Abstract

Due to the small size, irregular shape and variable surface properties of small bodies, accurate motion and position estimation is needed for safe and precise small body exploration. Because of the communication delay induced by the large distances between the earth and targeted small bodies, landing on small bodies must be done autonomously using on-board sensors and algorithms. Current navigation technology does not provide the precision necessary to accurately land on small bodies, so novel navigation techniques must be developed. Optical sensor processing by machine vision algorithms offer a possible solution to this difficult autonomous navigation and control problem. We are developing a suite of machine vision algorithms for autonomous navigation around small bodies based on optical sensor input. Optical sensor data can come from many different modalities including passive monocular image streams, stereo vision, laser altimetry, and scanning laser radar imagery. In an effort to understand the advantages and disadvantages of each modality, we are developing navigation algorithms for all of these modalities. This paper surveys our recently developed algorithms for motion and position estimation during orbit around and descent to the surface of small bodies. Specific technologies highlighted will be: motion and absolute position estimation using monocular image streams and laser altimetry; motion and absolute position estimation from scanning laser radar imagery; and absolute position estimation through detection of crater landmarks in asteroid imagery. The navigation results from these algorithms provide a basis for comparing modalities and lay the groundwork for sensor and algorithm selection for future small body exploration missions.
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Problem Statement

Objective
To develop machine vision algorithms for near surface small body navigation that provide estimates of
- spacecraft body relative motion
- spacecraft body absolute position
- 3-D surface topography
through on-board processing of imagery.

Benefit
These algorithms enable
- precision guidance and landing
- hazard avoidance
- sample return
from comets and asteroids.
Motivation

SSE
➤ Comet Nucleus Sample Return
  ➤ three landing sites
  ➤ sample return
  ➤ autonomous operations
➤ Large Asteroid Sample Return
➤ Titan Organics Explorer
➤ Europa Precision Landing
➤ Mars Precision Landing

ESE
➤ Intelligent sensor web
➤ Reconfigurable sensing

HEDS
➤ Operations
➤ Robotic Partners
➤ Soft Landing
Approach

Problems
- estimate spacecraft motion and position
- reconstruct surface topography
- detect and avoid hazards

Challenges
- variable body and spacecraft motion
- variable illumination
- variable altitude/scene scale
- robust and autonomous

Methods
- feature tracking
- structure from motion
- landmark recognition
- surface matching
- motion stereo
Sensing Modalities

Rangefinder Imagery

Camera Imagery
  Single Images

Image Streams
Imaging Approach

3-D Mapping
- 3-D model

Camera
- Images

IRU
- Spacecraft position

Feature extraction
- Feature image positions

Landmark detection
- Landmark image positions

Feature tracking
- Continuous relative position

Landmark recognition
- Occasional absolute position

Motion stereo
- 3-D surface topography

Position estimation
- Continuous absolute position

Hazard detection
- Hazard positions

Hazard avoidance
- Trajectory corrections

Continuous updates of spacecraft position and hazard positions

Key
- Algorithm
- Output
- Sensor
- Module
Feature Tracking and Motion Estimation

Objective
➤ determine motion of spacecraft based on surface imagery

Approach
➤ track features (Shi & Tomasi CVPR94)
➤ estimate motion (Johnson & Matthies ISAIRAS99)

Application
➤ precision guidance and landing
➤ comet and asteroid exploration

images

feature tracks

motion estimation
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Two Frame Motion Laboratory Test

Parameters
50 features
640x480 imager
15° FOV
T = (0,0,1.0)cm

Results
4 Hz frame rate
\( \epsilon_t = 0.045 \text{ cm} \)
\( \epsilon_R = 0.063^\circ \)

Descent Sequence Translation Components

Descent Sequence Rotation Components

Descent Sequence Translation Error Magnitude

Descent Sequence Rotation Errors
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Multi-Frame Motion Laboratory Test

Parameters
500 features
1024x1024 imager
25° FOV

Results
\( \varepsilon_t = 0.02/6.00 \text{ cm} = 0.33\% \)
\( \varepsilon_R = 0.01° \)
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Monte Carlo Simulations of Motion Estimation

Procedure
► generate synthetic terrain
► select random pixels for features
► assume perfect tracking with gaussian noise
► intersect optical axis with synthetic terrain for altimeter readings
► compute motion

Results
► two frame descent
  ► vertical descent: 0.22m/65m = 0.34%
  ► 45° descent: 0.22m/17m = 1.3%
  ► horizontal motion: 0.22m/12m = 1.8%
► multi-frame landing
  ► horizontal landing error of 3.6m from 1000 m altitude = 0.36%
► pointing
  ► 0.006° error for 0.6° off axis pointing
► timing

Assumptions
► 30° FOV
► 1024x1024 imager
► 1/6 pixel tracking noise
► 1000 m altitude
► 0.2 m altimeter error
► 20 pixel feature disparity
► 500 features
Orbit Structure From Motion Result

images

structure from motion

feature tracks

shape verification
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Comet Absolute Position Estimation

**Objective**
- determine comet absolute position from orbit

**Application**
- precision guidance & landing
- comet exploration

**Approach**
- structure from motion
  (Johnson & Matthies ISAIRAS99)
- match surface topography
  (Johnson & Hebert CVPR 1997)
- estimate position
Objective
➤ reconstruct dense 3-D surface topography from monocular image streams

Approach
➤ rectify images based on motion
➤ dense stereo matching
  (Xiong & Matthies CVPR97)

Application
➤ hazard detection
➤ comet landmark detection
➤ 3-D modeling
Asteroid Absolute Position Estimation

Objective
- determine asteroid absolute position from orbit

Application
- precision guidance and landing
- asteroid exploration

Approach
- take image
- detect craters (Leroy & Medioni CVPR 1999)
- match craters to data base
- estimate position

Acquire asteroid image
Extract crater landmarks using perceptual grouping
Match 2D image craters to 3D database of craters
Estimate S/C position from crater matches
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Crater Landmark Detection

Phobos

Ida
Rangefinder Approach

3-D Mapping
- 3-D model

Rangefinder
- images

IRU
- spacecraft position

landmark detection
- landmark 3-D positions

image alignment
- continuous relative position

landmark matching
- occasional absolute position

hazard detection
- hazard positions

position estimation
- continuous absolute position

hazard avoidance
- trajectory corrections

continuous updates of spacecraft position and hazard locations

key
- algorithm
- output
- sensor
- module
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Image Alignment and Motion Estimation

Objective
- determine translational motion using on rangefinder imagery

Approach
- align range images (Johnson & SanMartin 1999)
- estimate motion (Faugeras & Hebert IJRR 1986)

Application
- precision guidance and landing
- comet and asteroid exploration
Parameters
100x100 image
10° FOV
16 m altitude

Results
5 Hz frame rate
$\varepsilon_t = 0.05$ m
Vertical Motion Estimation

Parameters
100x100 image
10° FOV
300 m altitude

Results
5 Hz frame rate
$\varepsilon_t = 0.20$ m
Monte Carlo Simulation of Image Alignment

Procedure

- generate synthetic terrain
- generate 2 range image
- align images
- compute motion

Parameters

- 10° FOV
- 100x100 image
- 100 m altitude
- 1.0 m/s motion
- 0.02 m range error
- 0.1° divergence
- 0.01° attitude error

Results

- descent
  - vertical descent: 0.022m/10m = 0.2%
  - 45° descent: 0.026m/5m = 0.5%
  - horizontal motion: 0.021m/3m = 0.7%

- timing
  - 400 ms first frame
  - 200 ms each additional frame
  - 5 Hz
Absolute Position Estimation

3-D Model  

model close-up

range mesh

range image

match landmarks

align surfaces

estimate position
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Absolute Position Estimation Result

model range image

model mesh

alignment

absolute position

scene range image

match landmarks

align surfaces

estimate position
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Comparison of Sensing Modalities

Scanning Laser Radar

+ complete 3-D shape sensing
+ efficient algorithms (5 Hz)
+ no ground processing
+ dark side landing possible

- low resolution (100x100)
- short range (~2km)
- continuous data acquisition
- slow frame rate (1 Hz)
- possibly moving parts
- unproven sensor

Imager and Altimeter

+ high resolution (1000x1000)
+ long range (50 km)
+ instantaneous data acquisition
+ rapid frame rates (30 Hz)
+ no moving parts
+ no ground processing
+ efficient algorithms (4 Hz)
+ proven sensors

- requires target illumination
- shape requires processing
- requires two sensors
FROM SIR-C TO SRTM
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ABSTRACT

The SIR-C/X-SAR (Space-borne Imaging Radar-C/X-band Synthetic Aperture Radar) is a joint U.S./German/Italian project. This instrument aboard the shuttle Endeavour was flown twice in 1994. The NASA SIR-C instrument was fully polarimetric and operated at L-band and C-band simultaneously. The analysis of data from two successful SIR-C/X-SAR deployments showed dramatic new capabilities only possible with a multi-parameter imaging radar. The orbit was trimmed for the last three days of the second flight to repeat the track of the first flight to collect repeat-track interferometric data at all three frequencies.

The SRTM (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission) took advantage of the unique opportunity offered through augmentation of the SIR-C/X-SAR instrument. The SIR-C phased array antenna enabled the ScanSAR mode to achieve a wide swath. Addition of a C-band receive antenna, extended from the shuttle bay on a mast, forms an interferometric baseline with the existing SIR-C C-band antenna. The SRTM is capable of producing a digital elevation model of 80% of the Earth’s land surface in a single 11 day Space Shuttle flight. The C-band SRTM is a joint project of the NIMA (National Imagery and Mapping Agency) and the NASA. The SRTM is schedule to be launched in late 1999.
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