Princeton)
- [8] Wahlquist, HD 1993 Monte Carlo calculation of Cartan characters: using the maximal-slicing Ricci-flat ideal as an example. In Breton N, Capovilla R and Mates T, eds. Proc. Int. Conf on Aspects of General Relativity and Mathematical Physics (CINVESTAV, Mexico City)
- [9] Abraham R and Marsden JE 1978 Foundations of Mechanics, rev. ed. (Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park)
- [10] White JE 1982 The Method of Iterated Tangents with Applications in Local Riemannian Geometry (Pittman, Boston-London-Melbourne)